

# RANCHO SANTIAGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

website: [Fiscal Resources Committee](#)

**Agenda for Thursday, May 24, 2018**

1:30 p.m. - 3:00 p.m.

**Santa Ana Room**

1. Welcome
2. State/District Budget Update – Hardash
  - [Governor’s May Revise](#)
  - [LAO - May Revision Analysis](#)
  - CCCCCO - May Revise Update
  - CCFC - Governor Issues May Revision, No New Facilities Projects Funded
  - CCLC - League Summary of the Governor’s 2018-19 May Revision & CC Budget Proposal
  - DOF - Estimates of New Funding Formula
  - DOF - Factors of New Funding Formula
  - DOF - May Revise Formula Simulation May 15, 2018
  - SSC - New CalPERS School Employer Rates Released
  - SSC - LAO Analyzes Governor’s Apprenticeship Proposal
  - SSC - Budget Subcommittees Discuss Funding Formula Proposal
  - SSC - FY 2018-19, the Statutory COLA is Estimated to Be 2.71%
  - SSC - Chancellor’s Office Sends Formula Recommendations to the Department of Finance
  - SSC - CalSTRS Unfunded Liability Increase
  - SSC - May Revision Proposes Flat Funding in 2018-19
  - SSC - Initial Impressions From the Governor’s 2018-19 May Revision Proposal
  - SSC - An Overview of the 18-19 Governor’s May Revision
  - SSC - Estimates of Out-Years COLAs
  - SSC - Additional Details Emerge on the Proposed Funding Formula
  - SSC - LAO Releases Analysis of the Governor’s May Revision Education Proposals
3. 2018/19 Proposed Tentative Budget – **Recommendation to District Council**
  - Cuts Recap
4. Reconvene FRC Subcommittee to Review and Update the District’s BAM based on New State Student-Centered Funding Formula
5. Informational Handouts
  - District-wide expenditure report link: <https://intranet.rscsd.edu>
  - Vacant Funded Position List as of May 17, 2018
  - Measure “Q” Project Cost Summary as of April 30, 2018
  - Monthly Cash Flow Summary as of April 30, 2018
  - [SAC Planning and Budget Committee Agendas and Minutes](#)
  - [SCC Budget Committee Agendas and Minutes](#)
6. Approval of FRC Minutes – April 18, 2018
7. Other

**Next FRC Committee Meeting:** (Executive Conference Room #114 1:30 pm – 3:00 pm)  
July 3, 2018 (Tuesday)

**The mission of the Rancho Santiago Community College District is to provide quality educational programs and services that address the needs of our diverse students and communities.**

## CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGES

## CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE

1102 Q STREET, SUITE 4400

SACRAMENTO, CA 95811-6549

(916) 322-4005

<http://www.cccco.edu>

## MEMORANDUM

DATE: May 11, 2018

TO: California Community Colleges Stakeholders

FROM: Christian Osmeña, Vice Chancellor for College Finance and Facilities Planning  
Laura Metune, Vice Chancellor for External Relations

RE: Governor's May Revision of the 2018-19 State Budget

Earlier this morning, Governor Brown released his May Revision of the budget for the 2018-19 fiscal year (including revisions to appropriations for the 2016-17 and 2017-18 fiscal years).

The May Revision represents good progress for the California Community Colleges, reflecting modest growth that builds on the substantial increases in funding included in the Governor's Budget. These resources will allow our system to accelerate the progress we are already making toward the goals included in the Vision for Success. Further, the proposed amendments respond well to feedback our office has received from many stakeholders over the last four months, especially with regard to the significant proposals in the Governor's Budget. Specifically:

- **Funding Formula**—On Monday, Chancellor Oakley formally transmitted recommendations to the Department of Finance on changes to the funding model for the California Community Colleges. We think the recommendations appropriately balance the Chancellor's commitment to equity, the system's focus on student success, and the need to provide districts with time to transition. We appreciate that the May Revision largely reflects those recommendations. If enacted, we are confident that the Governor's proposal would bring our system closer to achieving the Vision for Success.
- **Online College**—The May Revision includes several changes in the design of the new online community college to respond to feedback about how the college would operate as part of the system. Most significantly, the May Revision proposes to maintain the online college under the authority of the Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges, rather than establish a new entity for these purposes. Further, it clarifies how collective bargaining would be administered; specifically, the Board of Governors would designate an existing community college district to establish a collective bargaining agreement that would apply to the represented employees of the online college.

Table 1 displays our office's initial summary of proposals included in the May Revision and compares them to the proposals included in the Governor's January budget. Table 2 compares the Chancellor's recommendations on the funding formula to both the Governor's January budget and May Revision proposals.

Over the next month, the Governor and the Legislature will work rapidly to enact a final budget, with a June 15 deadline for the Legislature to pass a budget for consideration by the Governor and a July 1 start to the new fiscal year. We expect budget subcommittees in both houses of the Legislature to hear the Governor's May Revision proposals next week, with votes to create the houses' versions of the budget shortly thereafter. The conference committee on the budget, which resolves differences between the houses' budgets, is expected to begin its deliberations by the end of the month. At the same time, the Governor and legislative leaders will begin their negotiations in earnest.

The Chancellor's Office will work to inform these discussions consistent with the priorities of the Board of Governors and the Chancellor and our understanding of the system's needs. Please feel free to reach out with comments and feedback.

Attachments:

Table 1—Initial Comparison of Governor's Budget and May Revision

Table 2—Comparison of Chancellor's Recommendations on Funding Formula and Governor's Proposals

**Table 1—Initial Comparison of Governor’s Budget and May Revision**

<b>Topic</b>	<b>2018-19 Governor’s Budget</b> <i>(Adjustments displayed below are incremental to the totals included in the 2017-18 Budget Act.)</i>	<b>2018-19 May Revision</b> <i>(Adjustments displayed below are incremental to the totals included in the Governor’s Budget.)</i>
<b>Proposition 98</b>	<p><b>Totals</b>—Reflects a minimum guarantee of \$78.3 billion (\$54.5 billion General Fund and \$23.8 billion local property taxes) for 2018-19.</p> <p><b>“Tests”</b>—Calculates the Proposition 98 minimum guarantee in 2016-17 and 2018-19 using “Test 3” (which generally grows funding based on changes in General Fund revenues and school attendance) and in 2017-18 using “Test 2” (which grows funding based on changes in per capita personal income and school attendance).</p> <p><b>Split</b>—Proposes appropriations with a “split” (between K-12 and community college appropriations) of 10.99 percent in 2016-17 and 10.93 percent in 2017-18 and 2018-19. (The split is calculated after the total amount of Proposition 98 appropriations is reduced by appropriations for adult education, the Governor’s proposed K-12 Strong Workforce program, and other agencies.)</p>	<p><b>Totals</b>—Reflects a minimum guarantee of \$78.4 billion (\$55 billion General Fund and \$23.4 billion local property taxes) for 2018-19.</p> <p>Over the three-year budget window, compared to the Governor’s January budget, adds \$727 million, with \$252 million in 2016-17, \$407 million in 2017-18, and \$68 million in 2018-19.</p> <p>(Under the Governor’s budgeting approach, increases in the past and current years are generally considered available only for one-time purposes.)</p> <p><b>“Tests”</b>—Calculates the minimum guarantee in 2016-17 using “Test 3” and in 2017-18 and 2018-19 using “Test 2.”</p> <p><b>Split</b>—Proposes appropriations with an effective split of 10.93 percent in 2016-17, 2017-18, and 2018-19.</p> <p><b>Certification</b>—Begins the process by which the Director of Finance, the Superintendent of Public Instruction, and the Chancellor certify actual data for the prior fiscal year pursuant to existing law related to Proposition 98.</p>

**Table 1—Initial Comparison of Governor’s Budget and May Revision**

<b>Topic</b>	<b>2018-19 Governor’s Budget</b> <i>(Adjustments displayed below are incremental to the totals included in the 2017-18 Budget Act.)</i>	<b>2018-19 May Revision</b> <i>(Adjustments displayed below are incremental to the totals included in the Governor’s Budget.)</i>
<b>General Apportionment</b>	<p>Proposes total funds of \$7.1 billion for the general apportionment.</p> <p>Compared to 2017-18, reflects policy changes for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increase of \$175 million to support new funding formula.</li> <li>• Increase of \$161.2 million for cost-of-living adjustment (2.51 percent).</li> <li>• Increase of \$60 million for enrollment growth (1 percent).</li> </ul> <p>Also makes various other workload budget adjustments to reflect revised estimates of enrollment and offsetting revenues.</p>	<p>Proposes total funds of \$7.1 billion for the general apportionment, including implementation of the funding formula proposed in the Governor’s Budget.</p> <p>Compared to the Governor’s Budget, reflects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increase of \$11.9 million based on revised cost-of-living adjustment (2.71 percent).</li> <li>• Decrease of \$300,000 based on revised estimate of costs of enrollment growth (1 percent).</li> </ul> <p>Also makes various other workload budget adjustments to reflect revised estimates of enrollment and offsetting revenues.</p> <p>Also adds \$104 million one-time in 2018-19 to provide discretionary resources so that all districts receive, in 2018-19, total resources of at least their 2017-18 totals adjusted by 2.71 percent.</p>
<b>Funding Formula and Categorical Programs</b>	<b>See Table 2 for more details on the funding formula, categorical programs, and related issues.</b>	

**Table 1—Initial Comparison of Governor’s Budget and May Revision**

<p><b>Topic</b></p>	<p><b>2018-19 Governor’s Budget</b> <i>(Adjustments displayed below are incremental to the totals included in the 2017-18 Budget Act.)</i></p>	<p><b>2018-19 May Revision</b> <i>(Adjustments displayed below are incremental to the totals included in the Governor’s Budget.)</i></p>
<p><b>Online College</b></p>	<p>Includes a total of \$120 million (\$100 million one-time and \$20 million ongoing) for a new online community college.</p> <p>Establishes the college within a new community college district under a newly-established, independent governing board.</p> <p>College would offer accessible, flexible, and high-quality online courses and programs with student supports. The college would be designed to avoid impacts on enrollment at the existing community colleges, because it would offer programs for working adults not currently accessing postsecondary education.</p> <p>In April, the Chancellor’s Office announced that, if the college is approved, the first program pathway would serve working adults who want additional skills and credentials in the field of medical coding.</p>	<p>Retains total funding of \$120 million.</p> <p>Amends the trailer bill as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Governance</i>—Instead establishes the college under the authority of the Board of Governors.</li> <li>• <i>Collective Bargaining</i>—Requires the Board of Governors to contract with a community college district for the purposes of collective bargaining pursuant to the Educational Employment Relations Act.</li> <li>• <i>Delivery</i>—Adds provisions related to accessibility, reporting on outcomes, and dissemination of practices.</li> <li>• <i>Accreditation</i>—Clarifies that the president would seek accreditation upon enrollment of the first students. Requires the Workforce Development Board and the Employment Development Department to certify labor market value of programs in interim period.</li> <li>• <i>Curriculum</i>—Clarifies intent that online college create unique content and deliver it in a way that does not duplicate programs offered at other community colleges and the requires the college’s faculty to review Online Education Initiative protocols for adoption as appropriate.</li> </ul> <p>Announces that, if the college is approved, the college would also offer a pathway in IT support.</p>

**Table 1—Initial Comparison of Governor’s Budget and May Revision**

<b>Topic</b>	<b>2018-19 Governor’s Budget</b> <i>(Adjustments displayed below are incremental to the totals included in the 2017-18 Budget Act.)</i>	<b>2018-19 May Revision</b> <i>(Adjustments displayed below are incremental to the totals included in the Governor’s Budget.)</i>
<b>Deferred Maintenance and Instructional Equipment</b>	Includes \$275.2 million for deferred maintenance and instructional equipment program.	Reduces the total amount for this program by \$131.7 million. (Therefore, a total of \$143.5 million would be appropriated for these purposes in 2018-19). This change reflects a shift in funds to instead provide one-time discretionary funds as part of implementation of the new funding formula, to support other one-time purposes, and to account for changes in the Proposition 98 minimum guarantee.
<b>California College Promise Program</b>	Includes \$46 million ongoing for implementation of the California College Promise Program.	No change.
<b>Capital Outlay</b>	Includes \$45 million in Proposition 51 bond funds for five new projects and 15 continuing projects. (The Board of Governors had requested funding for 15 new projects and 15 continuing projects. One project has since been withdrawn.)	No new proposals. However, in April, the Governor added \$5 million to add an additional phase for the new five projects included in the January budget and to add the Imperial Valley College project.

**Table 1—Initial Comparison of Governor’s Budget and May Revision**

<b>Topic</b>	<b>2018-19 Governor’s Budget</b> <i>(Adjustments displayed below are incremental to the totals included in the 2017-18 Budget Act.)</i>	<b>2018-19 May Revision</b> <i>(Adjustments displayed below are incremental to the totals included in the Governor’s Budget.)</i>
<b>Apprenticeship</b>	<p>Adds the following related to apprenticeship programs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• \$30.6 million one-time for reimbursements for related and supplemental instruction (RSI) delivered in prior years.</li> <li>• \$14 million ongoing to increase funded RSI hours in 2018-19.</li> <li>• \$4 million ongoing to increased RSI rates to align with noncredit rate.</li> </ul> <p>Includes trailer bill language to authorize students enrolled in credit courses as part of apprenticeship programs to be included in FTES counts for purposes of general apportionment.</p>	<p>Adds (1) \$5.9 million one-time to for reimbursement of RSI delivered in prior years and (2) \$4.8 million ongoing to fund revised estimate of RSI hours in 2018-19.</p>
<b>Financial Aid</b>	<p>Consolidates Full-Time Student Success Grant and Community College Completion Grant, with changes in program design to increase grants for each additional unit of enrollment (at 12, 13, 14, and 15 units). Consistent with the existing programs, this new program would provide additional funds to students who receive the Cal Grant B. Adds \$32.9 million, which reflects combination of changes in estimates of caseload and the additional benefits provided in the new program.</p>	<p>Adds \$7.8 million ongoing based on revised estimates of caseload in the new program. Amends the program to not require that a student have an educational plan as a condition of receipt of the grant.</p> <p>Separately, adds \$13.5 million one-time and \$5 million ongoing for implementation of new financial aid system improvements at the colleges.</p>
<b>Innovation Awards</b>	<p>Includes \$20 million one-time for program to encourage innovation in equity.</p>	<p>No change.</p>

**Table 1—Initial Comparison of Governor’s Budget and May Revision**

<b>Topic</b>	<b>2018-19 Governor’s Budget</b> <i>(Adjustments displayed below are incremental to the totals included in the 2017-18 Budget Act.)</i>	<b>2018-19 May Revision</b> <i>(Adjustments displayed below are incremental to the totals included in the Governor’s Budget.)</i>
<b>Cost-of-Living Adjustments</b>	Adds a total of \$7.3 million to reflect cost-of-living adjustment of 2.51 percent for the Disabled Student Programs and Services program, the Extended Opportunities Programs and Services Program, the CalWORKs Program, and the Child Care Tax Bailout Program.	Adds a total of \$581,000 to reflect revised cost-of-living adjustment of 2.71 percent for the Disabled Student Programs and Services program, the Extended Opportunities Programs and Services Program, the CalWORKs Program, and the Child Care Tax Bailout Program.
<b>State Lottery</b>	Adds \$4.5 million in 2017-18 and \$4.3 million beginning in 2018-19 pursuant to revised estimates.	No change.
<b>Certified Nurse Assistant Programs</b>	Adds \$2 million one-time to expand enrollment in certified nurse assistant training programs.	No change.
<b>State Operations</b>	Adds \$2 million to support costs of additional positions at the Chancellor’s Office. Also makes various workload adjustments.	No change.
<b>Mandates Block Grant</b>	Adds \$810,000 to reflect cost-of-living adjustment of 2.51 percent for the mandates block grant and also reduces funding by \$501,000 to reflect enrollment estimates.	Adds \$110,000 for revised growth estimates and \$68,000 to reflect revised cost-of-living adjustment of 2.71 percent.
<b>Open Educational Resources</b>	No proposal.	Adds \$6 million one-time for open educational resources.
<b>NextUp (Cooperating Agencies Foster Youth Educational Support)</b>	No proposal.	Adds \$5 million ongoing, which is expected to allow the program to expand to 20 colleges.
<b>Course Identification Numbering System (C-ID)</b>	No proposal.	Adds \$685,000 one-time to support the course identification numbering system (C-ID).

**Table 1—Initial Comparison of Governor’s Budget and May Revision**

<b>Topic</b>	<b>2018-19 Governor’s Budget</b> <i>(Adjustments displayed below are incremental to the totals included in the 2017-18 Budget Act.)</i>	<b>2018-19 May Revision</b> <i>(Adjustments displayed below are incremental to the totals included in the Governor’s Budget.)</i>
<b>Adult Education</b>	Adds \$20.5 million to reflect cost-of-living adjustment of 2.51 percent for the Adult Education Block Grant. Adds \$5 million for data system for use by Adult Education Block Grant regional consortia members.	Adds \$1 million for Adult Education Block Grant to reflect revised cost-of-living adjustment of 2.71 percent.
<b>K-12 Strong Workforce Program</b>	Adds \$212 million for a K-12 Strong Workforce Program, which would be intended for local educational agencies to improve and expand their career-technical education programs in alignment with the existing Strong Workforce Program.	Adds \$2 million to support the administrative costs borne by consortia as part of the proposed K-12 Strong Workforce Program.

