RANCHO SANTIAGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

MEASURE E REVENUE BOND CONSTRUCTION FUND

AUDIT REPORT

JUNE 30, 2016

RANCHO SANTIAGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

MEASURE E REVENUE BOND CONSTRUCTION FUND

FINANCIAL AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2016

FINANCIAL AUDIT TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2016

FINANCIAL SECTION

| Independent Auditor's Report | 2 |
|--|---|
| Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund | |
| Balance Sheet | 4 |
| Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance | 5 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | 6 |
| INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT | |

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

13

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

| Financial Statement Findings | 16 |
|--|----|
| Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings | 17 |

FINANCIAL SECTION



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Trustees and Citizens' Oversight Committee Rancho Santiago Community College District Santa Ana, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Rancho Santiago Community College District (the District), Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund (Measure E), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the District's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting principles used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund (Measure E) of the District at June 30, 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund specific to Measure E, and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of the District in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 5, 2016, on our consideration of the District's Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund (Measure E) internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund (Measure E) internal control over financial reporting and compliances.

Vaurineh, Trin, Day & Co., LLP

Rancho Cucamonga, California December 5, 2016

BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2016

| ASSETS Investments Accounts receivable Total Assets | \$ \$ | 1,810,359 4,622 1,814,981 |
|--|----------|---------------------------------|
| LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE | | |
| LIABILITIES Accounts payable | \$ | 284,619 |
| FUND BALANCE Restricted | | |
| Capital projects Total Liabilities and Fund Balance | \$ | 1,530,362 1,814,981 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016

| REVENUES Local revenues | \$ | 91,329 |
|--|----|------------|
| EXPENDITURES | | |
| Current Expenditures | | |
| Services and other expenditures | | 7,105 |
| Capital outlay | 1 | 0,876,215 |
| Total Expenditures | 1 | 0,883,320 |
| DEFICIENCY OF REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES | (1 | 0,791,991) |
| FUND BALANCE, BEGINNING OF YEAR | 1 | 2,322,353 |
| FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR | | 1,530,362 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund (Measure E) conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA).

Financial Reporting

The audited financial statements include only the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund of Rancho Santiago Community College District (the District). This Fund was established to account for the receipt of proceeds of general obligation bond issuances and the expenditures of the proceeds under the General Obligation Bond Election of November 2002. These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position and the changes in financial position of the District in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund are accounted for in a separate set of selfbalancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures. Resources are allocated to, and accounted for, in the fund based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Basis of Accounting

The Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund is accounted for using a flow of current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. The statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance reports on the sources (revenues and other financing sources) and uses (expenditures and other financing uses) of current financial resources. These fund financial statements do not include the adoption of GASB Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, as the District was not required to adopt GASB Statement No. 54 under the reporting requirements of GASB Statement No. 35.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for all governmental funds. The District's governing board adopts an operating budget in accordance with State law. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District's governing board satisfied these requirements. The Board revises this budget during the year to give consideration to unanticipated revenues and expenditures primarily resulting from events unknown at the time of budget adoption. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

Encumbrances

The District utilizes an encumbrance accounting system under which purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid and all outstanding encumbrances lapse at June 30.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Fund Balance - Governmental Funds

As of June 30, 2016, the fund balance of the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund was classified as follows:

Restricted - amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation, or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments.

Change in Accounting Principles

In February 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*. This Statement addresses accounting and financial reporting issues related to fair value measurements. The definition of *fair value* is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. This Statement provides guidance for determining a fair value measurement for financial reporting purposes. This Statement also provides guidance for applying fair value to certain investments and disclosures related to all fair value measurements.

The District has implemented the provisions of this Statement as of June 30, 2016.

In June 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 76, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments*. The objective of this Statement is to identify—in the context of the current governmental financial reporting environment—the hierarchy of generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The "GAAP hierarchy" consists of the sources of accounting principles used to prepare financial statements of State and local governmental entities in conformity with GAAP and the framework for selecting those principles. This Statement reduces the GAAP hierarchy to two categories of authoritative GAAP and addresses the use of authoritative and non-authoritative literature in the event that the accounting treatment for a transaction or other event is not specified within a source of authoritative GAAP.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

This Statement supersedes GASB Statement No. 55, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments*.

The District has implemented the provisions of this Statement as of June 30, 2016.

