





Santa Ana College 2011-2012 Budget Forum

November 16, 2011

State Budget Overview

- Approved by the Legislature with majority vote in June
 - Included \$4 billion in additional expected revenues
 - Automatic trigger cuts
 - Trigger 1
 - Trigger 2
- Cuts to community colleges
 - \$400 million cut to base apportionment
 - \$110 million in increased fee revenue
 - Enrollment fee increase from \$26 to \$36/unit
 - \$129 million in new deferrals (IOU's)
- RSCCD state funding reduction of \$7.9 million

State Budget Overview

- State revenues intentionally overstated in order to approve state budget on simple majority
 - Waiting for economy to get stronger
- State expenditures intentionally understated
 - Many are subject to lawsuits
- More accounting tricks (deferrals), shifts and internal borrowing
- "Suspension" of Proposition 98 minimum funding
 - With simple majority vote?

State Budget - What's Not Included for CCCs

- No Cost of Living Allowance (COLA)
 - Fourth year in a row without COLA

California Community Colleges COLA History					
Fiscal Year	CCC COLA	Statutory			
2001-02	3.87	3.87			
2002-03	2.00	1.66			
2003-04	0.00	1.86			
2004-05	2.41	2.41			
2005-06	4.23	4.23			
2006-07	5.92	5.92			
2007-08	4.53	4.53			
2008-09	0.00	5.66			
2009-10	0.00	5 02			
2010-11	0.00	(0.38)			
2011-12	0.00	2.24			

No Growth for student classes

More State Apportionment Deferrals

- Additional \$129 million state-wide deferral (IOU's)
- Total deferrals now at \$961 million for CCCs
- \$24.1 million deferral at year end for RSCCD
- Deferral mechanism is how state is able to maintain same level of funding to education without the additional revenue sources
- Now over 30% of state apportionment funds are provided in the succeeding fiscal year
- Creates monumental cash flow problem for districts every June through end of October

- Fourth year of state and national recession
 - -08/09, 09/10, 10/11 and 11/12
- State Legislature has not realistically dealt with the state budget crisis
 - Waiting for economy to come around
- When the state collects less revenue subject to Proposition 98 funding guarantees, it automatically reduces funding for K-14 education

- Proposition 98 (K-14) funding formula
 - Prop 98 entitled to about 45% of state <u>revenues</u>
 - Community colleges entitled to approximately 11% share of Prop 98 revenues
 - RSCCD is approximately 2.5% share of the total
 California community colleges allocation (FTES basis)
 - For every \$1 billion shortfall in state revenue collections, RSCCD is reduced proportionately
 - Prop 98 entitled to approximately 45% share (\$450 million)
 - Community colleges share approximately 11% (\$49.5 million)
 - RSCCD share approximately 2.5% (\$1.2 million)

- State Controller's October revenue report released last week
 - Year to date: collections short \$1.5 billion in revenue compared to State Budget Act estimates (which included \$4 billion extra)
 - Also, State has \$1.7 billion more in expenses year to date than budgeted
 - Concern with state short on cash
- DOF Finance Bulletin for November
 - State short \$1.3 billion in year to date revenue

- LAO California's Fiscal Outlook report just released today
 - Report at: http://www.lao.ca.gov
 - First half of trigger language estimates
 - Deficit for 2012-2013 \$12.8 billion
 - Deficit for current fiscal year \$3.7 billion short of \$4 billion target
 - Both trigger reductions would be implemented
 - 12/13 deficit assumes trigger cuts continue

- Department of Finance (DOF) will project their estimates of current fiscal year by December 15th
- Triggers are based on the higher of the two projections

State Budget Triggers

- Automatic as of January 1, 2012
 - Must decide by December 15, 2011
- "chatter" in Sacramento is that Legislature does not want triggers implemented
 - Too onerous on K-12
 - Wants to open budget discussions
 - Wall street credit rating pressures
 - Governor has said no
 - Most likely "kick the can" into 2012-2013 budget process
 - Triggers becomes first cuts in new budget
 - More K-14 apportionment deferrals predicted in current fiscal year due to State cash shortage