**Table 2—Comparison of Chancellor’s Recommendations on Funding Formula and Governor’s Proposals**

Issue	Governor’s January Budget	Chancellor’s Recommendation	Governor’s May Revision
<b>Formula Construction</b>	<p>Overall, determines rates for various components of the formula by setting a goal that, statewide, about half of the funds would be spent on a Base Grant, a quarter would be spent on a Supplemental Grant, and a quarter would be spent on a Student Success Incentive Grant. (50-25-25 split.)</p> <p>Some categories of FTES, including FTES for incarcerated students and FTES for high school students admitted as special part-time or full-time students, are funded at current rates.</p>	<p>Overall, determines rates through a 60-20-20 split, using the same three funding elements.</p> <p>(Under this proposal, the third element would function differently than the Governor’s proposal, because it would provide “premiums” to districts based on the success of high-needs students. More detail is included below.)</p> <p>Retains proposal to fund specified categories of FTES at current rates. Adds all noncredit FTES—including CDCP noncredit—as a category funded at current rates. (Therefore, noncredit programs would not be subject to new funding model.)</p>	<p>Includes Chancellor’s recommendation to fund rates through a 60-20-20 split, using the same three funding elements.</p> <p>Includes Chancellor’s recommendation to fund specified categories of FTES (including noncredit FTES) at current rates.</p>
<b>Funding Available to Implement the Formula</b>	<p>Includes \$175 million provided in the January budget proposal explicitly for this purpose. Also allocates \$161 million (for cost-of-living adjustment) and \$60 million (for 1-percent growth) to support costs of the formula.</p>	<p>Uses the funds included in the Governor’s proposal. Strongly urges the Governor and the Legislature to consider appropriating additional Proposition 98 funds for the general apportionment (above those provided in the January budget). If necessary, redirects funds included in the Governor’s proposal for deferred maintenance and instructional materials to instead support implementation of the formula.</p>	<p>Uses the funds included in the January budget. Also provides \$104 million in one-time funds in 2018-19.</p>

**Table 2—Comparison of Chancellor’s Recommendations on Funding Formula and Governor’s Proposals**

Issue	Governor’s January Budget	Chancellor’s Recommendation	Governor’s May Revision
<b>Transition Timeline (“Hold Harmless”)</b>	Phases-in the new formula by committing to a “hold harmless” period generally specifying that, in 2018-19, a district would not receive less in total funds than the district received in 2017-18 and that, beginning in 2019-20, a district would not receive less per FTES than the district received in 2017-18. (Many districts would see the additional benefit of the formula beginning in 2018-19. That is, if the formula calculation for 2018-19 year exceeds the amount a district received in 2017-18, the district would receive the additional dollars in 2018-19.)	<p>Extends “hold harmless” provision proposed in January budget by committing that, in 2018-19 and 2019-20, a district would not receive less in total funds than the district received in 2017-18 (with that 2017-18 amount adjusted for changes in cost-of-living in 2018-19).</p> <p>Consistent with the Governor’s proposal, districts benefiting under the new formula would receive the additional dollars beginning in 2018-19.</p>	<p>Includes Chancellor’s recommendation to extend the “hold harmless” on total funds by one additional year. Therefore, in 2018-19 and 2019-20, a district would not receive less in total funds than the district received in 2017-18. While the proposal would not adjust the 2017-18 total by changes in the cost-of-living, the May Revision includes one-time funds to provide districts with discretionary resources such that all districts would receive, in 2018-19, the amount they received in 2017-18, adjusted by 2.71 percent.</p> <p>Beginning in 2019-20, a district would not receive less per FTES than the district received in 2017-18.</p> <p>Again, districts benefiting would receive additional dollars beginning in 2018-19.</p>
<b>Authority for Limitation on Year-to-Year Funding Increases</b>	No proposal.	Provides the Chancellor with authority to limit the year-over-year funding increase a district can earn under the formula, with the intent that such a provision would allow all districts to achieve year-over-year growth given limited resources. (The intent of the Chancellor’s Office would be to use such authority on a very limited basis and only when critical to the financial health of the system.)	Not included.

**Table 2—Comparison of Chancellor’s Recommendations on Funding Formula and Governor’s Proposals**

Issue	Governor’s January Budget	Chancellor’s Recommendation	Governor’s May Revision
<p><b>Calculation of Base Allocation</b></p>	<p>Funds budgeted FTES and a basic allocation consistent with the existing system.</p> <p>Ends the practice of “summer shift” and gives districts choice of the fiscal year in which summer enrollments are counted.</p> <p>Retains rural allocations.</p>	<p>Calls for a “Base Allocation,” which funds budgeted FTES and a basic allocation consistent with the existing system.</p> <p>However, uses a three-year weighted FTES composite (weighting the current year at 50 percent and the two trailing years at 25 percent each). (In 2018-19, uses a two-year average—with 2017-18 and 2018-19 each weighted at 50 percent.)</p> <p>Ends the practice of “summer shift” by consistently counting summer session enrollments in the fiscal year that follows the summer term. This change would be effective 2019-20, with summer 2019 enrollments included in the 2019-20 fiscal year.</p> <p>Ends enrollment “stability funding.”</p> <p>Generally equalizes per-FTES credit funding rates for all districts.</p> <p>Consistent with the Governor’s proposal, retains rural allocations.</p>	<p>Uses a simple three-year FTES average.</p> <p>Ends the practice of “summer shift” by requiring summer terms that cross fiscal years to count in the fiscal year that follow the summer term. This change would be effective 2019-20, with those summer 2019 enrollments included in the 2019-20 fiscal year.</p> <p>Includes the Chancellor’s recommendation to end enrollment “stability funding.”</p> <p>Retains higher per FTES-credit funding for 10 districts not currently equalized.</p> <p>Consistent with the January proposal, retains rural allocations.</p>

**Table 2—Comparison of Chancellor’s Recommendations on Funding Formula and Governor’s Proposals**

Issue	Governor’s January Budget	Chancellor’s Recommendation	Governor’s May Revision
<p><b>Calculation of Equity Allocation</b></p>	<p>Provides additional funding based on the number of low-income students a district enrolls, as measured by the enrollment of students receiving federal Pell Grants (using a cohort measure) and also those receiving College Promise Grants, with data from the past year.</p>	<p>Calls for an “Equity Allocation,” which provides districts with additional resources based on the enrollment of (1) low-income students and (2) first-generation students.</p> <p>Low-income students are defined as students who are any of the following: (1) Pell Grants recipients, (2) California College Promise Grant recipients age 25 and over, and (3) AB 540 students.</p> <p>First-generation students are those who indicate neither parent has attended college (as reported on CCC Apply).</p> <p>Using the 20-percent allocation to determine the overall funding for this allocation, the rates for each of the measures would be determined for 2018-19 based on a calculation of “points.” Students meeting one of the above-noted characteristics (low-income or first-generation) generate 1 point in the formula calculation. Students with both characteristics generate 1.5 points. Beginning in 2019-20, the rates calculated in 2018-19 would be the basis for apportionment.</p>	<p>Similar to the Chancellor’s recommendation, provides additional resources based on the number of low-income students, which would be the sum of the totals in the following categories: (1) Pell Grant recipients, (2) College Promise Grant recipients age 25 and over, and (3) AB 540 students.</p> <p>Using the 20-percent allocation to determine the overall funding for this allocation, the rates for each of the measures would be determined for 2018-19 by dividing the total amount of funding by the total number of students. Beginning in 2019-20, the rates calculated in 2018-19 would be the basis for apportionment.</p>

**Table 2—Comparison of Chancellor’s Recommendations on Funding Formula and Governor’s Proposals**

<p><b>Calculation of Student Success Allocation</b></p>	<p>Provides districts with additional resources based on the number of students meeting the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Earning a degree or certificate.</li> <li>• Earning a degree or certificate or transferring in three years or less.</li> <li>• Earning an Associate Degree for Transfer (ADT).</li> </ul> <p>All of these would be based on total counts from the prior year.</p>	<p>Calls for a “Student Success Allocation,” which provides districts with additional resources based on the number of students meeting a more broadly-defined set of outcomes.</p> <p>Using the 20-percent allocation to determine the overall funding for this allocation, the rates would be determined for 2018-19 based on a calculation of “points.” A single student could generate points for one outcome within each of the following categories (with all of the counts generated from prior year data):</p> <p><b>Progression</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Completion of both transfer-level mathematics and transfer-level English within the first year of enrollment. (3 points)</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcomes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Completion of an ADT. (4 points)</li> <li>• Completion of an associate degree or California community colleges baccalaureate degree. (3 points)</li> <li>• Credit certificates 16 units or greater. (2 points)</li> <li>• Completion of nine career technical education (CTE) units. (1 point)</li> </ul> <p><b>Wages</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Attainment of a regional living wage after one year of completion. (1 point)</li> </ul>	<p>Similar to the Chancellor’s recommendation, provides additional resources based on the number of students meeting a more broadly-defined set of outcomes.</p> <p>Using the 20-percent allocation to determine the overall funding for this allocation, the rates would be determined for 2018-19 based on a calculation of “points,” with points awarded as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Completion of an ADT. (4 points)</li> <li>• Completion of an associate degree or California community colleges baccalaureate degree. (3 points)</li> <li>• Credit certificates 16 units or greater. (2 points)</li> <li>• Completion of both transfer-level mathematics and transfer-level English within the first year of enrollment. (2 points)</li> <li>• Transfer to four-year institution. (1.5 points)</li> <li>• Completion of nine career technical education (CTE) units. (1 point)</li> <li>• Attainment of a regional living wage after one year of completion. (1 point)</li> </ul> <p>Pell Grant recipients meeting any of the above outcomes would generate an additional set of points equal to the number generated above.</p>
---	--	--	--

**Table 2—Comparison of Chancellor’s Recommendations on Funding Formula and Governor’s Proposals**

Issue	Governor’s January Budget	Chancellor’s Recommendation	Governor’s May Revision
		<p>Further, provides districts with additional resources based as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For a student who is either a low-income student or a first-generation student (but not both), the student would generate an additional set of points equal to the number generated above.</li> <li>• For a student who is both a low-income student and a first-generation student, the student would generate an additional set of points equal to the number generated above multiplied by 1.5.</li> </ul>	
<b>Use of Funds for Interventions</b>	Authorizes the Chancellor to direct that a district use up to 3 percent for assistance.	Retains the Governor’s proposal.	Authorizes the Chancellor to direct that a district use up to 1 percent for assistance.
<b>Future Changes to the Formula</b>	Authorizes the Board of Governors to amend the formula with concurrence from the Department of Finance (which is required to consult with the Legislative Analyst’s Office) and notification to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee.	Retains the Governor’s proposal.	Retains the January proposal.

**Table 2—Comparison of Chancellor’s Recommendations on Funding Formula and Governor’s Proposals**

Issue	Governor’s January Budget	Chancellor’s Recommendation	Governor’s May Revision
<b>Consolidation of Categorical Programs</b>	Requests that the Chancellor’s Office consult with stakeholders and develop a proposal that would consolidate categorical programs.	Consolidates Student Success and Support Program, Student Success for Basic Skills Program, and Student Equity program. Beginning in 2018-19, implements a methodology by which the funds are allocated for this new program to align with the funding formula. Includes a “hold harmless” provision, whereby a district would not receive less in 2018-19 and 2019-20 from the new consolidated categorical program than the sum of the amounts the district received from those programs in 2017-18. Expects funds to be used in support of student equity plans.	Consolidates Student Success and Support Program, Student Success for Basic Skills Program, and Student Equity program into a single program, whereby a district would not receive less in 2018-19 and 2019-20 from the new consolidated categorical program than the sum of the amounts the district received from those programs in 2017-18. Includes the Chancellor’s recommendation for expected uses of funds.
<b>Funding to Encourage Full-Time Faculty Hiring</b>	No proposal.	Creates a new categorical program that would encourage the addition of new full-time faculty with additional funds.	Not included.
<b>Automatic Adjustments to Appropriations for General Apportionment</b>	No proposal.	Includes statute that would provide for an automatic backfill for changes in estimates that occur after the enactment of the annual budget.	Not included.



## **Governor Issues May Revision, No New Facilities Projects Funded**

Today, Governor Brown released his May Revision update to the 2018-19 budget proposed in January. The May Revision incorporates the latest economic forecasts and updated revenue figures based on April tax receipts. Despite a positive economic forecast and increased revenue projections, the Governor emphasized the importance of fiscal restraint and preparing for the next economic downturn. The May Revision estimates an additional \$8.8 billion over what the Governor projected in January.

### **Capital Outlay and School Bond**

The May Revision does not propose to fund any additional community college capital outlay projects. The January budget proposed to fund five new capital outlay projects in 2018-19. In April, the Administration issued an April budget letter supporting funding for a sixth capital outlay project, at Imperial Valley CCD. At that time, the Administration also proposed to fund the working drawings phase in addition to preliminary plans in 2018-19 for the five new projects proposed in January. The six projects are worth a total of \$139.8 million through construction.

The Chancellor's Office recommends funding 14 new capital outlay projects, and CCFC supports this request.

*We need your help! See below for information on how you can engage in the advocacy process on this issue.*

Deferred Maintenance and Instructional Support - The May Revision includes a decrease of \$131.7 million in one-time Proposition 98 General Fund, for a total of \$143.5 million for deferred maintenance, instructional equipment, and specified water conservation projects. This is a reflection of alternative spending priorities.

Student Funding Formula - The May Revision includes adjustments in response to feedback from the Chancellor's Office and colleges. The adjustments revise the formula framework, supplemental metrics, and student success incentive metrics. The revised formula will include a base grant (60% of funding), a supplemental grant based on the number of enrolled low-income students (20%), and a student success incentive base grant based on the number of degrees, certificates, and transfers (20%). The May Revision provides an additional \$104 million in one-time Proposition 98 General Fund to provide one-time discretionary resources to districts whose year-over-year increase in general purpose apportionment funding would be less than 2.71 percent (the budget's cost-of-living adjustment).

### **Online College**

In response to feedback from stakeholders, the May Revision includes adjustments and clarification on a variety of issues related to the proposed online community college. The Board of Governors would serve as the governing board, and the authorizing legislation will clarify the intent for the online college to create unique content and not duplicate content already provided by local colleges.

### **Advocacy and Next Steps**

CCFC will continue to encourage the Legislature to include funding for all 14 capital outlay projects in the 2018-19 budget. The Legislature must act to pass a budget by June 15, so time is of the essence to make the case for funding the remaining projects. Please submit a letter to the Legislature urging them to fund all 14 projects and preserve the capital outlay program. Use the link below for a template letter that you can use.

[Click here for the Contact the Legislature Letter Template](#)

Send a copy of your letter to Jessica Contreras ([jcontreras@m-w-h.com](mailto:jcontreras@m-w-h.com)) at CCFC. Thank you for your participation.

Rebekah Cearley  
CCFC Legislative Advocate

[Subscribe](#)

[Past Issues](#)

[Trans](#)

[View this email in your browser](#)



## League Summary of the Governor's 2018-19 May Revision and Community College Budget Proposal

This morning, Governor Brown released the final May Revision of his tenure. In doing so, he emphasized his higher education priorities, including two signature proposals – a new fully online community college focused on innovations in online learning and a new *student-focused* funding formula – both of which maintained prominent investments in the 2018-19 May Revision.

The proposal includes an increase to the cost-of-living adjustment, increasing from 2.51% in January to 2.71% in the May Revision. This is welcome news for districts as they experience escalating operating costs simply to maintain quality programs for all students.

The 2018-19 May Revision retains a \$46 million allocation for College Promise programs to support local efforts to build a college-going culture with a focus on increasing first-time, full-time students and confronting students' growing non-tuition costs.

**Economic Context:** Governor Brown's budget reflects concern with appropriating one-time funds for ongoing purposes and emphasizes the

inevitability of a state recession, stating that “I intend to leave the most responsible budget I can for the next Governor.” While California – now the fifth largest economy in the world – is on pace to build an \$8 billion surplus by July 2019, the May Revision minimizes new spending in anticipation of increasing costs to address natural disasters, the next recession, inadequately funded pension obligations, and uncertainty about federally-funded programs.

**Proposition 98 and Community Colleges:** California’s economic improvements brings the Proposition 98 K-14 guarantee for 2018-19 to an all-time high of \$78.4 billion. For community colleges, the Governor’s 2018-19 proposal provides approximately \$800 million in new Proposition 98 resources – of which approximately \$300 million are in one-time funds. The Governor also honors the statutory split of 10.93%. As part of this budget, the Director of Finance, the Superintendent of Public Instruction, and the Chancellor will begin the process of certifying the data for the calculation of the Proposition 98 guarantee.

The Governor’s budget summary is [available here](#). Below is a chart comparing the Governor’s May Revision to the proposed budget for community colleges in January:

**Major 2018-19 Budget Proposals for Community Colleges:**

**Proposal for a New Funding Formula** – The Governor’s Budget highlights the challenges of an enrollment-only formula, emphasizing that it fails to capture the comprehensive mission of CCCs and runs counter-cyclical to the economy. The need for a modification to the funding formula is exacerbated by the fact that of the 72 community college districts, 32 are in stability and 18 have not fully restored - indicating that many districts are expecting significant budget cuts in 2018-19 due to declining enrollments.

The Governor proposes several key adjustments to the funding formula framework that was released in January. Under the May Revision funding formula, \$175 million ongoing and \$104 million one-time would be allocated to both hold colleges harmless to 2017-18 levels and to build district capacity. This allocation recognizes this significant transition will require resources to enhance the quality of existing student programs and services. It also recognizes the importance of offering some measure of stability to colleges throughout California. General operating resources remain critical for maintaining faculty and staff talent, paying for healthcare and pensions, and covering additional operating costs to serve our most vulnerable student populations.

The League will closely analyze forthcoming simulations as they will be crucial in evaluating any new funding formula. The Governor’s May Revision funding

formula is structured as follows:

<b>May Revision - Governor’s Proposed Funding Formula</b>
<p><b>Base Grants (60% of formula)</b> — District base grant calculated through FTES enrollment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Measures FTES enrollment based on a three-year average.</li> <li>• Consistently counts summer session enrollments in the fiscal year that follows the summer term.</li> <li>• Provides a rural allocation consistent with the current formula.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Supplemental Grant (20% of formula)</b> — Supplemental grants based on the number of low-income students that the district enrolls.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Measures Three Factors:                             <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pell Grant headcount enrollment</li> <li>2. Headcount enrollment of students 25 years and older who receive a College Promise Grant fee waiver (formerly known as the BOG Fee Waiver)</li> <li>3. AB 540 students per the California Dream Grant application</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
<p><b>Student Success Incentive Grant (20% of formula)</b> — Funding for student outcomes with additional funding for outcomes of low-income students:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Progression                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Completion of transfer-level mathematics and transfer-level English within the first year of enrollment.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Outcomes                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Completion of an associate degree or California community colleges baccalaureate degree.</li> <li>◦ Transfer to <u>any</u> accredited 4-year institution</li> <li>◦ Completion of an ADT</li> <li>◦ Credit certificates 18 units or greater</li> <li>◦ Completion of 9 career technical education (CTE) units</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Wages                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Attainment of a regional living wage after one year of completion.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Provides districts with additional resources based on the number of Pell Grant students meeting any of the above outcomes.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Hold Harmless Provision</b> — Establishes a two-year hold harmless approach</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Districts would be held harmless to 2017-18 levels with COLA for two-years.</li> <li>• Formula metrics would be implemented in year three or fiscal year 2020-21.</li> </ul>

**Noncredit & CDCP** — Excludes noncredit and CDCP courses from the new funding formula and funds these programs at existing rates.