In December 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 79, *Certain External Investment Pools and Pool Participants*. This Statement addresses accounting and financial reporting for certain external investment pools and pool participants. Specifically, it establishes criteria for an external investment pool to qualify for making the election to measure all of its investments at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes. An external investment pool qualifies for that reporting if it meets all of the applicable criteria established in this Statement. The specific criteria address (1) how the external investment pool transacts with participants; (2) requirements for portfolio maturity, quality, diversification, and liquidity; and (3) calculation and requirements of a shadow price. Significant noncompliance prevents the external investment pool from measuring all of its investments at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes. Professional judgment is required to determine if instances of noncompliance with the criteria established by this Statement during the reporting period, individually or in the aggregate, were significant.

If an external investment pool does not meet the criteria established by this Statement, that pool should apply the provisions in paragraph 16 of GASB Statement No. 31, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools*, as amended. If an external investment pool meets the criteria in this Statement and measures all of its investments at amortized cost, the pool's participants also should measure their investment pool does not meet the criteria in this Statement, the pool's participants should measure their investment pool does not meet the criteria in this Statement, the pool's participants should measure their investments in that pool at an external investment, the pool's participants should measure their investments in that pool at fair value, as provided in paragraph 11 of GASB Statement No. 31, as amended.

This Statement establishes additional note disclosure requirements for qualifying external investment pools that measure all of their investments at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes and for governments that participate in those pools. Those disclosures, for both the qualifying external investment pools and their participants, include information about any limitations or restrictions on participant withdrawals.

The District has implemented the provisions of this Statement as of June 30, 2016.

NOTE 2 - INVESTMENTS

Policies and Practices

The District is authorized under California Government Code to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State; U.S. Treasury instruments; registered State warrants or treasury notes; securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security; and collateralized mortgage obligations.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

Investment in County Treasury - The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (*Education Code* Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accompanying financial statements at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which are recorded on the amortized cost basis.

General Authorizations

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedules below:

| | Maximum | Maximum | Maximum |
|---|-----------|--------------|---------------|
| Authorized | Remaining | Percentage | Investment |
| Investment Type | Maturity | of Portfolio | in One Issuer |
| Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants | 5 years | None | None |
| Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants | 5 years | None | None |
| U.S. Treasury Obligations | 5 years | None | None |
| U.S. Agency Securities | 5 years | None | None |
| Banker's Acceptance | 180 days | 40% | 30% |
| Commercial Paper | 270 days | 25% | 10% |
| Negotiable Certificates of Deposit | 5 years | 30% | None |
| Repurchase Agreements | 1 year | None | None |
| Reverse Repurchase Agreements | 92 days | 20% of base | None |
| Medium-Term Corporate Notes | 5 years | 30% | None |
| Mutual Funds | N/A | 20% | 10% |
| Money Market Mutual Funds | N/A | 20% | 10% |
| Mortgage Pass-Through Securities | 5 years | 20% | None |
| County Pooled Investment Funds | N/A | None | None |
| Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) | N/A | None | None |
| Joint Powers Authority Pools | N/A | None | None |

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The District does not have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by investing in the Orange County Investment Pool. The District maintains an investment of \$1,810,359 with the Orange County Investment Pool, with an average maturity of 339 days.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The District's investment in the Orange County Investment Pool is rated AAAm/AAm by Standard & Poor's.

NOTE 3 - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The District categorizes the fair value measurements of its investments based on the hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The fair value hierarchy, which has three levels, is based on the valuation inputs used to measure an asset's fair value. The following provides a summary of the hierarchy used to measure fair value:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets that the District has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 1 assets may include debt and equity securities that are traded in an active exchange market and that are highly liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets.

Level 2 - Observable inputs, other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable, such as interest rates and curves observable at commonly quoted intervals, implied volatilities, and credit spreads. For financial reporting purposes, if an asset has a specified term, a Level 2 input is required to be observable for substantially the full term of the asset.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs should be developed using the best information available under the circumstances, which might include the District's own data. The District should adjust that data if reasonably available information indicates that other market participants would use different data or certain circumstances specific to the District are not available to other market participants.

Uncategorized - Investments in the Orange County Treasury Investment Pool are not measured using the input levels above because the District's transactions are based on a stable net asset value per share. All contributions and redemptions are transacted at \$1.00 net asset value per share.

