



**RANCHO SANTIAGO** COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT



### <u>2010-2011 and 2011-2012</u> <u>Budget Update</u>

#### Board of Trustees Meeting May 10, 2011

1

May Revise – To be released May 16<sup>th</sup> Governor has repeatedly threatened an all-cuts budget – Unlikely, legislative leadership has stated they cannot provide majority votes for passage of all-cuts budget All-cuts budget is slowly becoming less of an option

- More likely, a more realistic Plan B will be presented at May Revise
  - Partially acknowledges some increased tax revenues
    - Governor and DOF downplaying increased tax revenue collections
  - May Revise proposal will still require voter approval
  - May or may not suspend Prop 98
  - Acknowledge that state costs have increased thereby increasing budget deficit

Tax collections are up approximately \$2.5 billion for 2010-2011 fiscal year

 Helps offset 2010-2011 state budget shortfall

 How much will this help the 2011-2012 budget?

- \$2.5 billion?
- \$5 billion?
- Or more?
- Good or bad?

- More state tax revenues currently presents a problem
  - More difficult for Legislature/Governor to extend/raise taxes
  - More difficult for Legislature/Governor to place ballot measure in September/November
     Governor's promise to have voters decide
- Even with more tax revenues state still has large budget imbalance
  - Possibly extend only certain taxes

# Proposition 98

If more state revenues are included in May Revise

- Proposition 98 minimum funding guarantee increases proportionately
  - Unless Prop 98 suspended again in 2011-2012
  - Current year increase in taxes will not be shared with Prop 98
    - Prop 98 is currently suspended

# Voter approval?

Legislative leaders do not want to go to the voters for tax extensions/tax increases Contrary to Governor's promise Still negotiating for 2/3 Legislative approval "Deal" may be announced in June Governor's campaign promise has become a sticking point in budget negotiations

# Additional State Costs

Less savings from state collective bargaining agreements Less savings from legally challenged cost reduction solutions Redevelopment Agencies abolishment savings now appears unlikely Enterprise Zone abolishment savings now appears unlikely

# What's next?

- Governor/Legislature currently considering several options
  - Approve state budget with 2/3 votes to extend taxes prior to June 30 with ballot initiative
    - Ask voters to "ratify" in September/November ballot
    - No discussion on what happens if voters don't "ratify" as suggested by polls
  - Approve state budget with 2/3 votes to extend taxes prior to June 30 without ballot initiative
    "Deal" with 2/3 legislative approval

# What's next?

- Somewhere between Plan A and Plan B
  - Plan A is now law
  - Plan B could be partially offset by additional tax revenues
    - However, most likely offset by additional costs and lost opportunities (RDA, EZ, sale of state buildings and collective bargaining savings)
- Possible September or November Special Election
- State Budget in June or in September/October?

## RSCCD - What's next?

#### Plan A = loss of \$7.1 million in state apportionment revenue

- SB70 as of July 1, 2011
- Already including in Tentative Budget
- Plan B = loss of \$12.4 million in state apportionment revenue
  - Need to identify approximately \$5 million in reductions/solutions

### RSCCD - What's next?

- Preparation of the 2011-2012 Tentative Budget
  - Tentative Budget Assumptions presented for Board approval at next meeting
  - Tentative Budget is a "placeholder" budget
    Authority at July 1 to continue operations

#### Tentative Budget approval at June 20 Board meeting

# RSCCD - What's next?

Wait for State Budget – June/July or September/October? Approve Tentative Budget in June Include enacted State Budget in Adopted **Budget in September** Numbers will change from Tentative to Adopted based on actual reductions in enacted State Budget