**Technical Assistance** — Authorizes the Chancellor to direct a district to use up to 1 percent of a district's apportionment for assistance.

In late January, Chancellor Oakley requested the Chief Executive Officers of California Community Colleges (CEOCCC) Board convene a small group of CEOs to make recommendations for a new formula. After intensive review of the Governor's January proposal and examination of the experiences of other state systems with similar funding approaches, the Workgroup concluded that an essential component of a new funding formula is **building the institutional capacity of the 72 districts as a necessary condition of student success. This requires a significant infusion of base funding for California's 114 community colleges.**

The Governor's May Revision Funding Formula includes key concepts and recommendations of the CEO Funding Formula Workgroup, including: a two-year hold harmless, a significant increase to base funding, calculation of enrollment based on a three-year average, resources for the success of economically disadvantaged students, and recognition of transfer to any accredited four-year institution. The League is appreciative of the consideration and inclusion of some of these essential elements that build district capacity and increase funding predictability. However, several of the formula modifications are received with caution as the plan does not include a period for extensive research, simulations, or a thoughtful phase-in of the formula metrics.

In the final weeks of discussion, **we will continue to emphasize the importance of providing two years of funding at a new, higher base level with COLA while the formula metrics are analyzed and refined to ensure their efficacy in advancing student access, equity, and success.**

**Online Education** – The Governor continues the provocative discussion of enhancing affordable public online education options for Californians by allocating \$20 million ongoing and \$100 million one-time for the establishment of a new online entity. The May Revision proposal includes several modest modifications but continues its focus on serving 25-34 year olds with no degrees or credentials through a model that awards credit for prior-learning and utilizes competency-based education.

We appreciate the Governor's innovative vision to further expand online education for underserved Californians. We request the Governor also consider strengthening the existing online ecosystem of California community colleges that serve diverse students through an online format by empowering us to make the changes necessary to better meet the needs of these students. By transferring proposed innovations and advances to the existing online

ecosystem and integrating systemwide efforts, colleges can reach more underserved students with quality online education. In the coming week, the Board will further explore the details of this proposal with the goal of serving more Californians with accessible quality online instruction.

**Thoughtful Consolidation of Certain Categorical Programs** – Consistent with the CEO Funding Formula Workgroup Recommendations, the Governor proposes the consolidation of the Student Success and Support Program (SSSP), the Student Success for Basic Skills Program, and the Student Equity Program, with a clear focus on equity and a thoughtful integration of deliverables and reporting requirements.

**Funded League Priorities:**

**Financial Aid** – The landscape of financial aid is changing rapidly at community colleges with increased responsibilities placed on financial aid offices for which they are unprepared to absorb. Unfortunately, support and efficiencies for financial aid offices have often been overlooked resulting in delays in the enrollment for thousands of students. **The Governor funds a League priority by providing an augmentation of \$5 million ongoing and \$13.5 million one-time for technology advancement and innovations to modernize our financial aid verification and processing systems.** An investment in technology advancements and innovations will allow colleges to provide awards based on units taken, to more quickly verify student information, and to substantially reduce aid processing time.

**Open Educational Resources** – We applaud the Governor's investment of \$6 million in one-time funds in open educational resources (OER) as an important step to combating the high cost of textbooks. Today, textbooks comprise an estimated 40% of the total cost of attendance at community colleges.

**Other Areas of Attention:** The League has identified areas of concern within the 2018-19 budget proposal: the absence of 10 shovel-ready capital projects and a Cal Grant program that underserves community college students.

**Bond and Capital Outlay** – In 2016, California voters approved a facilities bond providing a \$2 billion infrastructure investment in California's community colleges. The Governor's proposed budget only funds five (5) of the 15 ready-to-go capital projects, thereby dismissing voter support for Prop 51 and disregarding an extensive facilities need of \$42 billion over the next 10 years, including \$29.9 billion in unmet capital facility needs identified in the current Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan.

**Cal Grants** – While we appreciate that the 2018-19 budget sets an expectation for private, non-profit institutions make commitments to increase transfers by leveraging Cal Grants, the budget still continues to distribute less than 10% of

Cal Grant resources to California community college students despite the fact that our students comprise two-thirds of the higher education population.

Item	2018-19 Governor's January Proposal	2018-19 Governor's May Revision	Notes
<b>Ongoing Funds</b>			
<b>Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA)</b>	\$161.2 M (2.51%)	<b>\$173.1 M</b> <b>(2.71%)</b>	
<b>Enrollment Growth</b>	\$60 M (1%)	<b>\$60 M (1%)</b>	Allows the system to serve around 25,000 more students. To support transition to a new equity and outcomes focused funding formula. Adds \$104 million one-time in 2018-19 to provide discretionary resources so that all districts receive, in 2018-19, total resources of at least their 2017-18 totals adjusted by 2.71 percent. To implement AB 19 (Chpt. 735/Statutes of 2017)
<b>Base Funding for Transition to New Funding Formula</b>	\$175 M	<b>\$175 M</b> <b>(plus \$104 M one-time)</b>	To provide discretionary resources so that all districts receive, in 2018-19, total resources of at least their 2017-18 totals adjusted by 2.71 percent. To implement AB 19 (Chpt. 735/Statutes of 2017)
<b>College Promise Programs</b>	\$46 M	<b>\$46 M</b>	COLA for AEBG plus \$5 M for data collection
<b>COLA: Adult Education Block Grant</b>	\$20.5 M	<b>\$21.5 M</b>	Consolidates the two categorical programs. Based on units taken by qualifying student.
<b>Full-Time Student Success Grants</b>	\$32.9 M	<b>\$40.8 M</b>	
<b>Completion Incentive Grants</b>	No allocation		

<b>Financial Aid Streamlining and Modernization</b>		<b>\$5 M</b> <b>(\$13.5 M one-time)</b>	For implementation of new financial aid system improvements at the colleges.
<b>Chancellor's Office Operations</b>	\$2 M	<b>\$2 M</b>	Non-98 for 15 vacant positions
<b>Online College</b>	\$20 M	<b>\$20 M</b>	\$20 M ongoing
<b>One-Time Funds</b>			
<b>Online College</b>	\$100 M	<b>\$100 M</b>	\$100 M one-time and One-time for the establishment of a new online colleges targeting 25-34 year olds with no degree.
<b>Deferred Maintenance &amp; Instructional Equipment</b>	\$274.3 M	<b>\$143.5 M</b>	No matching funds required.
<b>Open Educational Resources</b>	No allocation	<b>\$6 M</b>	To expand open educational resources across colleges.
<b>Innovation Awards</b>	\$20 M	<b>\$20 M</b>	Focused on enhancing equity
<b>Prop 51 Bond Projects</b>	5 Projects	<b>5 Projects</b>	15 projects requested in the 2018-19 Capital Outlay Program. Funds only 5 projects. Full list of projects coming soon.

Over the next couple of weeks, it will be critical for community colleges to advocate with a unified voice as we respond to a complex budget that presents many opportunities. We look forward to working with Governor Brown, members and staff of the Legislature, and representatives from the Department

of Finance in the weeks ahead to discuss further a budget that supports the success of community colleges across California.

**Next week the League will release the 2018-19 May Revision talking points and a sample letter for your local advocacy efforts.** You can also follow budget updates on the League's [Budget & Policy Center](#) or participate in the next League Legislative Webinar on Tuesday, May 22 at 10:00 AM. Details will be forthcoming.



**Larry Galizio**  
President & CEO  
Community College League of California



**Lizette Navarette**  
Vice President  
Community College League of California



---

*Copyright © 2018 Community College League of California, All rights reserved.*

Want to change how you receive these emails?  
You can [update your preferences](#) or [unsubscribe from this list](#).

## CCC Funding Formula Projections

District	2017-18 General Apportionments	2018-19 New Formula <sup>1, 2</sup>	Difference from 2017-18	2019-20 New Formula <sup>1, 2</sup>	Difference from 2017-18	Contextual Descriptors Only <sup>3</sup>			
						Pell Grant and AB 540 Students	Pell and AB 540 Students as Pct of Credit FTES	Associate Degree Awards	Associate Degrees as Pct of Credit FTES
Allan Hancock	\$58,411,385	\$62,958,421	\$4,547,036	\$65,235,303	\$6,823,918	3,782	50%	1,076	14%
Antelope	\$62,349,983	\$76,901,510	\$14,551,527	\$79,881,583	\$17,531,600	8,287	71%	1,303	11%
Barstow	\$18,677,674	\$22,255,207	\$3,577,533	\$22,576,151	\$3,898,477	2,231	91%	274	11%
Butte	\$57,709,394	\$64,942,574	\$7,233,180	\$67,067,148	\$9,357,754	5,849	61%	1,152	12%
Cabrillo	\$61,224,556	\$62,883,741	\$1,659,185	\$61,224,556	\$0	3,564	39%	1,035	11%
Cerritos	\$93,421,450	\$109,789,351	\$16,367,901	\$114,664,533	\$21,243,083	12,364	71%	1,090	6%
Chabot-Las Positas	\$100,588,882	\$103,314,841	\$2,725,959	\$100,588,882	\$0	5,148	29%	1,351	8%
Chaffey	\$93,661,793	\$105,166,578	\$11,504,785	\$109,245,698	\$15,583,905	9,382	61%	1,775	11%
Citrus	\$68,231,528	\$79,619,404	\$11,387,876	\$85,020,737	\$16,789,209	5,249	46%	2,170	19%
Coast	\$184,852,245	\$208,341,629	\$23,489,384	\$221,172,145	\$36,319,900	13,021	40%	4,212	13%
Compton <sup>4</sup>	\$36,634,660	\$37,627,459	\$992,799	\$39,886,960	\$3,252,300	3,486	58%	493	8%
Contra Costa	\$166,240,061	\$170,745,167	\$4,505,106	\$173,105,937	\$6,865,876	11,104	38%	2,308	8%
Copper Mountain	\$12,714,309	\$14,373,124	\$1,658,815	\$14,885,372	\$2,171,063	1,115	80%	145	10%
Desert	\$57,689,085	\$62,013,493	\$4,324,408	\$68,528,807	\$10,839,722	5,610	67%	428	5%
El Camino	\$114,075,864	\$118,352,491	\$4,276,627	\$127,061,083	\$12,985,219	11,434	59%	2,434	13%
Feather River	\$13,507,838	\$14,634,631	\$1,126,793	\$15,159,279	\$1,651,441	438	28%	166	11%
Foothill	\$147,883,707	\$151,891,355	\$4,007,648	\$147,883,707	\$0	7,707	29%	2,085	8%
Gavilan	\$32,622,846	\$34,679,028	\$2,056,182	\$36,190,148	\$3,567,302	1,979	46%	365	9%
Glendale	\$88,201,770	\$92,224,316	\$4,022,546	\$94,995,046	\$6,793,276	6,955	62%	382	3%
Grossmont	\$109,366,314	\$118,496,869	\$9,130,555	\$121,700,943	\$12,334,629	9,253	49%	2,125	11%
Hartnell	\$43,047,457	\$47,776,792	\$4,729,335	\$49,650,138	\$6,602,681	3,788	52%	550	8%
Imperial	\$41,170,072	\$50,107,436	\$8,937,364	\$52,896,037	\$11,725,965	5,364	79%	952	14%
Kern	\$132,978,576	\$145,958,221	\$12,979,645	\$151,511,022	\$18,532,446	14,293	69%	1,329	6%
Lake Tahoe	\$14,309,803	\$16,858,633	\$2,548,830	\$17,180,172	\$2,870,369	805	50%	97	6%
Lassen	\$13,422,246	\$16,846,831	\$3,424,585	\$17,532,302	\$4,110,056	490	38%	206	16%
Long Beach	\$119,094,805	\$122,322,274	\$3,227,469	\$119,094,805	\$0	10,905	59%	749	4%
Los Angeles	\$605,758,759	\$677,477,450	\$71,718,691	\$702,443,385	\$96,684,626	56,349	56%	8,927	9%
Los Rios	\$303,898,408	\$312,134,055	\$8,235,647	\$316,819,845	\$12,921,437	22,948	48%	4,597	10%

## CCC Funding Formula Projections

District	2017-18 General Apportionments	2018-19 New Formula <sup>1,2</sup>	Difference from 2017-18	2019-20 New Formula <sup>1,2</sup>	Difference from 2017-18	Contextual Descriptors Only <sup>3</sup>			
						Pell Grant and AB 540 Students	Pell and AB 540 Students as Pct of Credit FTES	Associate Degree Awards	Associate Degrees as Pct of Credit FTES
Marin <sup>5</sup>	\$25,602,279	\$25,602,279	\$0	\$25,602,279	\$0	1,323	37%	203	6%
Mendocino	\$22,134,847	\$24,072,961	\$1,938,114	\$25,107,093	\$2,972,246	1,456	58%	233	9%
Merced	\$56,484,964	\$61,882,822	\$5,397,858	\$63,639,265	\$7,154,301	5,580	68%	632	8%
MiraCosta <sup>5</sup>	\$63,527,213	\$69,247,867	\$5,720,654	\$73,433,984	\$9,906,771	4,780	45%	928	9%
Monterey	\$38,872,008	\$39,925,439	\$1,053,431	\$38,872,008	\$0	2,025	35%	356	6%
Mt. San Antonio	\$177,811,661	\$182,810,875	\$4,999,214	\$195,974,884	\$18,163,223	12,933	52%	1,685	7%
Mt. San Jacinto	\$71,502,946	\$77,897,384	\$6,394,438	\$80,724,828	\$9,221,882	7,091	63%	1,668	15%
Napa	\$31,613,882	\$33,070,088	\$1,456,206	\$34,137,235	\$2,523,353	1,796	36%	521	10%
North Orange	\$202,015,331	\$207,489,946	\$5,474,615	\$202,015,331	\$0	13,809	46%	1,892	6%
Ohlone	\$48,754,594	\$50,075,843	\$1,321,249	\$48,754,594	\$0	1,767	25%	720	10%
Palo Verde	\$16,242,040	\$20,685,526	\$4,443,486	\$21,824,025	\$5,581,985	288	15%	133	7%
Palomar	\$103,569,866	\$106,376,609	\$2,806,743	\$103,569,866	\$0	5,350	34%	1,606	10%
Pasadena	\$135,681,648	\$143,920,717	\$8,239,069	\$151,598,660	\$15,917,012	10,619	48%	3,090	14%
Peralta	\$118,390,568	\$121,598,952	\$3,208,384	\$127,816,599	\$9,426,031	8,182	42%	1,278	7%
Rancho Santiago	\$163,128,127	\$176,229,607	\$13,101,480	\$184,214,857	\$21,086,730	7,748	35%	2,989	13%
Redwoods	\$26,892,157	\$28,567,151	\$1,674,994	\$28,652,653	\$1,760,496	2,410	69%	424	12%
Rio Hondo	\$74,378,241	\$76,393,891	\$2,015,650	\$79,168,103	\$4,789,862	5,466	44%	956	8%
Riverside	\$169,708,804	\$176,864,698	\$7,155,894	\$182,995,456	\$13,286,652	15,450	54%	3,477	12%
San Bernardino	\$88,599,228	\$97,525,790	\$8,926,562	\$103,805,982	\$15,206,754	7,085	46%	1,536	10%
San Diego	\$248,211,771	\$257,534,932	\$9,323,161	\$266,181,383	\$17,969,612	15,826	45%	2,010	6%
San Francisco <sup>4</sup>	\$124,029,738	\$129,696,748	\$5,667,010	\$137,982,511	\$13,952,773	5,188	25%	1,116	5%
San Joaquin Delta	\$90,286,179	\$98,415,947	\$8,129,768	\$103,522,574	\$13,236,395	7,356	46%	2,631	16%
San Jose <sup>5</sup>	\$71,024,603	\$72,802,419	\$1,777,816	\$75,692,552	\$4,667,949	5,487	48%	744	6%
San Luis Obispo	\$48,676,957	\$49,996,103	\$1,319,146	\$50,317,816	\$1,640,859	2,668	33%	674	8%
San Mateo <sup>5</sup>	\$98,269,691	\$98,269,691	\$0	\$98,269,691	\$0	4,709	27%	1,375	8%
Santa Barbara	\$72,913,606	\$79,529,308	\$6,615,702	\$81,085,268	\$8,171,662	4,696	37%	1,984	16%
Santa Clarita	\$94,230,775	\$97,120,834	\$2,890,059	\$103,692,527	\$9,461,752	5,377	35%	1,395	9%

## CCC Funding Formula Projections

District	2017-18 General Apportionments	2018-19 New Formula <sup>1,2</sup>	Difference from 2017-18	2019-20 New Formula <sup>1,2</sup>	Difference from 2017-18	Contextual Descriptors Only <sup>3</sup>			
						Pell Grant and AB 540 Students	Pell and AB 540 Students as Pct of Credit FTES	Associate Degree Awards	Associate Degrees as Pct of Credit FTES
Santa Monica	\$129,892,581	\$133,412,670	\$3,520,089	\$131,179,776	\$1,287,195	9,408	44%	2,064	10%
Sequoias	\$60,049,359	\$69,487,821	\$9,438,462	\$73,377,418	\$13,328,059	6,252	70%	949	11%
Shasta Tehama	\$41,780,732	\$46,218,590	\$4,437,858	\$47,767,781	\$5,987,049	3,968	67%	616	10%
Sierra	\$84,769,405	\$88,351,087	\$3,581,682	\$93,340,208	\$8,570,803	6,568	45%	2,004	14%
Siskiyou	\$18,459,030	\$20,167,817	\$1,708,787	\$21,535,671	\$3,076,641	852	36%	223	9%
Solano	\$48,527,054	\$49,842,137	\$1,315,083	\$49,960,928	\$1,433,874	2,264	28%	1,153	14%
Sonoma	\$106,857,222	\$109,753,053	\$2,895,831	\$106,857,222	\$0	4,612	28%	1,522	9%
South Orange County <sup>5</sup>	\$152,643,185	\$152,643,185	\$0	\$156,020,419	\$3,377,234	7,476	35%	2,010	9%
Southwestern	\$92,594,035	\$95,103,333	\$2,509,298	\$97,608,564	\$5,014,529	7,451	55%	1,043	8%
State Center	\$170,760,731	\$183,450,407	\$12,689,676	\$192,568,890	\$21,808,159	17,521	61%	1,304	5%
Ventura	\$151,132,746	\$163,507,587	\$12,374,841	\$170,478,600	\$19,345,854	10,484	40%	3,518	13%
Victor Valley	\$55,581,067	\$66,415,901	\$10,834,834	\$69,003,001	\$13,421,934	7,542	83%	968	11%
West Hills	\$36,928,292	\$43,394,317	\$6,466,025	\$45,319,546	\$8,391,254	3,599	73%	762	15%
West Kern	\$23,826,196	\$25,402,604	\$1,576,408	\$26,878,983	\$3,052,787	1,463	58%	360	14%
West Valley <sup>5</sup>	\$75,117,119	\$75,117,119	\$0	\$75,117,119	\$0	3,177	26%	616	5%
Yosemite	\$95,601,426	\$102,609,748	\$7,008,322	\$107,120,988	\$11,519,562	9,725	60%	1,340	8%
Yuba	\$49,594,659	\$55,667,403	\$6,072,744	\$57,144,596	\$7,549,937	4,786	64%	1,061	14%
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>\$6,728,414,033</b>	<b>\$7,184,842,088</b>	<b>\$456,428,055</b>	<b>\$7,403,133,461</b>	<b>\$674,719,428</b>	<b>516,513</b>	<b>48.04%</b>	<b>101,545</b>	<b>9.44%</b>

**Notes**

<sup>1</sup> In 2018-19, districts that would grow less than 2.71% from 2017-18 to 2018-19 will receive one-time discretionary resources equal to a year-over-year growth of 2.71%. In 2019-20, districts will be held harmless to the level of funding the district received in 2017-18. In 2020-21 and each year thereafter, each district will be held harmless to their 2017-18 per-FTES rate multiplied by the district's new FTES.