The District's fair value measurements are as follows at June 30, 2016:

| Investment Type | Fair Value | Uncategorized | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|--|
| Orange County Investment Pool | \$ 1,814,818 | \$ | 1,814,818 | |

All assets have been valued using a market approach, with quoted market prices.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

NOTE 4 - ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

| Receivables at June 30, 2016, consist of the following: | |
|--|-----------------|
| Interest income | \$ 4,622 |
| | |
| NOTE 5 - ACCOUNTS PAYABLE | |
| Accounts payable at June 30, 2016, consist of the following: | |
| Vendor payables | \$ 284,619 |
| NOTE 6 - FUND BALANCE | |
| Fund balance is composed of the following element: | |
| Restricted Capital projects | \$ 1,530,362 |

NOTE 7 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

As of June 30, 2016, the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund had the following significant construction commitments as defined by the bond documents:

| | Spent to Date | | L L | | nstruction | Expected Date of Completion | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|-----|-----------|------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Santa Ana College | | | | | | | |
| Temporary Village Phase 2 | \$ | 994,922 | \$ | 34,124 | 2016 | | |
| Santiago Canyon College | | | | | | | |
| Building U Portables Certification | | 254,315 | | 1,045,685 | 2017 | | |
| Aquatic Bleachers Certification | | 10,919 | | 89,347 | 2016 | | |
| - | \$ | 1,260,156 | \$ | 1,169,156 | | | |

Litigation

The District is involved in various litigation arising from the normal course of business. In the opinion of management and legal counsel, the disposition of all litigation pending is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the overall financial position of the District's Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund at June 30, 2016.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Trustees and Citizens' Oversight Committee Rancho Santiago Community College District Santa Ana, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Rancho Santiago Community College District (the District) Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund (Measure E), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 5, 2016.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund specific to Measure E, and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of the District in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund (Measure E) internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund (Measure E) internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund (Measure E) internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund (Measure E) financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund (Measure E) of the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund (Measure E) internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund (Measure E) internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Varmiet, Trine, Day & Co., LLP

Rancho Cucamonga, California December 5, 2016

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2016

None reported.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2016

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's Schedule of Financial Statement Findings.

RANCHO SANTIAGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

MEASURE E REVENUE BOND CONSTRUCTION FUND

PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2016

PERFORMANCE AUDIT TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2016

| Independent Auditor's Report on Performance | 1 |
|---|---|
| Authority for Issuance | 2 |
| Purpose of Issuance | 3 |
| Authority for the Audit | 3 |
| Objectives of the Audit | 3 |
| Scope of the Audit | 4 |
| Procedures Performed | 4 |
| Conclusion | 4 |
| Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs | 5 |
| Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings and Questioned Costs | 6 |



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON PERFORMANCE

Board of Trustees and Citizens' Oversight Committee Rancho Santiago Community College District Santa Ana, California

We were engaged to conduct a performance audit of Rancho Santiago Community College District (the District) Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund (Measure E) for the year ended June 30, 2016.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed within the report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements as referred to in Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1 (b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

In planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal controls in order to determine if the internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1 (b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that the District expended Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund (Measure E) only for the specific projects approved by the voters, in accordance with Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1 (b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution.

Varmiel, Trine, Day & Co., LLP

Rancho Cucamonga, California December 5, 2016

JUNE 30, 2016

AUTHORITY FOR ISSUANCE

The Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund (the Bonds) were issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California (the State), including the provisions of Chapters 1 and 1.5 of Part 10 of the California Education Code, and other applicable provisions of law. The District received authorization from an election held on November 5, 2002, to issue bonds of the District in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$337,000,000 to finance the acquisition, construction, and modernization of certain property and District facilities. The Measure required approval by at least 55 percent of the votes cast by eligible voters within the District.

In March 2003, the District issued General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2002, Series 2003A in the amount of \$96,125,000. The Series 2003A Bonds were authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County on February 11, 2003, pursuant to a request of the District made by a resolution adopted by the Board of Trustees of the District on January 13, 2003. The Bonds represent the first series of bonds sold within the Authorization.

In February 2005, the District issued General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2002, Series B in the amount of \$119,999,867.25. The Series B Bonds were authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County on January 11, 2005, pursuant to a request of the District made by a resolution adopted by the Board of Trustees of the District on December 13, 2004. The Bonds represent the second series of bonds sold within the Authorization.

In September 2006, the District issued General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2002, Series C in the amount of \$120,874,238.55. The Series C Bonds were authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County on September 12, 2006, pursuant to a request of the District made by a resolution adopted by the Board of Trustees of the District on August 28, 2006. The Bonds represent the third series of bonds sold within the Authorization and will account for substantially all of the remaining amount of Authorization.