State Budget Triggers

Trigger 0

- at least \$3 of the \$4 billion additional revenue materializes
 - No additional funding cuts

Trigger 1

- between \$2 and \$3 billion of additional revenue materializes
 - \$30 million apportionment cut to community colleges
 - increase in fees of \$10, from \$36/unit to \$46/unit (July 1, 2012)
 - RSCCD additional \$900k mid-year funding cut

Trigger 2

- between \$0 and \$2 billion of additional revenue materializes
 - Trigger 1 cut and fee increase
 - PLUS a \$72 million additional apportionment cut
 - RSCCD additional \$2 million mid-year funding cut

2011-2012 RSCCD Budget

- July 1, 2011 beginning balance
 - **-** \$47,079,378
- June 30, 2012 projected ending balance
 - \$38,167,197
- Spend down of beginning balance
 - (\$8.9 million)
 - Assumes all expenditure line item budgets will be spent
 - All authorized positions funded including benefits
 - Does not include potential Trigger 2 reductions of -\$2 million
- If Trigger 2 cuts are included, current year spend down will increase to \$11 million, therefore, the June 30, 2012 ending balance would be approximately \$36 million

Increase in 2010-2011 Fund Balance

RANCHO SANTIAGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT			
	Not Included in the budget for		
	FY 2010-11		
Workload reduction and deficit	\$ 7,514,795		
OEC center 2010-11	1,107,182		
OEC center 2009-10	1,107,182		
2.21 % growth	3,079,583		
Expenditure savings/Vacant positions savings	2,812,277		
Total	15,621,019		
Beginning balance 7/1/2010	32,190,876		
Ending balance 6/30/2011	\$ 47,811,895		
2010-11 Second Principal Apportionment 6/17/11 Deficit	(732,517)		
Ending balance for 6/30/2011 on 9/7/2011	\$ 47,079,378		

RSCCD Budget

- Ending/beginning balance is being used to avoid additional reductions over the next two to three years
 - Hopefully until state economy begins to recover
- Beginning fund balance is one time money
 - Cannot be used for ongoing costs
- Without spending down of beginning balance, in excess of 5% reserve, we would need to make additional budget cuts
- Multi-year projections demonstrate this

Assumptions for Multi-Year Projection

- Revenue \$0 new revenue
 - Growth 0%
 - COLA 0%
 - Assumes no additional workload reductions
 - Negative growth
 - Assumes no "triggers"
- Expenditures \$3 to \$5 million in additional cost
 - Step and Column movement
 - Known PERS employer rate increases
 - Health Benefits Premium increase (District cost) 10% per year
 - Utilities cost increase 3% per year

Unrestricted General Fund Multi-Year Projection

2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015
Adopted	Projected	Projected	Projected
Budget	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Budget</u>

Multi-Year Projection:

Beginning Balance	\$46,510,630	\$38,163,936	\$25,485,673	\$8,650,572
Total Revenue	135,502,156	135,502,156	135,502,156	135,502,156
Total Expenditure	<u>143,848,850</u>	148,180,419	152,337,257	<u>156,738,673</u>
Surplus/ (Deficit)	(8,346,694)	(12,678,263)	(16,835,101)	(21,236,517)
Ending Balance	<u>\$38,163,936</u>	<u>\$25,485,673</u>	<u>\$8,650,572</u>	(\$12,585,945)

Risks and Concerns

- Imbalanced state budget
 - DOF and LAO must determine by December 15, 2011
 whether Trigger 1 and/or Trigger 2 must be implemented
- Trigger 1
 - Student enrollment fee increase from \$36 to \$46
 - Delayed until July 1, 2012
- Trigger 2
 - Additional state funding reductions
 - As workload measures (negative growth)
- Mid-year expenditure adjustments and reductions very difficult
- Managing FTES generation very important in a period of workload measure reductions (negative growth)

Risks and Concerns

- We can expect continued apportionment deferrals (IOU's) if state needs to make additional reductions to Prop 98
 - State may not be able to buy back these cash deferrals for a long time
 - Continuing cash flow concerns
- Spending down ending fund balance
 - Provides needed cash flow for state IOU's
 - Won't last forever...

Questions?

20