<sup>2</sup> Projections are based on minor enrollment adjustments and the use of five-year averages to establish trends for supplemental and student success metrics.

<sup>3</sup> Districts with high enrollment of Pell Grant and AB 540 students as a percent of credit FTES and associate degrees as a percent of credit FTES generally display higher allocations under the proposed new formula. This is for display purposes only intended to provide context for differences in growth between districts.

<sup>4</sup> Until 2024-25, Compton CCD and San Francisco CCD will receive the greater of the revised funding formula or the 2017-18 general apportionment amount with COLA to reflect statutory provisions specific to these districts.

<sup>5</sup> These are Basic Aid districts that are projected to have total revenue in each year that is in excess of what is displayed on this chart.

### CCC Funding Formula Factors for May Revision

Base Allocation: 60%					
	<b>18-19 Rates</b>				
<b>Base Credit Rate with COLA</b>	\$	3,024			
Supplemental Allocation: 20%					
Supplemental Metrics	Points (a)	Total Counts <sup>1</sup> (b)	Total Points (c) = (a) * (b)	Total Dollars (d) = (c) * \$1,526	%-to- Total
Pell Grant and AB 540 Students	1	525,146	525,146	\$ 801,395,769	57%
California Promise Grant Students (Ages 25+)	1	401,176	401,176	\$ 612,211,652	43%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>926,322</b>	<b>926,322</b>	<b>\$ 1,413,607,421</b>	<b>100%</b>
Supplemental Rate Per Point - 18-19	\$	1,526			
Student Success Incentive Allocation: 20%					
Success Metrics (15%)	Points (a)	Total Counts <sup>1</sup> (b)	Total Points (c) = (a) * (b)	Total Dollars (d) = (c) * \$876	%-to- Total
Associate degree	3	104,926	314,777	\$ 275,598,700	26%
Associate degree for transfer	4	41,917	167,667	\$ 146,798,829	14%
Credit certificates requiring 18+ units	2	69,022	138,044	\$ 120,862,926	11%
Completion of 9 CTE units	1	191,602	191,602	\$ 167,754,646	16%
Transfer to 4-year institutions	1.5	106,002	159,004	\$ 139,213,456	13%
Completion of transfer-level math and English	2	21,295	42,590	\$ 37,289,089	4%
Attainment of regional living wage	1	197,237	197,237	\$ 172,687,919	16%
<b>Total</b>	<b>14.5</b>	<b>732,001</b>	<b>1,210,921</b>	<b>\$ 1,060,205,565</b>	<b>100%</b>
Success Rate per Point - 18-19	\$	876			
Success Equity Metrics <sup>2</sup> (5%)	Points (a)	Total Counts <sup>1</sup> (b)	Total Points (c) = (a) * (b)	Total Dollars (d) = (c) * \$660	%-to- Total
Associate degree - Pell students	3	56,224	168,672	\$ 111,262,296	31%
Associate degree for transfer - Pell students	4	21,387	85,548	\$ 56,430,628	16%
Credit certificates requiring 18+ units - Pell students	2	30,460	60,920	\$ 40,185,088	11%
Completion of 9 CTE units - Pell students	1	88,887	88,887	\$ 58,633,156	17%
Transfer to 4-year institutions - Pell students	1.5	49,120	73,680	\$ 48,602,056	14%
Completion of transfer-level math and English - Pell students	2	7,087	14,174	\$ 9,349,695	3%
Attainment of regional living wage - Pell students	1	43,871	43,871	\$ 28,938,936	8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>14.5</b>	<b>297,036</b>	<b>535,752</b>	<b>\$ 353,401,855</b>	<b>100%</b>
Success Equity Rate per Point - 18-19	\$	660			

**Notes**

<sup>1</sup> Total counts for supplemental and student success incentive allocations are based on prior year data and adjusted by a 5-year average rate of change.

<sup>2</sup> Includes successful counts of students receiving Pell Grants.

2018-19 New Allocation

District	2017/18 General Apportionments	Base Allocation	% of Total	Supplement Allocation	% of Total	Student Success Allocation	% of Total	Total	Hold Harmless	One Time Allocation of 2.71 %	2018/19 New Formula	Difference from 2017/18	Year to Year % Change
Allan Hancock CCD Total	\$ 58,411,385	\$ 37,865,487	60%	\$ 11,214,031	18%	\$ 13,878,903	22%	\$ 62,958,421	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 62,958,421	\$ 4,547,036	7.78%
Antelope CCD Total	\$ 62,349,983	\$ 39,074,263	51%	\$ 20,872,076	27%	\$ 16,955,170	22%	\$ 76,901,510	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 76,901,510	\$ 14,551,527	23.34%
Barstow CCD Total	\$ 18,677,674	\$ 12,852,338	58%	\$ 6,205,912	28%	\$ 3,196,957	14%	\$ 22,255,207	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 22,255,207	\$ 3,577,533	19.15%
Butte CCD Total	\$ 57,709,394	\$ 37,560,342	58%	\$ 13,225,190	20%	\$ 14,157,042	22%	\$ 64,942,574	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 64,942,574	\$ 7,233,180	12.53%
Cabrillo CCD Total	\$ 61,224,556	\$ 38,582,304	66%	\$ 9,598,846	16%	\$ 10,203,575	17%	\$ 58,384,725	\$ (2,839,831)	\$ 1,659,185	\$ 62,883,741	\$ 1,659,185	2.71%
Cerritos CCD Total	\$ 93,421,450	\$ 57,052,190	52%	\$ 29,403,425	27%	\$ 23,333,736	21%	\$ 109,789,351	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 109,789,351	\$ 16,367,901	17.52%
Chabot-Las Positas CCD Total	\$ 100,588,882	\$ 59,393,732	65%	\$ 13,809,931	15%	\$ 17,624,090	19%	\$ 90,827,752	\$ (9,761,130)	\$ 2,725,959	\$ 103,314,841	\$ 2,725,959	2.71%
Chaffey CCD Total	\$ 93,661,793	\$ 57,482,117	55%	\$ 24,754,741	24%	\$ 22,929,720	22%	\$ 105,166,578	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 105,166,578	\$ 11,504,785	12.28%
Citrus CCD Total	\$ 68,231,528	\$ 42,225,219	53%	\$ 13,371,504	17%	\$ 24,022,680	30%	\$ 79,619,403	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 79,619,403	\$ 11,387,875	16.69%
Coast CCD Total	\$ 184,852,245	\$ 108,981,889	52%	\$ 45,111,732	22%	\$ 54,248,009	26%	\$ 208,341,629	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 208,341,629	\$ 23,489,384	12.71%
Compton CCD Total <sup>3</sup>	\$ 36,634,660	\$ 22,264,874	61%	\$ 9,374,136	26%	\$ 4,864,604	13%	\$ 36,503,614	\$ -	\$ 992,799	\$ 37,627,459	\$ 992,799	2.71%
Contra Costa CCD Total	\$ 166,240,061	\$ 97,826,328	61%	\$ 28,594,379	18%	\$ 35,202,936	22%	\$ 161,623,642	\$ (4,616,419)	\$ 4,505,106	\$ 170,745,167	\$ 4,505,106	2.71%
Copper Mountain Total	\$ 12,714,309	\$ 9,359,445	65%	\$ 3,103,640	22%	\$ 1,910,038	13%	\$ 14,373,124	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 14,373,124	\$ 1,658,815	13.05%
Desert CCD Total	\$ 57,689,085	\$ 38,101,899	61%	\$ 14,093,938	23%	\$ 9,817,656	16%	\$ 62,013,493	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 62,013,493	\$ 4,324,408	7.50%
El Camino CCD Total	\$ 114,075,864	\$ 67,438,301	57%	\$ 27,880,048	24%	\$ 23,034,141	19%	\$ 118,352,491	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 118,352,491	\$ 4,276,627	3.75%
Feather River CCD Total	\$ 13,507,838	\$ 10,777,511	74%	\$ 2,148,400	15%	\$ 1,708,720	12%	\$ 14,634,631	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 14,634,631	\$ 1,126,793	8.34%
Foothill CCD Total	\$ 147,883,707	\$ 89,243,542	65%	\$ 13,910,613	10%	\$ 33,803,089	25%	\$ 136,957,244	\$ (10,926,463)	\$ 4,007,648	\$ 151,891,355	\$ 4,007,648	2.71%
Gavilan CCD Total	\$ 32,622,846	\$ 22,239,582	64%	\$ 4,687,890	14%	\$ 7,751,556	22%	\$ 34,679,028	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 34,679,028	\$ 2,056,182	6.30%
Glendale CCD Total	\$ 88,201,770	\$ 59,618,277	65%	\$ 19,780,813	21%	\$ 12,825,225	14%	\$ 92,224,316	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 92,224,316	\$ 4,022,546	4.56%
Grossmont CCD Total	\$ 109,366,314	\$ 65,390,973	55%	\$ 27,122,410	23%	\$ 25,983,487	22%	\$ 118,496,869	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 118,496,869	\$ 9,130,555	8.35%
Hartnell CCD Total	\$ 43,047,457	\$ 26,013,013	54%	\$ 9,971,142	21%	\$ 11,792,637	25%	\$ 47,776,792	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 47,776,792	\$ 4,729,335	10.99%
Imperial CCD Total	\$ 41,170,072	\$ 25,742,188	51%	\$ 12,429,957	25%	\$ 11,935,290	24%	\$ 50,107,436	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,107,436	\$ 8,937,364	21.71%
Kern CCD Total	\$ 132,978,576	\$ 86,084,196	59%	\$ 34,314,246	24%	\$ 25,559,779	18%	\$ 145,958,221	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 145,958,221	\$ 12,979,645	9.76%
Lake Tahoe CCD Total	\$ 14,309,803	\$ 12,045,911	71%	\$ 2,784,597	17%	\$ 2,028,125	12%	\$ 16,858,633	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 16,858,633	\$ 2,548,830	17.81%
Lassen CCD Total	\$ 13,422,246	\$ 10,772,348	64%	\$ 3,804,630	23%	\$ 2,269,853	13%	\$ 16,846,831	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 16,846,831	\$ 3,424,585	25.51%
Long Beach CCD Total	\$ 119,094,805	\$ 71,034,157	61%	\$ 26,703,273	23%	\$ 18,073,803	16%	\$ 115,811,233	\$ (3,283,572)	\$ 3,227,469	\$ 122,322,274	\$ 3,227,469	2.71%
Los Angeles CCD Total	\$ 605,758,759	\$ 388,039,257	57%	\$ 155,207,242	23%	\$ 134,230,951	20%	\$ 677,477,450	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 677,477,450	\$ 71,718,691	11.84%
Los Rios CCD Total	\$ 303,898,408	\$ 176,364,673	57%	\$ 70,537,553	23%	\$ 62,997,403	20%	\$ 309,899,629	\$ -	\$ 2,234,426	\$ 312,134,055	\$ 8,235,647	2.71%
Marin CCD Total <sup>2</sup>	\$ 25,602,279	\$ 15,990,698	70%	\$ 3,708,437	16%	\$ 3,064,427	13%	\$ 22,763,562	\$ (2,838,717)	\$ -	\$ 25,602,279	\$ -	0.00%
Mendocino CCD Total	\$ 22,134,847	\$ 15,874,240	66%	\$ 4,722,077	20%	\$ 3,476,644	14%	\$ 24,072,961	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 24,072,961	\$ 1,938,114	8.76%
Merced CCD Total	\$ 56,484,964	\$ 36,860,434	60%	\$ 12,657,110	20%	\$ 12,365,277	20%	\$ 61,882,822	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 61,882,822	\$ 5,397,858	9.56%
MiraCosta CCD Total <sup>2</sup>	\$ 63,527,213	\$ 39,636,079	57%	\$ 15,586,476	23%	\$ 14,025,311	20%	\$ 69,247,867	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 69,247,867	\$ 5,720,654	9.01%
Monterey CCD Total	\$ 38,872,008	\$ 24,412,485	67%	\$ 5,263,718	14%	\$ 6,980,243	19%	\$ 36,656,446	\$ (2,215,562)	\$ 1,053,431	\$ 39,925,439	\$ 1,053,431	2.71%
Mt. San Antonio CCD Total	\$ 177,811,661	\$ 124,319,397	68%	\$ 33,281,502	18%	\$ 25,209,976	14%	\$ 182,810,875	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 182,810,875	\$ 4,999,214	2.81%
Mt. San Jacinto CCD Total	\$ 71,502,946	\$ 43,060,854	55%	\$ 19,907,357	26%	\$ 14,929,173	19%	\$ 77,897,384	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 77,897,384	\$ 6,394,438	8.94%
Napa CCD Total	\$ 31,613,882	\$ 20,884,765	63%	\$ 4,899,116	15%	\$ 7,286,207	22%	\$ 33,070,088	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 33,070,088	\$ 1,456,206	4.61%
North Orange CCD Total	\$ 202,015,331	\$ 125,515,916	65%	\$ 34,688,425	18%	\$ 32,725,523	17%	\$ 192,929,865	\$ (9,085,466)	\$ 5,474,615	\$ 207,489,946	\$ 5,474,615	2.71%
Ohlone CCD Total	\$ 48,754,594	\$ 31,700,729	70%	\$ 4,880,326	11%	\$ 8,711,429	19%	\$ 45,292,484	\$ (3,462,110)	\$ 1,321,249	\$ 50,075,843	\$ 1,321,249	2.71%
Palo Verde CCD Total	\$ 16,242,040	\$ 13,953,525	67%	\$ 4,849,623	23%	\$ 1,882,378	9%	\$ 20,685,526	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,685,526	\$ 4,443,486	27.36%
Palomar CCD Total	\$ 103,569,866	\$ 63,393,773	64%	\$ 15,420,903	16%	\$ 19,923,139	20%	\$ 98,737,815	\$ (4,832,051)	\$ 2,806,743	\$ 106,376,609	\$ 2,806,743	2.71%
Pasadena CCD Total	\$ 135,681,648	\$ 84,311,368	59%	\$ 27,474,056	19%	\$ 32,135,293	22%	\$ 143,920,717	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 143,920,717	\$ 8,239,069	6.07%
Peralta CCD Total	\$ 118,390,568	\$ 72,808,252	61%	\$ 25,134,164	21%	\$ 22,075,327	18%	\$ 120,017,743	\$ -	\$ 1,581,209	\$ 121,598,952	\$ 3,208,384	2.71%
Rancho Santiago CCD Total	\$ 163,128,127	\$ 115,366,477	65%	\$ 21,297,836	12%	\$ 39,565,295	22%	\$ 176,229,607	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 176,229,607	\$ 13,101,480	8.03%