In August 2005, the District issued 2005 General Obligation Refunding Bonds, in the amount of \$53,559,298.50. The Refunding Bonds were authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by the Board of Trustees of the District on March 8, 2005. The Bonds were issued to advance refund a portion of the General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2002, Series 2003A Bonds.

In November 2011, the District issued 2011 General Obligation Refunding Bonds, in the amount of \$10,300,000. The Refunding Bonds were authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by the Board of Trustees of the District on October 10, 2011. The Bonds were issued to advance refund a portion of the General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2002, Series 2003A Bonds.

In March 2012, the District issued 2012 General Obligation Refunding Bonds, in the amount of \$62,985,000. The Refunding Bonds were authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by the Board of Trustees of the District on February 27, 2012. The Bonds were issued to advance refund a portion of the General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2002, Series A and Series B Bonds.

In January 2013, the District issued 2013 General Obligation Refunding Bonds, in the amount of \$79,130,000. The Refunding Bonds were authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by the Board of Trustees of the District on December 10, 2012. The Bonds were issued to advance refund a portion of the General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2002, Series B and Series C Bonds.

JUNE 30, 2016

PURPOSE OF ISSUANCE

The net proceeds of the Bonds issued under the 2002 Authorization will be used for the purpose specified in the District bond proposition submitted at the Election, which include the purposes of improving safety and the quality of education in the District by helping to finance the acquisition, construction, and modernization of certain property and District facilities.

AUTHORITY FOR THE AUDIT

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools and Financial Accountability Act. Proposition 39 amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school district, community college districts, or county offices of education for the following: "construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of rental property for school facilities", upon approval by 55 percent of the electorate. In addition to reduction of the approval threshold from two-thirds to 55 percent, Proposition 39 and the enacting legislation (AB 1908 and AB 2659) requires the following accountability measures as codified in *Education Code* Sections 15278-15282:

- 1. Requires that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds be used only for the purposes specified in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other District operating expenses.
- 2. The District must list the specific facilities projects to be funded in the ballot measure, and must certify that the governing board has evaluated safety and information technology needs in developing the project list.
- 3. Requires the District to appoint a Citizens' Oversight Committee.
- 4. Requires the District to conduct an annual independent financial audit and performance audit in accordance with the *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of the bond proceeds until after all of the proceeds have been expended.
- 5. Requires the District to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed.

OBJECTIVES OF THE AUDIT

- 1. Determine whether expenditures charged to the Bond Funds have been made in accordance with the Bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of the Measure E.
- 2. Determine whether salary transactions charged to the Bond Funds were in support of Measure E and not for District general administration or operations.

JUNE 30, 2016

SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our performance audit covered the period of July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016. The population of expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other State or local funding sources, other than proceeds of the Bonds, were not included within the scope of the audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2016, were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

PROCEDURES PERFORMED

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, for the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund. Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for all expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and Measure E as to the approved bond projects list. We performed the following procedures:

- 1. Determine District procedures for disbursement of funds related to the voter approved Measure E General Obligation Bonds were applied in accordance with laws and regulations, as well as policies approved by the Board of Trustees. This will be accomplished through the inspection of specified documents evidencing certain types of transactions and detailed attributes thereof; including, but not limited to, the specific documents related to bid procedures for contracts and services, invoices for services rendered, and other appropriate documents deemed necessary to provide a basis for the results of our objective.
- 2. Review the detailed accounting of expenditures to determine if proceeds are being spent on administrative salaries or any other expense that would otherwise be the obligation of the General Fund.
- 3. From a sample of construction expenditures from the detailed accounting of expenditures, review expenditures to determine if proceeds expended are for specific projects as listed in the voter approved bond language. Expenditures from all projects will be included in the sample.

CONCLUSION

We reviewed construction expenditures totaling 89 percent of all expenditures from the detailed accounting of expenditures. Our sample included transactions totaling \$9,664,342 of the total expenditures of \$10,883,320. The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the District has properly accounted for the expenditures held in the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund and that such expenditures were made for authorized Bond projects. There were no salaries of administrators charged to the Bond Funds for District general administration or operations. District procedures for disbursement of funds were applied in accordance with laws and regulations, as well as policies approved by the Board of Trustees.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS JUNE 30, 2016

None reported.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS JUNE 30, 2016

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.