District	2017/18 General Apportionments	Base Allocation	% of Total	Supplement Allocation	% of Total	Student Success Allocation	% of Total	Total	Hold Harmless	One Time Allocation of 2.71 %	2018/19 New Formula	Difference from 2017/18	Year to Year % Change
Redwoods CCD Total	\$ 26,892,157	\$ 17,458,647	61%	\$ 6,097,765	21%	\$ 5,010,739	18%	\$ 28,567,151	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 28,567,151	\$ 1,674,994	6.23%
Rio Hondo CCD Total	\$ 74,378,241	\$ 45,264,201	61%	\$ 13,923,282	19%	\$ 15,346,836	21%	\$ 74,534,319	\$ -	\$ 1,859,573	\$ 76,393,891	\$ 2,015,650	2.71%
Riverside CCD Total	\$ 169,708,804	\$ 103,888,830	59%	\$ 38,501,611	22%	\$ 34,474,257	19%	\$ 176,864,698	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 176,864,698	\$ 7,155,894	4.22%
San Bernardino CCD Total	\$ 88,599,228	\$ 53,521,901	55%	\$ 25,140,960	26%	\$ 18,862,930	19%	\$ 97,525,790	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 97,525,790	\$ 8,926,562	10.08%
San Diego CCD Total	\$ 248,211,771	\$ 170,299,917	66%	\$ 46,765,437	18%	\$ 40,469,579	16%	\$ 257,534,932	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 257,534,932	\$ 9,323,161	3.76%
San Francisco CCD Total <sup>3</sup>	\$ 124,029,738	\$ 90,003,549	69%	\$ 20,555,277	16%	\$ 19,137,922	15%	\$ 129,696,748	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 129,696,748	\$ 5,667,010	4.57%
San Joaquin Delta CCD Total	\$ 90,286,179	\$ 52,930,838	54%	\$ 21,341,825	22%	\$ 24,143,284	25%	\$ 98,415,947	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 98,415,947	\$ 8,129,768	9.00%
San Jose CCD Total <sup>2</sup>	\$ 71,024,603	\$ 43,854,829	60%	\$ 15,184,933	21%	\$ 13,762,658	19%	\$ 72,802,419	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 72,802,419	\$ 1,777,816	2.50%
San Luis Obispo CCD Total	\$ 48,676,957	\$ 30,693,021	64%	\$ 7,503,947	16%	\$ 9,688,313	20%	\$ 47,885,280	\$ (791,677)	\$ 1,319,146	\$ 49,996,103	\$ 1,319,146	2.71%
San Mateo CCD Total <sup>2</sup>	\$ 98,269,691	\$ 58,813,861	62%	\$ 14,502,745	15%	\$ 22,258,410	23%	\$ 95,575,016	\$ (2,694,675)	\$ -	\$ 98,269,691	\$ -	0.00%
Santa Barbara CCD Total	\$ 72,913,606	\$ 46,584,800	59%	\$ 13,016,470	16%	\$ 19,928,039	25%	\$ 79,529,308	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 79,529,308	\$ 6,615,702	9.07%
Santa Clarita CCD Total	\$ 94,230,775	\$ 59,267,033	61%	\$ 15,074,019	16%	\$ 22,779,782	23%	\$ 97,120,834	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 97,120,834	\$ 2,890,059	3.07%
Santa Monica CCD Total	\$ 129,892,581	\$ 74,647,901	59%	\$ 24,400,704	19%	\$ 27,292,188	22%	\$ 126,340,794	\$ (3,551,787)	\$ 3,520,089	\$ 133,412,670	\$ 3,520,089	2.71%
Sequoias CCD Total	\$ 60,049,359	\$ 40,268,945	58%	\$ 17,226,800	25%	\$ 11,992,076	17%	\$ 69,487,821	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 69,487,821	\$ 9,438,462	15.72%
Shasta Tehama CCD Total	\$ 41,780,732	\$ 26,203,027	57%	\$ 10,784,602	23%	\$ 9,230,961	20%	\$ 46,218,590	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 46,218,590	\$ 4,437,858	10.62%
Sierra CCD Total	\$ 84,769,405	\$ 49,912,725	56%	\$ 17,455,318	20%	\$ 20,983,043	24%	\$ 88,351,087	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 88,351,087	\$ 3,581,682	4.23%
Siskiyou CCD Total	\$ 18,459,030	\$ 14,998,841	74%	\$ 2,186,984	11%	\$ 2,981,992	15%	\$ 20,167,817	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,167,817	\$ 1,708,787	9.26%
Solano CCD Total	\$ 48,527,054	\$ 29,633,085	63%	\$ 7,584,442	16%	\$ 10,180,412	21%	\$ 47,397,939	\$ (1,129,115)	\$ 1,315,083	\$ 49,842,137	\$ 1,315,083	2.71%
Sonoma CCD Total	\$ 106,857,222	\$ 68,260,145	67%	\$ 13,189,136	13%	\$ 19,838,785	20%	\$ 101,288,065	\$ (5,569,157)	\$ 2,895,831	\$ 109,753,053	\$ 2,895,831	2.71%
South Orange County CCD Total <sup>2</sup>	\$ 152,643,185	\$ 94,264,225	62%	\$ 22,701,820	15%	\$ 34,660,587	23%	\$ 151,626,631	\$ (1,016,554)	\$ -	\$ 152,643,185	\$ -	0.00%
Southwestern CCD Total	\$ 92,594,035	\$ 56,489,696	60%	\$ 21,161,056	22%	\$ 17,048,096	18%	\$ 94,698,848	\$ -	\$ 404,486	\$ 95,103,333	\$ 2,509,298	2.71%
State Center CCD Total	\$ 170,760,731	\$ 105,163,023	57%	\$ 44,180,934	24%	\$ 34,106,449	19%	\$ 183,450,407	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 183,450,407	\$ 12,689,676	7.43%
Ventura CCD Total	\$ 151,132,746	\$ 92,148,607	56%	\$ 28,100,054	17%	\$ 43,258,926	26%	\$ 163,507,587	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 163,507,587	\$ 12,374,841	8.19%
Victor Valley CCD Total	\$ 55,581,067	\$ 36,923,222	56%	\$ 18,892,341	28%	\$ 10,600,338	16%	\$ 66,415,901	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 66,415,901	\$ 10,834,834	19.49%
West Hills CCD Total	\$ 36,928,292	\$ 25,873,561	60%	\$ 9,328,718	21%	\$ 8,192,039	19%	\$ 43,394,317	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 43,394,317	\$ 6,466,025	17.51%
West Kern CCD Total	\$ 23,826,196	\$ 16,167,954	64%	\$ 4,240,491	17%	\$ 4,994,159	20%	\$ 25,402,604	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,402,604	\$ 1,576,408	6.62%
West Valley CCD Total <sup>2</sup>	\$ 75,117,119	\$ 48,416,329	69%	\$ 9,006,776	13%	\$ 12,667,333	18%	\$ 70,090,438	\$ (5,026,681)	\$ -	\$ 75,117,119	\$ -	0.00%
Yosemite CCD Total	\$ 95,601,426	\$ 58,186,103	57%	\$ 25,975,361	25%	\$ 18,448,285	18%	\$ 102,609,748	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 102,609,748	\$ 7,008,322	7.33%
Yuba CCD Total	\$ 49,594,659	\$ 33,297,025	60%	\$ 11,796,191	21%	\$ 10,574,188	19%	\$ 55,667,403	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 55,667,403	\$ 6,072,744	12.24%
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>\$ 6,728,414,033</b>	<b>\$ 4,240,951,188</b>		<b>\$ 1,413,607,421</b>		<b>\$ 1,413,607,421</b>		<b>\$ 7,068,166,029</b>	<b>\$ (62,064,338)</b>	<b>\$ 42,904,049</b>	<b>\$ 7,184,842,088</b>	<b>\$ 456,428,055</b>	

<sup>1</sup> In 2018-19, districts that would grow less than 2.71% from 2017-18 to 2018-19 will receive one-time discretionary resources equal to a year-over-year growth of 2.71%. In 2019-20, districts will be held harmless to the level of funding the district received in 2017-18. In 2020-21 and each year thereafter, each district will be held harmless to their 2017-18 per-FTES rate multiplied by the district's new FTES.

<sup>2</sup> Districts with high enrollment of Pell Grant and AB 540 students as a percent of credit FTES and associate degrees as a percent of credit FTES generally display higher allocations under the proposed new formula. This is for display purposes only intended to provide context for differences in growth between districts.

<sup>3</sup> Until 2024-25, Compton CCD and San Francisco CCD will receive the greater of the revised funding formula or the 2017 -18 general apportionment amount with COLA to reflect statutory provisions specific to these districts.



District	Basic Allocation	Base Credit	Credit Growth	Base Non-Credit	Non-Credit Growth	Base CDCP	CDCP Growth	S.A Credit	INC Credit	INC Non-Credit	Total Base Revenue		
Palo Verde CCD Total	\$ 5,096,989	\$ 3,103,875	\$ -	\$ 167,375	\$ -	\$ 399,647	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 329,092	\$ 4,856,548	\$ -	\$ 13,953,525	
Palomar CCD Total	\$ 7,497,074	\$ 50,130,740	\$ -	\$ 914,267	\$ -	\$ 2,375,017	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,476,675	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 63,393,773	
Pasadena CCD Total	\$ 7,497,074	\$ 68,642,986	\$ 856,424	\$ 553,352	\$ (6,360)	\$ 5,613,370	\$ (226,179)	\$ -	\$ 1,380,702	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 84,311,368	
Peralta CCD Total	\$ 14,994,140	\$ 51,502,686	\$ 190,906	\$ 350,192	\$ (195,125)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,965,453	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 72,808,252	
Rancho Santiago CCD Total	\$ 11,245,609	\$ 61,441,912	\$ -	\$ 1,470,117	\$ -	\$ 28,800,156	\$ 121,078	\$ -	\$ 10,610,664	\$ 36,669	\$ 1,640,272	\$ 115,366,477	
Redwoods CCD Total	\$ 5,256,546	\$ 10,481,233	\$ -	\$ 200,853	\$ -	\$ 375,313	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,144,701	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 17,458,647	
Rio Hondo CCD Total	\$ 4,998,049	\$ 36,059,814	\$ 1,065,784	\$ 1,479,034	\$ (101,061)	\$ 189,258	\$ 3,547	\$ -	\$ 1,569,776	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 45,264,201	
Riverside CCD Total	\$ 11,870,363	\$ 87,531,802	\$ 369,596	\$ 239,156	\$ (10,076)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,887,989	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 103,888,830	
San Bernardino CCD Total	\$ 8,121,828	\$ 44,105,584	\$ -	\$ 652,238	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 642,250	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 53,521,901	
San Diego CCD Total	\$ 19,367,441	\$ 104,976,685	\$ 1,903,103	\$ 6,846,393	\$ (83,754)	\$ 34,926,600	\$ (1,075,237)	\$ -	\$ 3,438,686	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 170,299,917	
San Francisco CCD Total	\$ 12,129,364	\$ 47,446,445	\$ 1,078,260	\$ 6,512,648	\$ 1,235,928	\$ 23,623,193	\$ (3,142,389)	\$ -	\$ 1,100,359	\$ 19,741	\$ -	\$ 90,003,549	
San Joaquin Delta CCD Total	\$ 6,247,562	\$ 43,834,438	\$ 27,601	\$ 552,967	\$ (50,815)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,319,086	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 52,930,838	
San Jose CCD Total	\$ 7,497,070	\$ 34,863,459	\$ -	\$ 434,464	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,059,835	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 43,854,829	
San Luis Obispo CCD Total	\$ 4,998,047	\$ 21,028,521	\$ 162,510	\$ 630,987	\$ 201,720	\$ 978,239	\$ (490,991)	\$ -	\$ 3,067,141	\$ 113,499	\$ 3,347	\$ 30,693,021	
San Mateo CCD Total	\$ 11,245,605	\$ 47,400,257	\$ -	\$ 142,899	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,101	\$ -	\$ 58,813,861	
Santa Barbara CCD Total	\$ 7,184,695	\$ 32,124,820	\$ -	\$ 260,207	\$ -	\$ 3,145,205	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,869,873	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 46,584,800	
Santa Clarita CCD Total	\$ 6,247,562	\$ 47,017,200	\$ 581,714	\$ 863,430	\$ 182,840	\$ 675,864	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,685,382	\$ 13,041	\$ -	\$ 59,267,033	
Santa Monica CCD Total	\$ 7,497,074	\$ 62,978,011	\$ -	\$ 1,886,825	\$ -	\$ 919,482	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,366,510	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 74,647,901	
Sequoias CCD Total	\$ 7,497,074	\$ 27,164,485	\$ 805,866	\$ 1,545,616	\$ 349,244	\$ 975,653	\$ 100,185	\$ -	\$ 1,830,823	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,268,945	
Shasta Tehama CCD Total	\$ 3,748,535	\$ 18,438,865	\$ -	\$ 488,942	\$ -	\$ 152,606	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,374,079	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 26,203,027	
Sierra CCD Total	\$ 6,403,751	\$ 41,154,657	\$ 62,674	\$ 999,698	\$ 121,380	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,170,565	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 49,912,725	
Siskiyou CCD Total	\$ 4,940,800	\$ 5,218,340	\$ (523,597)	\$ 261,473	\$ -	\$ 3,641,674	\$ 944,878	\$ -	\$ 515,274	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 14,998,841	
Solano CCD Total	\$ 6,247,560	\$ 21,420,895	\$ 55,021	\$ 57,907	\$ (101,295)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,456,222	\$ 496,776	\$ -	\$ 29,633,085	
Sonoma CCD Total	\$ 9,058,965	\$ 45,123,026	\$ -	\$ 8,422,436	\$ -	\$ 3,347,873	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,281,926	\$ 25,919	\$ -	\$ 68,260,145	
South Orange County CCD Total	\$ 8,746,586	\$ 73,037,829	\$ -	\$ 6,355,951	\$ -	\$ 2,802,493	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,321,365	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 94,264,225	
Southwestern CCD Total	\$ 8,746,586	\$ 45,914,993	\$ -	\$ 639,405	\$ -	\$ 182,144	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 844,366	\$ 156,879	\$ 5,323	\$ 56,489,696	
State Center CCD Total	\$ 14,369,388	\$ 83,121,195	\$ 1,328,819	\$ 611,231	\$ (242,158)	\$ 737,288	\$ 46,436	\$ -	\$ 5,190,824	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 105,163,023	
Ventura CCD Total	\$ 12,495,121	\$ 76,235,799	\$ 28,203	\$ 206,961	\$ (51,920)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,234,443	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 92,148,607	
Victor Valley CCD Total	\$ 4,998,049	\$ 25,409,335	\$ (101,176)	\$ 585,566	\$ 603,586	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,427,862	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 36,923,222	
West Hills CCD Total	\$ 7,809,448	\$ 14,785,584	\$ 369,687	\$ 1,126,621	\$ (75,285)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,857,506	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,873,561	
West Kern CCD Total	\$ 4,940,800	\$ 10,382,324	\$ 363,873	\$ 34,970	\$ (104,910)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 126,908	\$ 423,990	\$ -	\$ 16,167,954	
West Valley CCD Total	\$ 7,497,070	\$ 35,599,265	\$ -	\$ 3,717,424	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,602,570	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 48,416,329	
Yosemite CCD Total	\$ 8,121,828	\$ 46,874,968	\$ -	\$ 794,186	\$ -	\$ 1,073,678	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,144,810	\$ 176,633	\$ -	\$ 58,186,103	
Yuba CCD Total	\$ 9,371,338	\$ 21,520,257	\$ 20,982	\$ 575,557	\$ (38,630)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,847,520	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 33,297,025	
Statewide Total	\$ 583,619,988	\$ 3,141,026,657	\$ 19,278,088	\$ 105,088,194	\$ 2,907,699	\$ 213,602,226	\$ (3,005,776)	\$ -	\$ 160,823,877	\$ 15,907,164	\$ 1,703,070	\$ -	\$ 4,240,951,188
		\$ 3,160,304,745		\$ 107,995,893		\$ 210,596,450							

## 18 - '19 Equity Supplement Dollars

	\$ per student	\$	1,526	\$	1,526
District		Pell / AB540		BOG 25+	Supplement Total
Allan Hancock CCD Total	\$	6,180,895	\$	5,033,137	\$ 11,214,031
Antelope CCD Total	\$	12,761,533	\$	8,110,544	\$ 20,872,076
Barstow CCD Total	\$	3,238,096	\$	2,967,816	\$ 6,205,912
Butte CCD Total	\$	8,699,003	\$	4,526,186	\$ 13,225,190
Cabrillo CCD Total	\$	5,279,522	\$	4,319,324	\$ 9,598,846
Cerritos CCD Total	\$	18,991,121	\$	10,412,304	\$ 29,403,425
Chabot-Las Positas CCD Total	\$	7,553,248	\$	6,256,682	\$ 13,809,931
Chaffey CCD Total	\$	14,394,407	\$	10,360,334	\$ 24,754,741
Citrus CCD Total	\$	7,980,716	\$	5,390,788	\$ 13,371,504
Coast CCD Total	\$	21,063,712	\$	24,048,020	\$ 45,111,732
Compton CCD Total	\$	5,916,353	\$	3,457,783	\$ 9,374,136
Contra Costa CCD Total	\$	18,016,254	\$	10,578,125	\$ 28,594,379
Copper Mountain Total	\$	1,764,753	\$	1,338,887	\$ 3,103,640
Desert CCD Total	\$	8,998,017	\$	5,095,921	\$ 14,093,938
El Camino CCD Total	\$	19,886,816	\$	7,993,232	\$ 27,880,048
Feather River CCD Total	\$	613,679	\$	1,534,721	\$ 2,148,400
Foothill CCD Total	\$	12,292,115	\$	1,618,498	\$ 13,910,613
Gavilan CCD Total	\$	3,069,392	\$	1,618,498	\$ 4,687,890
Glendale CCD Total	\$	10,417,161	\$	9,363,652	\$ 19,780,813
Grossmont CCD Total	\$	14,184,824	\$	12,937,586	\$ 27,122,410
Hartnell CCD Total	\$	6,036,811	\$	3,934,330	\$ 9,971,142
Imperial CCD Total	\$	8,239,737	\$	4,190,220	\$ 12,429,957
Kern CCD Total	\$	21,944,685	\$	12,369,562	\$ 34,314,246
Lake Tahoe CCD Total	\$	1,174,675	\$	1,609,922	\$ 2,784,597
Lassen CCD Total	\$	919,656	\$	2,884,975	\$ 3,804,630
Long Beach CCD Total	\$	16,099,281	\$	10,603,993	\$ 26,703,273
Los Angeles CCD Total	\$	86,132,482	\$	69,074,760	\$ 155,207,242
Los Rios CCD Total	\$	34,199,833	\$	36,337,720	\$ 70,537,553
Marin CCD Total	\$	1,891,924	\$	1,816,513	\$ 3,708,437
Mendocino CCD Total	\$	2,184,706	\$	2,537,371	\$ 4,722,077
Merced CCD Total	\$	8,205,426	\$	4,451,684	\$ 12,657,110
MiraCosta CCD Total	\$	9,078,568	\$	6,507,908	\$ 15,586,476
Monterey CCD Total	\$	3,148,123	\$	2,115,596	\$ 5,263,718
Mt. San Antonio CCD Total	\$	20,524,965	\$	12,756,536	\$ 33,281,502
Mt. San Jacinto CCD Total	\$	11,351,206	\$	8,556,151	\$ 19,907,357
Napa CCD Total	\$	2,791,669	\$	2,107,447	\$ 4,899,116
North Orange CCD Total	\$	21,636,302	\$	13,052,123	\$ 34,688,425
Ohlone CCD Total	\$	2,772,242	\$	2,108,084	\$ 4,880,326
Palo Verde CCD Total	\$	402,853	\$	4,446,769	\$ 4,849,623
Palomar CCD Total	\$	8,409,276	\$	7,011,627	\$ 15,420,903
Pasadena CCD Total	\$	16,535,535	\$	10,938,521	\$ 27,474,056
Peralta CCD Total	\$	12,984,956	\$	12,149,207	\$ 25,134,164
Rancho Santiago CCD Total	\$	12,140,204	\$	9,157,632	\$ 21,297,836
Redwoods CCD Total	\$	3,507,581	\$	2,590,184	\$ 6,097,765
Rio Hondo CCD Total	\$	8,127,242	\$	5,796,040	\$ 13,923,282
Riverside CCD Total	\$	23,795,841	\$	14,705,770	\$ 38,501,611
San Bernardino CCD Total	\$	11,445,866	\$	13,695,094	\$ 25,140,960
San Diego CCD Total	\$	24,429,958	\$	22,335,478	\$ 46,765,437
San Francisco CCD Total	\$	7,156,667	\$	13,398,609	\$ 20,555,277

District	Pell / AB540	BOG 25+	Supplement Total
San Joaquin Delta CCD Total	\$ 10,978,004	\$ 10,363,821	\$ 21,341,825
San Jose CCD Total	\$ 8,107,263	\$ 7,077,669	\$ 15,184,933
San Luis Obispo CCD Total	\$ 4,223,972	\$ 3,279,974	\$ 7,503,947
San Mateo CCD Total	\$ 7,115,169	\$ 7,387,576	\$ 14,502,745
Santa Barbara CCD Total	\$ 7,145,676	\$ 5,870,794	\$ 13,016,470
Santa Clarita CCD Total	\$ 8,784,915	\$ 6,289,104	\$ 15,074,019
Santa Monica CCD Total	\$ 14,668,134	\$ 9,732,571	\$ 24,400,704
Sequoias CCD Total	\$ 9,454,951	\$ 7,771,849	\$ 17,226,800
Shasta Tehama CCD Total	\$ 6,007,515	\$ 4,777,087	\$ 10,784,602
Sierra CCD Total	\$ 10,256,673	\$ 7,198,646	\$ 17,455,318
Siskiyou CCD Total	\$ 1,202,095	\$ 984,888	\$ 2,186,984
Solano CCD Total	\$ 3,316,196	\$ 4,268,246	\$ 7,584,442
Sonoma CCD Total	\$ 6,944,248	\$ 6,244,888	\$ 13,189,136
South Orange County CCD Total	\$ 12,521,317	\$ 10,180,502	\$ 22,701,820
Southwestern CCD Total	\$ 11,608,821	\$ 9,552,235	\$ 21,161,056
State Center CCD Total	\$ 26,827,093	\$ 17,353,841	\$ 44,180,934
Ventura CCD Total	\$ 16,773,827	\$ 11,326,227	\$ 28,100,054
Victor Valley CCD Total	\$ 11,906,377	\$ 6,985,964	\$ 18,892,341
West Hills CCD Total	\$ 5,544,421	\$ 3,784,297	\$ 9,328,718
West Kern CCD Total	\$ 2,378,939	\$ 1,861,552	\$ 4,240,491
West Valley CCD Total	\$ 4,578,789	\$ 4,427,987	\$ 9,006,776
Yosemite CCD Total	\$ 15,220,202	\$ 10,755,158	\$ 25,975,361
Yuba CCD Total	\$ 7,261,281	\$ 4,534,909	\$ 11,796,191
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>\$ 801,395,769</b>	<b>\$ 612,211,652</b>	<b>\$ 1,413,607,421</b>

## 18 - '19 Success Grant Dollars - All Students

## 18 - '19 Success Grant Dollars - Equity Student Bonus

	\$ per outcome	\$	2,627	\$	3,502	\$	1,751	\$	876	\$	1,313	\$	1,751	\$	876	\$	1,979	\$	2,639	\$	1,319	\$	660	\$	989	\$	1,319	\$	660	
District	AAs	ADTs	Certificates 18+	9 CTE Units	Transfer	Math and English	Living Wage	AAs	ADTs	Certificates 18+	9 CTE Units	Transfer	Math and English	Living Wage	Success Total															
Allan Hancock CCD Total	\$	2,933,569	\$	947,585	\$	1,488,888	\$	1,687,955	\$	783,953	\$	376,481	\$	2,362,617	\$	1,137,874	\$	374,674	\$	515,836	\$	578,502	\$	293,868	\$	121,373	\$	275,728	\$	13,878,903
Antelope CCD Total	\$	3,759,150	\$	1,593,207	\$	1,840,521	\$	1,866,968	\$	1,260,150	\$	360,721	\$	954,151	\$	1,719,674	\$	807,396	\$	820,588	\$	937,344	\$	513,527	\$	160,951	\$	360,821	\$	16,955,170
Barstow CCD Total	\$	699,453	\$	291,720	\$	36,512	\$	367,630	\$	269,536	\$	45,528	\$	366,844	\$	419,529	\$	163,590	\$	19,789	\$	205,807	\$	188,986	\$	26,385	\$	95,647	\$	3,196,957
Butte CCD Total	\$	2,989,285	\$	1,105,642	\$	970,031	\$	1,973,502	\$	1,275,617	\$	457,030	\$	1,203,176	\$	1,541,572	\$	519,794	\$	340,373	\$	843,676	\$	399,740	\$	141,162	\$	396,442	\$	14,157,042
Cabrillo CCD Total	\$	2,758,408	\$	822,248	\$	294,574	\$	1,025,173	\$	1,120,733	\$	259,159	\$	1,299,573	\$	1,141,832	\$	284,963	\$	158,313	\$	392,484	\$	365,109	\$	46,175	\$	234,831	\$	10,203,575
Cerritos CCD Total	\$	2,844,713	\$	2,485,486	\$	3,398,040	\$	3,358,446	\$	1,835,010	\$	273,167	\$	2,364,402	\$	1,470,331	\$	1,250,672	\$	1,114,787	\$	1,381,940	\$	892,489	\$	92,349	\$	571,905	\$	23,333,736
Chabot-Las Positas CCD Total	\$	3,623,911	\$	1,608,943	\$	686,978	\$	2,254,682	\$	2,175,553	\$	821,253	\$	2,638,419	\$	1,211,094	\$	593,673	\$	196,572	\$	552,776	\$	697,566	\$	168,867	\$	393,803	\$	17,624,090
Chaffey CCD Total	\$	4,821,125	\$	2,728,956	\$	2,334,504	\$	2,132,430	\$	2,138,933	\$	446,523	\$	1,902,054	\$	2,081,814	\$	1,124,021	\$	939,323	\$	847,634	\$	715,376	\$	127,970	\$	589,056	\$	22,929,720
Citrus CCD Total	\$	6,304,786	\$	3,060,895	\$	3,607,566	\$	1,675,730	\$	1,624,074	\$	392,240	\$	986,283	\$	2,507,280	\$	1,224,286	\$	1,104,232	\$	624,017	\$	559,042	\$	92,349	\$	259,897	\$	24,022,680
Coast CCD Total	\$	11,270,756	\$	5,882,636	\$	9,412,409	\$	5,107,528	\$	4,904,151	\$	1,551,450	\$	4,066,521	\$	3,290,929	\$	2,168,887	\$	2,633,271	\$	1,508,590	\$	1,506,941	\$	434,041	\$	509,899	\$	54,248,009
Compton CCD Total	\$	1,520,148	\$	-	\$	356,594	\$	488,136	\$	181,948	\$	57,785	\$	749,754	\$	748,028	\$	-	\$	139,843	\$	217,680	\$	222,627	\$	25,066	\$	156,994	\$	4,864,604
Contra Costa CCD Total	\$	6,330,756	\$	4,906,643	\$	3,511,759	\$	3,952,243	\$	4,805,277	\$	1,409,613	\$	3,139,147	\$	2,158,992	\$	1,277,057	\$	861,486	\$	1,086,422	\$	1,065,644	\$	218,999	\$	478,896	\$	35,202,936
Copper Mountain Total	\$	374,446	\$	221,067	\$	133,248	\$	198,223	\$	136,535	\$	52,532	\$	135,670	\$	219,659	\$	126,650	\$	58,048	\$	112,138	\$	75,199	\$	22,428	\$	44,196	\$	1,910,038
Desert CCD Total	\$	1,077,297	\$	1,897,808	\$	374,997	\$	1,421,620	\$	771,095	\$	138,335	\$	138,335	\$	540,243	\$	80,721	\$	189,975	\$	682,724	\$	392,814	\$	54,090	\$	350,927	\$	9,817,656
El Camino CCD Total	\$	6,895,089	\$	1,265,886	\$	1,005,585	\$	2,293,104	\$	2,875,927	\$	852,772	\$	1,944,004	\$	2,556,753	\$	575,203	\$	365,439	\$	827,844	\$	951,856	\$	199,210	\$	425,466	\$	23,034,141
Feather River CCD Total	\$	449,937	\$	93,549	\$	19,612	\$	295,152	\$	119,902	\$	89,305	\$	299,901	\$	118,735	\$	34,301	\$	-	\$	91,030	\$	42,547	\$	30,343	\$	24,407	\$	1,708,720
Foothill CCD Total	\$	5,595,095	\$	4,067,796	\$	1,150,697	\$	5,159,048	\$	5,230,071	\$	1,815,862	\$	5,309,863	\$	1,638,538	\$	1,034,311	\$	146,439	\$	794,203	\$	1,257,598	\$	234,831	\$	368,737	\$	33,803,089
Gavilan CCD Total	\$	943,258	\$	587,647	\$	1,346,732	\$	709,937	\$	486,222	\$	138,335	\$	2,040,401	\$	405,677	\$	208,445	\$	339,053	\$	209,105	\$	167,218	\$	31,663	\$	137,864	\$	7,751,556
Glendale CCD Total	\$	982,658	\$	1,915,565	\$	412,252	\$	2,032,008	\$	1,966,872	\$	455,279	\$	1,456,664	\$	445,255	\$	833,781	\$	184,698	\$	812,673	\$	838,069	\$	166,229	\$	323,222	\$	12,825,225
Grossmont CCD Total	\$	5,906,106	\$	3,489,981	\$	2,903,134	\$	2,101,867	\$	2,474,293	\$	956,086	\$	1,775,310	\$	2,097,646	\$	1,166,238	\$	947,239	\$	738,793	\$	872,700	\$	282,325	\$	271,770	\$	25,983,487
Hartnell CCD Total	\$	1,444,865	\$	1,565,271	\$	1,436,800	\$	758,838	\$	1,091,785	\$	343,210	\$	2,180,534	\$	670,851	\$	688,661	\$	562,011	\$	344,331	\$	329,489	\$	121,373	\$	254,620	\$	11,792,637
Imperial CCD Total	\$	2,704,388	\$	1,394,037	\$	1,155,152	\$	1,090,665	\$	743,052	\$	201,373	\$	633,720	\$	1,385,238	\$	699,215	\$	538,264	\$	654,360	\$	357,193	\$	91,030	\$	287,602	\$	11,935,290
Kern CCD Total	\$	3,490,007	\$	2,987,924	\$	1,022,981	\$	4,133,875	\$	2,173,696	\$	495,554	\$	3,393,528	\$	1,735,505	\$	1,332,467	\$	490,770	\$	1,688,011	\$	1,472,310	\$	178,102	\$	965,049	\$	25,559,779
Lake Tahoe CCD Total	\$	236,775	\$	178,459	\$	54,595	\$	250,617	\$	134,575	\$	24,515	\$	800,630	\$	100,924	\$	73,879	\$	14,512	\$	52,771	\$	60,357	\$	6,596	\$	38,919	\$	2,028,125
Lassen CCD Total	\$	581,805	\$	172,377	\$	245,058	\$	252,364	\$	112,981	\$	43,777	\$	346,315	\$	186,018	\$	79,156	\$	105,542	\$	70,581	\$	39,578	\$	9,235	\$	25,066	\$	2,269,853
Long Beach CCD Total	\$	1,913,765	\$	3,153,649	\$	335,839	\$	2,637,157	\$	1,977,462	\$	537,579	\$	1,994,880	\$	969,666	\$	1,353,575	\$	186,018	\$	1,230,883	\$	994,403	\$	184,698	\$	604,228	\$	18,073,803
Los Angeles CCD Total	\$	25,456,731	\$	9,481,138	\$	17,289,054	\$	17,495,182	\$	10,281,959	\$	1,125,940	\$	15,007,570	\$	11,691,407	\$	4,562,050	\$	6,461,804	\$	6,891,228	\$	4,988,835	\$	361,481	\$	3,136,574	\$	134,230,951
Los Rios CCD Total	\$	11,945,872	\$	5,925,983	\$	4,091,763	\$	7,909,726	\$	5,478,251	\$	1,043,639	\$	10,776,817	\$	5,234,220	\$	2,390,525	\$	1,526,400	\$	2,775,093	\$	1,903,712	\$	254,620	\$	1,740,782	\$	62,997,403
Marin CCD Total	\$	524,659	\$	369,152	\$	102,985	\$	405,179	\$	429,724	\$	103,313	\$	451,637	\$	195,912	\$	131,927	\$	36,940	\$	100,265	\$	137,534	\$	19,789	\$	55,410	\$	3,064,427
Mendocino CCD Total	\$	609,309	\$	347,020	\$	122,955	\$	461,939	\$	276,486	\$	52,532	\$	554,282	\$	344,331	\$	171,506	\$	59,367	\$	235,490	\$	93,009	\$	15,831	\$	132,587	\$	3,476,644
Merced CCD Total	\$	1,644,425	\$	1,699,128	\$	1,111,467	\$	1,185,848	\$	1,239,369	\$	311,691	\$	1,296,003	\$	957,793	\$	836,420	\$	465,704	\$	612,143	\$	469,002	\$	110,819	\$	425,466	\$	12,365,277
MiraCosta CCD Total	\$	2,535,119	\$	810,544	\$	1,870,886	\$	1,651,280	\$	1,969,073	\$	576,103	\$	1,429,887	\$	896,447	\$	319,264	\$	616,101	\$	544,201	\$	515,506	\$	109,500	\$	181,400	\$	14,025,311
Monterey CCD Total	\$	958,645	\$	986,457	\$	238,325	\$	742,246	\$	771,766	\$	157,597	\$	1,839,574	\$	342,352	\$	353,565	\$	43,536	\$	176,123	\$	215,701	\$	29,024	\$	125,331	\$	6,980,243
Mt. San Antonio CCD Total	\$	4,415,812	\$	1,924,758	\$	977,957	\$	4,497,139	\$	3,320,837	\$	644,395	\$	2,534,882	\$	2,002,658	\$	825,866	\$	445,915	\$	1,757,933	\$	1,101,264	\$	146,439	\$	614,122	\$	25,209,976
Mt. San Jacinto CCD Total	\$	4,425,514	\$	1,227,010	\$	215,912	\$	1,196,326	\$	1,329,550	\$	609,373	\$	1,419,177	\$	2,105,561	\$	540,902	\$	108,180	\$	524,411	\$	602,578	\$	204,487	\$	420,189	\$	14,929,173
Napa CCD Total	\$	1,356,293	\$	817,688	\$	720,644	\$	753,598	\$	691,971	\$	301,184	\$	1,023,771	\$	486,812	\$	287,602	\$	234,831	\$	203,168	\$	202,838	\$	58,048	\$	147,759	\$	7,286,207
North Orange CCD Total	\$	4,885,528	\$	4,951,399	\$	1,561,478	\$	4,298,043	\$	4,563,332	\$	1,192,480	\$	3,569,363	\$	2,010,574	\$	1,804,767	\$	511,878	\$	1,402,388	\$	1,230,883	\$	310,029	\$	433,382	\$	32,725,523
Ohlone CCD Total	\$	2,000,539	\$	969,122	\$	77,169	\$	879,344	\$	1,242,463	\$	383,485	\$	1,637,855	\$	560,032	\$	274,409	\$	11,873	\$	185,358	\$	325,531	\$	65,964	\$	98,286	\$	8,711,429
Palo Verde CCD Total	\$	369,942	\$	52,445	\$	95,221	\$	507,347	\$	57,197	\$	5,253	\$	564,100	\$	71,241	\$	10,554	\$	32,982	\$	63,985	\$	19,789	\$	3,958	\$	28,364	\$	1,882,378
Palomar CCD Total	\$	4,132,721	\$	1,128,466	\$	2,865,185	\$	2,948,901	\$	2,272,861	\$	393,991	\$	2,143,046	\$	1,369,406	\$	350,927	\$	775,733	\$	599,610	\$	602,578	\$	71,241	\$	268,472	\$	19,923,139
Pasadena CCD Total	\$	9,217,560	\$	3,957,067	\$	894,781	\$	2,838,874	\$	3,409,836	\$	1,197,734	\$	1,996,666	\$	3,472,989	\$	1,709,779	\$	279,686	\$	990,775	\$	1,416,900	\$	360,162	\$	392,484	\$	32,135,293
Peralta CCD Total	\$	3,362,638	\$	1,901,015	\$	2,468,947	\$	2,584,764	\$	2,034,275	\$	478,043	\$	3,644,339	\$	1,595,002	\$	796,842	\$	899,745	\$	902,383	\$	879,626	\$	101,584	\$	426,126	\$	22,075,327
Rancho Santiago CCD Total	\$	8,203																												

District	AAs	ADTs	Certificates 18+	9 CTE Units	Transfer	Math and English	Living Wage	AAs	ADTs	Certificates 18+	9 CTE Units	Transfer	Math and English	Living Wage	Success Total
San Luis Obispo CCD Total	\$ 1,773,678	\$ 1,614,587	\$ 564,499	\$ 1,225,143	\$ 1,056,395	\$ 290,678	\$ 1,093,391	\$ 591,694	\$ 435,360	\$ 207,126	\$ 359,502	\$ 269,132	\$ 48,813	\$ 158,313	\$ 9,688,313
San Mateo CCD Total	\$ 3,600,248	\$ 3,576,281	\$ 2,544,173	\$ 2,459,892	\$ 2,382,001	\$ 858,026	\$ 2,376,005	\$ 1,211,094	\$ 1,036,949	\$ 598,950	\$ 554,095	\$ 730,218	\$ 104,223	\$ 226,255	\$ 22,258,410
Santa Barbara CCD Total	\$ 5,347,519	\$ -	\$ 3,046,060	\$ 2,594,369	\$ 2,335,479	\$ 644,395	\$ 1,734,252	\$ 1,721,653	\$ -	\$ 868,082	\$ 767,817	\$ 511,549	\$ 91,030	\$ 265,834	\$ 19,928,039
Santa Clarita CCD Total	\$ 3,899,492	\$ 2,839,029	\$ 3,642,896	\$ 2,403,131	\$ 1,856,149	\$ 702,180	\$ 2,906,188	\$ 1,155,684	\$ 902,383	\$ 862,805	\$ 562,670	\$ 636,220	\$ 147,759	\$ 263,195	\$ 22,779,782
Santa Monica CCD Total	\$ 6,168,035	\$ 2,134,681	\$ 2,538,052	\$ 3,337,489	\$ 4,004,194	\$ 1,083,914	\$ 2,430,452	\$ 1,725,610	\$ 701,854	\$ 675,468	\$ 765,179	\$ 1,142,821	\$ 225,596	\$ 358,843	\$ 27,292,188
Sequoias CCD Total	\$ 2,524,287	\$ 1,038,017	\$ 420,424	\$ 1,589,280	\$ 1,403,322	\$ 302,936	\$ 1,108,564	\$ 1,226,925	\$ 480,216	\$ 135,885	\$ 763,860	\$ 479,886	\$ 102,903	\$ 415,571	\$ 11,992,076
Shasta Tehama CCD Total	\$ 1,578,568	\$ 646,224	\$ 1,028,253	\$ 1,351,761	\$ 678,305	\$ 213,631	\$ 1,023,771	\$ 809,375	\$ 277,048	\$ 321,903	\$ 666,233	\$ 259,237	\$ 75,199	\$ 301,454	\$ 9,230,961
Sierra CCD Total	\$ 5,328,921	\$ 2,690,600	\$ 324,178	\$ 2,321,921	\$ 2,210,562	\$ 1,153,957	\$ 1,977,922	\$ 1,917,565	\$ 926,130	\$ 134,566	\$ 753,965	\$ 552,116	\$ 253,301	\$ 437,339	\$ 20,983,043
Siskiyou CCD Total	\$ 628,901	\$ 49,786	\$ 86,311	\$ 379,855	\$ 129,877	\$ 103,313	\$ 920,233	\$ 273,090	\$ 31,663	\$ 54,090	\$ 133,906	\$ 68,272	\$ 40,897	\$ 81,795	\$ 2,981,992
Solano CCD Total	\$ 3,149,171	\$ 676,356	\$ 249,703	\$ 1,048,750	\$ 1,000,240	\$ 385,236	\$ 1,351,342	\$ 1,084,443	\$ 221,638	\$ 81,795	\$ 317,945	\$ 260,227	\$ 87,072	\$ 266,493	\$ 10,180,412
Sonoma CCD Total	\$ 3,956,793	\$ 2,347,315	\$ 1,006,533	\$ 2,504,426	\$ 1,989,942	\$ 378,232	\$ 3,881,761	\$ 1,331,807	\$ 656,998	\$ 240,108	\$ 623,357	\$ 413,592	\$ 46,175	\$ 461,746	\$ 19,838,785
South Orange County CCD Total	\$ 5,384,706	\$ 4,169,941	\$ 6,705,690	\$ 3,921,680	\$ 3,887,845	\$ 1,292,292	\$ 3,164,139	\$ 1,460,436	\$ 978,901	\$ 1,562,020	\$ 783,649	\$ 883,584	\$ 207,126	\$ 258,578	\$ 34,660,587
Southwestern CCD Total	\$ 2,755,288	\$ 2,560,729	\$ 1,498,790	\$ 1,885,306	\$ 1,554,857	\$ 357,219	\$ 1,703,012	\$ 1,266,503	\$ 1,068,612	\$ 563,330	\$ 780,351	\$ 593,673	\$ 113,458	\$ 346,969	\$ 17,048,096
State Center CCD Total	\$ 3,372,833	\$ 5,622,985	\$ 1,175,099	\$ 4,863,023	\$ 3,765,891	\$ 985,854	\$ 4,605,630	\$ 1,709,779	\$ 2,324,561	\$ 627,974	\$ 2,268,492	\$ 1,361,491	\$ 270,451	\$ 1,152,386	\$ 34,106,449
Ventura CCD Total	\$ 9,592,298	\$ 7,274,822	\$ 3,928,441	\$ 3,342,728	\$ 4,291,580	\$ 1,477,905	\$ 2,751,775	\$ 3,463,094	\$ 2,311,368	\$ 1,630,623	\$ 1,135,895	\$ 1,190,315	\$ 360,162	\$ 507,921	\$ 43,258,926
Victor Valley CCD Total	\$ 2,625,960	\$ 394,338	\$ 399,936	\$ 1,672,237	\$ 716,863	\$ 190,867	\$ 1,086,250	\$ 1,389,196	\$ 216,361	\$ 200,530	\$ 852,251	\$ 409,635	\$ 71,241	\$ 374,674	\$ 10,600,338
West Hills CCD Total	\$ 2,134,661	\$ 434,248	\$ 574,394	\$ 858,386	\$ 599,456	\$ 232,893	\$ 782,779	\$ 1,036,949	\$ 234,831	\$ 274,409	\$ 439,318	\$ 269,132	\$ 67,283	\$ 253,301	\$ 8,192,039
West Kern CCD Total	\$ 1,001,815	\$ 389,771	\$ 184,246	\$ 264,589	\$ 370,443	\$ 71,794	\$ 1,719,078	\$ 411,614	\$ 176,783	\$ 46,175	\$ 117,415	\$ 111,808	\$ 17,151	\$ 111,479	\$ 4,994,159
West Valley CCD Total	\$ 1,517,020	\$ 2,016,306	\$ 486,182	\$ 1,668,744	\$ 1,714,021	\$ 450,026	\$ 2,384,931	\$ 560,032	\$ 559,372	\$ 145,120	\$ 376,653	\$ 474,939	\$ 60,687	\$ 253,301	\$ 12,667,333
Yosemite CCD Total	\$ 3,478,478	\$ 1,742,533	\$ 685,243	\$ 2,615,327	\$ 2,086,055	\$ 112,069	\$ 2,440,270	\$ 1,622,707	\$ 807,396	\$ 320,584	\$ 1,135,895	\$ 658,977	\$ 25,066	\$ 717,685	\$ 18,448,285
Yuba CCD Total	\$ 3,007,263	\$ 684,744	\$ 100,653	\$ 1,277,537	\$ 873,523	\$ 147,090	\$ 1,213,887	\$ 1,470,331	\$ 385,228	\$ 60,687	\$ 598,291	\$ 349,278	\$ 59,367	\$ 346,309	\$ 10,574,188
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>\$ 275,598,700</b>	<b>\$ 146,798,829</b>	<b>\$ 120,862,926</b>	<b>\$ 167,754,646</b>	<b>\$ 139,213,456</b>	<b>\$ 37,289,089</b>	<b>\$ 172,687,919</b>	<b>\$ 111,262,296</b>	<b>\$ 56,430,628</b>	<b>\$ 40,185,088</b>	<b>\$ 58,633,156</b>	<b>\$ 48,602,056</b>	<b>\$ 9,349,695</b>	<b>\$ 28,938,936</b>	<b>\$ 1,413,607,421</b>

**GOVERNOR'S MAYREVISION FUNDING FORMULA DATA SHEET**

DATA METRIC	DATA DEFINITION	DATA SOURCE	DATA NOTES	DATA AVAILABILITY
<b>BASE FUNDING</b>				
FTES Numbers	Uses a three year average of 16/17 Actual FTES, 2017/18 P1 Actual FTES and 2018/19 Projected FTES including base, stability/restoration and growth	Chancellor's Office 320 Attendance Reports and shown on District Apportionments	Uses the most recent attendance reports from January 2018. Includes 2017/18 base, restoration and projected 2018/19 growth FTES and uses 16/17 FTES actuals	Each year: January, April and July.
Basic Allocations	Number of colleges and centers funded per the 2017/18 P1 Apportionment plus budget year COLA .	Chancellor's Office Apportionment reports at 2017/18 P1.	District basic allocations from 2017/18 P1 budget year COLA of 2.71%	Each year: January, April and July.
Dual Enrollment FTES	2016/17 FTES counts of dual enrolled students (predominantly high school students)	Data Mart		August each year
Incarcerated FTES	2016/17 FTES counts of prison population taking college courses	Data Mart		August each year
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING</b>				
Pell Grant Recipients	Unduplicated count of 2016/17 Pell recipients by district	Data Mart		October each year
BOG (Promise) Grant Recipients age 25 and older	Unduplicated count of 2016/17 BOG recipients age 25 and older	Data Mart		October each year
AB 540 Students	Unduplicated count of 2016/17 AB 540 students	Chancellor's Office 320 Attendance Reports		July each year.
<b>SUCCESS FUNDING- All Students</b>				
English and Math Completion in 1 year	Unduplicated count of 2016-17 students who successfully completed both classes during the year	MIS Runs		August each year
Completion of 9 CTE Units	Unduplicated count of students who completed 9 CTE units or greater in 2016/17.	MIS Runs		August each year
Associate's Degree for Transfer (ADT)	Duplicated count of 2016/17 students who earned an ADT	Data Mart		October each year
Transfer Students	2016-17 count of students who transferred to a four year college in that year	Clearing House Data		November each year
Associate's Degree	2016-17 MIS duplicated count awards data (not including ADTs).	Data Mart		October each year

DATA METRIC	DATA DEFINITION	DATA SOURCE	DATA NOTES	DATA AVAILABILITY
Credit Certificate 16+ units	2016-17 MIS awards data. Duplicated counts of students who have received a certificate of 16 units or greater approved by the Chancellor's Office.	Data Mart	Used existing data about the number of certificates 18 units or greater, which is the data available at this time. If, subsequent to the 2017-18 fiscal year, the Chancellor's Office adopts regulations	October each year
Regional Living Wage	Number of non-transfer students who were enrolled in 2015/16 and who attained the regional living wage in 2016/17.	MIS special run	We used primary county within district for 1 Adult from MIT living wage calculator. Living wage is calculated by taking the number of students who had earnings at or above the living wages for the primary county within district boundaries for 1 Adult from the MIT living wage calculator.	August each year
<b>SUCCESS FUNDING-Pell Students</b>				
English and Math Completion in 1 year	Unduplicated count of 2016-17 Pell students who successfully completed both classes during the year	MIS Runs		August each year
Completion of 9 CTE Units	Unduplicated count of Pell students who completed 9 CTE units or greater in 2016/17	MIS Runs		August each year
Associate's Degree for Transfer (ADT)	Duplicated count of 2016/17 Pell students who earned an ADT	Data Mart		October each year
Transfer Students	2016-17 count of Pell students who transferred to a four year college in that year	Clearing House Data		November each year
Associate's Degree	2016-17 MIS duplicated count awards data (not including ADTs) for Pell students	Data Mart		October each year

DATA METRIC	DATA DEFINITION	DATA SOURCE	DATA NOTES	DATA AVAILABILITY
Credit Certificate 16+ units	2016-17 MIS awards data. Duplicated counts of Pell students who have received a certificate of 16 units or greater approved by the Chancellor's Office.	Data Mart.	Used existing data about the number of certificates 18 units or greater, which is the data available at this time. If, subsequent to the 2017-18 fiscal year, the Chancellor's Office adopts regulations authorizing the approval and issuance of	October each year
Regional Living Wage	Number of non-transfer Pell students who were enrolled in 2015/16 and who attained the regional living wage in 2016/17.	MIS special run	We used primary county within district for 1 Adult from MIT living wage calculator. Living wage is calculated by taking the number of students who had earnings at or above the living wages for the primary county within district boundaries for 1 Adult from the MIT living wage calculator.	August each year

# The COMMUNITY COLLEGE UPDATE

Copyright © 2018 School Services of California, Inc.

Volume 38

For Publication Date: May 04, 2018

No. 9

## New CalPERS School Employer Rates Released

During its meeting on April 17, 2018, the California Public Employees’ Retirement Systems (CalPERS) Board approved the employer contribution of 18.062% for 2018-19, which represents a 2.531% increase over the 2017-18 rate of 15.531%.

<b>CalPERS School Employer Contribution Rates</b>		
	<b>Previous Employer Rates</b>	<b>Updated Employer Rates</b>
2018-19	17.7%	18.062%
2019-20	20.0%	20.8%
2020-21	22.7%	23.5%
2021-22	23.7%	24.6%
2022-23	24.3%	25.3%
2023-24	24.8%	25.8%
2024-25	25.1%	26.0%

The increase in the rates is driven primarily by the lowered expected return on investments, as well as the conversion from a 30-year amortization period to a 20-year amortization period.

In addition to the revised employer contribution rate, CalPERS also raised the employee contribution rates for new members from 6.5% to 7.0% effective July 1, 2018. More information can be found in the CalPERS notification by clicking [here](#).

*—Matt Phillips, CPA; and Charlene Quilao*

posted 04/20/2018

# COMMUNITY COLLEGE UPDATE

Copyright © 2018 School Services of California, Inc.

Volume 38

For Publication Date: May 04, 2018

No. 9

## LAO Analyzes Governor's Apprenticeship Proposal

On April 12, 2018, the Senate Budget Subcommittee on Education, chaired by Senator Anthony Portantino (D-La Cañada Flintridge), hosted a hearing on several of Governor Jerry Brown's community college proposals in the 2018-19 budget, including the Governor's apprenticeship proposals. The Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO)—the Legislature's nonpartisan fiscal and policy advisor—provided the subcommittee a [handout](#), which included an analysis of the proposals and recommendations to the Legislature.

California currently administers two apprenticeship programs. First is the long-standing traditional apprenticeship program, which was provided \$40 million by the state in 2017-18 and focuses on traditional apprenticeship fields such as construction trades (e.g., carpenters, plumbers, electricians, etc.) and public safety (e.g., correctional workers and firefighters). In 2015-16, California created the second program, the California Apprenticeship Initiative, which provides \$15 million annually for nontraditional apprenticeship programs, including healthcare, advanced manufacturing, and information technology; and pre-apprenticeships programs that prepare students for an apprenticeship. It is important to remember that apprenticeships differ from other career technical education because they are paid work programs in which adult students are paired with skilled workers for supervised, hands-on learning, which typically results in job placement.

The bulk of state apprenticeship funding is for related supplemental instruction (RSI), which are classes apprentices take that are relevant to their trade. Statewide, 55% of RSI funding is associated with school districts and the remaining 45% is associated with community colleges. In order to qualify for state RSI funding, sponsors must partner with a school district or community college district and be approved by the state's Division of Apprenticeship Standards. In recent years, the amount of funding the state has budgeted for RSI has fallen short of covering all certified instructional hours for traditional apprenticeship programs, which has resulted in the rate being adjusted downward. In each of the last five years, the state has made pro-rata reductions, ranging from a 1% reduction in 2015-16 to an estimated 24% reduction in 2017-18.

To make up for the pro-rata reductions, the Governor is proposing \$31 million—\$25 million for programs associated with school districts—in his 2018-19 State Budget. Additionally, the Governor is proposing \$17.8 million in ongoing dollars—\$13.8 million to bring hours up to actual 2017-18 levels and \$3.9 million to increase the RSI rate to \$6.49 per hour—for the traditional apprenticeship program. The Governor is also proposing to allow some community college apprenticeship courses to generate funding at a \$10.38 hourly rate, which equates to \$5,453 per full-time equivalent student—60% higher than his proposed RSI rate.

The LAO recommends the Legislature do the following:

- **Reject the retroactive reimbursements.** State law makes clear that if state funding is insufficient to cover all certified hours, sponsors are to make adjustments to stay within the

annual Budget allocation. Despite knowing that state reimbursement was very likely to be prorated downward, sponsors continued to cover these costs over a five-year period and the program continued to grow rapidly.

- **Approve \$23.6 million ongoing augmentation—\$5.8 million more than the Governor’s Budget.** This would fund 10% growth in RSI hours in 2018-19, the average level of growth over the past five years. The LAO also recommends that the Legislature adjust the amount of RSI hours it funds annually so state reimbursement keeps pace with the demand for apprentices.
- **Reject the Governor’s proposal to allow California Community Colleges to earn significantly higher rates for certain credit apprenticeship courses.**

There were no questions or comments from the subcommittee regarding the Governor’s proposal and the LAO’s analysis. The Budget subcommittees will not take any significant action on proposals until after the May Revision. Stay tuned.

—*Kyle Hyland*

posted 04/23/2018

# **COMMUNITY COLLEGE UPDATE**

Copyright © 2018 School Services of California, Inc.

Volume 38

For Publication Date: May 04, 2018

No. 9

## **Budget Subcommittees Discuss Funding Formula Proposal**

The Assembly Budget Subcommittee on Education Finance, chaired by Assembly Member Kevin McCarty (D-Sacramento), and the Assembly Higher Education Committee, chaired by Assembly Member Jose Medina (D, Riverside), hosted a joint hearing on Wednesday, April 18, 2018, to discuss Governor Jerry Brown's proposed apportionment funding formula for the California Community Colleges (CCC). Additionally, the Senate Budget Subcommittee on Education, chaired by Senator Anthony Portantino (D-La Cañada Flintridge), hosted a hearing the next day to also discuss Governor Brown's proposal.

As a reminder, Governor Brown's proposal would create a new apportionment formula for the CCC system with three components: base funding, supplemental funding based on low-income students, and an incentive grant based on certain metrics of success.

The proposal also includes hold harmless provisions for 2018-19 and beyond. Additionally, Governor Brown has urged the Chancellor's Office to consult with stakeholders to develop a proposal for consolidating categorical programs.

Edgar Cabral, from the Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO), testified that the current funding model is simple to administer and generally tracks with district costs, but it does not provide incentives for colleges to ensure students meet their educational goals and finish with a certificate or degree in a timely manner. While the LAO agrees that the Legislature should consider allocating some portion based on performance, they suggest additional performance metrics such as higher levels of funding for the outcomes of low-income students and additional funding for expensive programs such as Career Technical Education. The LAO also supports the idea of a supplemental grant for serving low-income students, but suggests that the Legislature consider consolidating the supplemental grant with categorical programs aimed at supporting low-income students.

Christian Osmeña, from the Chancellor's Office, testified that the Chancellor's Office is supportive of the proposal while also understanding that the formula may need to be tweaked over time to account for any unintended consequences as a result of the formula.

Both Senate and Assembly committee members expressed skepticism of the formula and wondered why it was being rushed through by the administration. They expressed concerns that this formula may financially harm colleges that serve the most vulnerable student populations because they do not have as many FTES as wealthier schools and thus award fewer certificates and degrees than their wealthier counterparts. Others raised concerns about specific categorical funding being eliminated or whether colleges would turn into "diploma mills" to generate funding. The LAO specifically addressed this last concern, noting that the research of other states' funding models that have performance-based aspects have not found this issue.

No actions were taken, as the Legislature awaits a revised proposal at the May Revision. The Budget subcommittees will not take any significant action on proposals until after the May Revision. Stay tuned.

—*Kyle Hyland and Michelle McKay Underwood*

posted 04/26/2018

# *The* COMMUNITY COLLEGE UPDATE

Copyright © 2018 School Services of California, Inc.

Volume 38

For Publication Date: May 04, 2018

No. 9

## **For 2018-19, the Statutory COLA Is Estimated to Be 2.71%**

With the release of the latest economic data from the United States Department of Commerce (USDOC), it is estimated that the statutory cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) for 2018-19 will be 2.71%. This is an increase over the January Governor's Budget estimate for 2018-19 that projected the COLA to be 2.51%.

Today, April 27, 2018, the USDOC released the quarterly value of the Implicit Price Deflator for state and local government for the first quarter of the 2018 calendar year, which provides the last piece needed to calculate the 2018-19 statutory COLA for K-14 education.

During implementation of the K-12 Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), the COLA is less significant of a factor for most K-12 educational agencies in estimating revenue changes for the upcoming year because it is applied to the target funding level as opposed to a direct application to the level of the appropriation for LCFF.

For community colleges, the COLA is applied directly to the base apportionment as well as to funding for Disabled Student Programs and Services (DSPS), Extended Opportunity Programs and Services (EOPS), California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs), and Child Care Tax Bailout programs.

—*Dave Heckler*

posted 04/27/2018

# **COMMUNITY COLLEGE UPDATE**

Copyright © 2018 School Services of California, Inc.

Volume 38

For Publication Date: May 18, 2018

No. 10

## **Chancellor's Office Sends Formula Recommendations to the Department of Finance**

On Monday, May 7, 2018, the California Community Colleges (CCC) Chancellor Eloy Oakley sent to Department of Finance Director Michael Cohen [recommendations](#) on Governor Jerry Brown's proposed apportionment funding formula for the CCC system. The document details the robust outreach and stakeholder process that the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office (CCCCO) conducted in order to gain systemwide feedback on the Governor's proposal. Based on stakeholder feedback, the CEO workgroup, and input of the Advisory Workgroup on Fiscal Affairs, the document provides several recommendations to modify the Governor's funding proposal. Below is a crosswalk that shows key elements of the Governor's January proposal next to the CCCCCO recommendations:

	<b>Governor's January Budget Proposal</b>	<b>CCCCO Recommendation</b>
<b>Base Grant</b>	Proposes the Base Grant to be <b>50%</b> of the formula and allocated on budgeted full-time equivalent students (FTES) and a basic allocation consistent with the existing system.	Recommends <b>60%</b> of the formula be based on a Base Grant that uses a three-year weighted FTES average (weighting the current year at 50% and the two trailing years at 25% each) in addition to a basic allocation consistent with the existing system.
<b>Supplemental Grant</b>	Proposes the Supplemental Grant to be <b>25%</b> of the	Recommends <b>20%</b> of the formula be based on a Supplemental

	<p>formula based on the number of low-income students a district enrolls, as measured by the enrollment of students receiving federal Pell Grants (using a cohort measure) and those receiving College Promise Grants, with data from the past year.</p>	<p>Grant that allocates additional resources based on the enrollment of low-income students and first-generation students based on total counts from the previous year.</p> <p>The rates for each of the measures would be determined for 2018-19 based on a calculation of “points.” Students meeting the low-income or first-generation criteria generate 1 point in the formula calculation. Students with both characteristics generate 1.5 points. Beginning in 2019-20, the rates calculated in 2018-19 would be the basis for the apportionment.</p>
<p><b>Student Success Incentive Grant</b></p>	<p>Proposes the Student Success Incentive Grant to be <b>25%</b> of the formula based on the number of students earning a degree or certificate, earning a</p>	<p>Recommends <b>20%</b> of the formula be based on a Student Success Incentive Grant that is allocated by the number of students meeting a more broadly-defined set of outcomes based on a calculation of “points.” A single student could generate points for</p>

<p>degree or certificate in three years or less, and earning an Associate Degree for Transfer (ADT).</p> <p>All of these would be based on total counts from the prior year.</p>	<p>one outcome within each of the following categories (with all of the counts generated from prior year data):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Progression:</b> Completion of both transfer-level mathematics and transfer-level English within the first year of enrollment (3 points)</li> <li>• <b>Outcomes:</b> Completion of an ADT (4 points), an associate degree or CCC baccalaureate degree (3 points), or nine career technical education units (1 point); Credit certificates 16 units or greater (2 points)</li> <li>• <b>Wages:</b> Attainment of a regional living wage after one year of completion (1 point)</li> </ul>
--	--

		<p>The grant would also provide districts with additional resources based on the number of disadvantaged students meeting any of the above outcomes. Specifically, if a student meets the low-income or first-generation criteria (but not both) he or she would generate an additional set of points equal to the number generated above. However, if a student meets both the low-income and first-generation criteria then that student would generate an additional set of points equal to the number generated above multiplied by 1.5.</p>
--	--	--

The CCCCCO also recommends extending the “hold harmless” provision proposed by the Governor for an extra year so that in 2018-19 and 2019-20 a district would not receive less in total funds than it received in 2017-18 (with the 2017-18 amount adjusted for changes in cost-of-living in 2018-19).

The formula affirms the Governor’s proposal to end the “summer shift” by consistently counting summer session enrollments in the fiscal year that follows the summer term. This change would be effective 2019-20, with summer 2019 enrollments included in the 2019-20 fiscal year.

Not included in the Governor’s proposal, the CCCCCO recommends providing the Chancellor with authority to limit the year-over-year funding increase a district can earn, so that all districts could achieve some year-over-year growth given limited resources.

Additionally, the CCCCCO looked at consolidating categorical programs, at the behest of the Governor in his January budget proposal, and recommend consolidation of the Student Success and

Support Program (SSSP), the Student Success for Basic Skills Program, and the Student Equity program (a subset of the SSSP) into a single categorical program could help colleges meet the goals in the *Vision for Success* document under the Guided Pathways framework. The Chancellor's Office recommends the creation of a new categorical program that would encourage the addition of new full-time faculty.

The CCCCCO is asking for their recommendations to be included into the Governor's May Revision, which is expected to be released on or before May 14, 2018. Stay tuned.

—*Kyle Hyland and Michelle McKay Underwood*

posted 05/08/2018

# **COMMUNITY COLLEGE UPDATE**

Copyright © 2018 School Services of California, Inc.

Volume 38

For Publication Date: May 18, 2018

No. 10

## **CalSTRS Unfunded Liability Increases, Post-PEPRA Member Contribution Rate To Increase**

Today, May 10, 2018, the California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS) Board adopted the latest actuarial valuation of the retirement system. Despite increases in contribution rates by members, employers, and the state, the funded ratio continues to slide, this year dropping from 63.7% to 62.6%, and the unfunded actuarial obligation (UAO or unfunded liability) increased from \$96.7 billion to \$107.3 billion.

This valuation reflects the decision made by the CalSTRS Board in February 2017 to assume an investment return of 7% instead of 7.25%, which resulted in an increase of \$8.7 billion in the UAO. The UAO also increased by \$4.5 billion because the contributions received during 2016-17 were not sufficient to cover the interest on the UAO. According to CalSTRS's actuary, Milliman, the UAO is expected to continue to grow for the next decade and then start declining.

Changes to the unfunded liability affect the three contributors in different ways.

### **Employee Contribution Rate**

Under the Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013 (PEPRA), post-PEPRA employees are required to pay at least one-half the normal cost of their benefits. Based on the valuation presented to the CalSTRS Board, the normal cost *did* increase by more than 1%, which is the threshold for increasing the post-PEPRA employee contribution rate. Therefore, the contribution rate for post-PEPRA employees ("2% at 62 members") will increase from 9.205% to 10.205% effective July 1, 2018.

### **Employer Contribution Rate**

Because employer contribution rates are set in statute until 2020-21, there is no immediate effect on the employer contribution rate, which will increase from 14.43% in 2017-18 to 16.280% in 2018-19.

### **State Contribution Rate**

For the second year in a row, the CalSTRS Board has used its authority to adjust the state contribution rate necessary to pay off the state's portion of the UAO. The state contribution rate can be increased by up to 0.5% annually, bringing the state contribution rate from 6.828% in 2017-18 to 7.328% in 2018-19. Annual increases to the state rate of 0.5% are expected for about five more years according to Milliman.

—Michelle McKay Underwood

posted 05/10/2018

# **COMMUNITY COLLEGE UPDATE**

Copyright © 2018 School Services of California, Inc.

Volume 38

For Publication Date: May 18, 2018

No. 10

## **May Revision Proposes Flat Funding in 2018-19, Small Increase to LCFF Base**

### **Overview**

As expected, Governor Jerry Brown acknowledges significant additional General Fund revenues through 2018-19, \$8 billion more than in the January State Budget, but cautions “what comes up, must come down.” The purpose of this article is to provide a quick overview of Governor Brown’s assertions regarding the revised 2018-19 State Budget. We address topics highlighted by Governor Brown, but reserve our commentary for inclusion in our more detailed *Community College Update* article, to be released later today, May 11, 2018.

### **Proposition 98**

Despite new General Fund revenues, Governor Brown’s revision to his 2018-19 State Budget proposal reflects minimal changes to Proposition 98. The revised 2018-19 State Budget includes Proposition 98 funding of \$78.4 billion for 2018-19, practically unchanged from January’s proposal of \$78.3 billion. Governor Brown projects the current year Proposition 98 minimum will be \$75.6 billion, an increase of \$1.1 billion compared to the 2017-18 Enacted State Budget level of \$74.5 billion and \$400 million above the January State Budget estimate.

### **Community College Proposals**

#### New Funding Formula

In January, Governor Brown proposed \$175 million to support the community colleges’ transition to a new formula for general purpose apportionments similar to the Local Control Funding Formula at the K-12 education level. Governor Brown has made some adjustments in the May Revision to the distribution of the formula, which are:

- 60% would be composed of a base grant, based on a three-year rolling average of full-time equivalent students (FTES)
- 20% would be composed of a supplemental grant, based on the number of low-income students over age 25 receiving a College Promise Grant fee waiver, specified undocumented students, and the total number of students receiving a Pell grant
- 20% would be based on a student success incentive grant that would reward colleges’ progress on improving student success metrics and for successful outcomes for economically disadvantaged students

Governor Brown proposes that noncredit and career development and college preparation FTES would continue to be funded outside the formula at existing rates.

The revised “hold harmless” provision ensures that no district will receive less in both 2018-19 and 2019-20 than it received in 2017-18. Additionally, Governor Brown proposes \$104 million in one-time funding to provide discretionary resources to districts whose year-over-year increase in general purpose apportionment funding would be less than the cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) of 2.71% in 2018-19.

### Online College

In January, Governor Brown proposed \$120 million (\$20 million ongoing) to create a fully online community college that would focus on working adults who are not currently accessing higher education. The May Revision clarifies that the Board of Governors would serve as the governing board of the college; the faculty and classified employees would be represented for the purposes of collective bargaining; the college would report on outcome measures similar to all other community colleges; the president of the college would be responsible for commencing the accreditation process upon enrollment of the college’s first cohort of students; and the curriculum developed by the college and its faculty would have the same academic protections granted to all curricula developed by other community college faculty.

### Other Proposals

Governor Brown proposes an increase of \$73.7 million in apportionment funding, including \$46.9 million to reflect the amount of FTES funding earned back by community college districts that declined in enrollment during the previous three years, \$14.9 million to reflect unused growth provided in 2016-17, and \$11.9 million to reflect a change in the COLA from 2.51% to 2.71%.

In January, Governor Brown proposed \$275.2 million in one-time funds for deferred maintenance or instructional equipment, with no match requirement. The May Revision proposes to reduce this by \$131.7 million due to shifting funds to other priorities.

### **More Analysis to Come**

More in-depth coverage of Governor Brown’s revised proposals will be released in a comprehensive *Community College Update* article this evening.

—*SSC Staff*

posted 05/11/2018

# **THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE UPDATE**

Copyright © 2018 School Services of California, Inc.

Volume 38

For Publication Date: May 18, 2018

No. 10

## **Initial Impressions From the Governor's 2018-19 May Revision Proposal**

### **Overview**

As expected, Governor Jerry Brown acknowledges significant additional General Fund revenues through 2018-19, \$8 billion more than in the January State Budget, but cautions “what comes up, must come down.” The purpose of this article is to provide a quick overview of Governor Brown’s assertions regarding the revised 2018-19 State Budget. We address topics highlighted by Governor Brown, but reserve our commentary for inclusion in our more detailed *Community College Update* article, to be released later today, May 11, 2018.

### **Proposition 98**

Despite new General Fund revenues, Governor Brown’s revision to his 2018-19 State Budget proposal reflects minimal changes to Proposition 98. The revised 2018-19 State Budget includes Proposition 98 funding of \$78.4 billion for 2018-19, practically unchanged from January’s proposal of \$78.3 billion. Governor Brown projects the current year Proposition 98 minimum will be \$75.6 billion, an increase of \$1.1 billion compared to the 2017-18 Enacted State Budget level of \$74.5 billion and \$400 million above the January State Budget estimate.

### **Community College Proposals**

#### New Funding Formula

In January, Governor Brown proposed \$175 million to support the community colleges’ transition to a new formula for general purpose apportionments similar to the Local Control Funding Formula at the K-12 education level. Governor Brown has made some adjustments in the May Revision to the distribution of the formula, which are:

- 60% would be composed of a base grant, based on a three-year rolling average of full-time equivalent students (FTES)
- 20% would be composed of a supplemental grant, based on the number of low-income students over age 25 receiving a College Promise Grant fee waiver, specified undocumented students, and the total number of students receiving a Pell grant
- 20% would be based on a student success incentive grant that would reward colleges’ progress on improving student success metrics and for successful outcomes for economically disadvantaged students

Governor Brown proposes that noncredit and career development and college preparation FTES would continue to be funded outside the formula at existing rates.

The revised “hold harmless” provision ensures that no district will receive less in both 2018-19 and 2019-20 than it received in 2017-18. Additionally, Governor Brown proposes \$104 million in one-

time funding to provide discretionary resources to districts whose year-over-year increase in general purpose apportionment funding would be less than the cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) of 2.71% in 2018-19.

### Online College

In January, Governor Brown proposed \$120 million (\$20 million ongoing) to create a fully online community college that would focus on working adults who are not currently accessing higher education. The May Revision clarifies that the Board of Governors would serve as the governing board of the college; the faculty and classified employees would be represented for the purposes of collective bargaining; the college would report on outcome measures similar to all other community colleges; the president of the college would be responsible for commencing the accreditation process upon enrollment of the college's first cohort of students; and the curriculum developed by the college and its faculty would have the same academic protections granted to all curricula developed by other community college faculty.

### Other Proposals

Governor Brown proposes an increase of \$73.7 million in apportionment funding, including \$46.9 million to reflect the amount of FTES funding earned back by community college districts that declined in enrollment during the previous three years, \$14.9 million to reflect unused growth provided in 2016-17, and \$11.9 million to reflect a change in the COLA from 2.51% to 2.71%.

In January, Governor Brown proposed \$275.2 million in one-time funds for deferred maintenance or instructional equipment, with no match requirement. The May Revision proposes to reduce this by \$131.7 million due to shifting funds to other priorities.

### **More Analysis to Come**

More in-depth coverage of Governor Brown's revised proposals will be released in a comprehensive *Community College Update* article this evening.

—*SSC Staff*

posted 05/11/2018

# **SSC** COMMUNITY COLLEGE UPDATE

Copyright © 2018 School Services of California, Inc.

Volume 38

For Publication Date: May 18, 2018

No. 10

## **An Overview of the 2018-19 Governor's May Revision**

### **Preface**

The May Revision represents Governor Jerry Brown's final State Budget proposal of his four terms as Governor of California. Also, it is the final statutory opportunity for the Governor to update his economic projections prior to enactment of the State Budget in June. Factors such as tax revenues, population growth, and competing state priorities are all detailed in the Governor's May Revision.

This year, the Governor proposed in January two major initiatives for the community colleges—a revised funding formula and a new online community college. Both proposals resulted in significant feedback from stakeholders and policy discussions at the state level. Also, current-year state revenue collections through April are approximately \$4 billion higher than the January forecast, causing speculation on the impact on K-14 education funding, for both this year and next.

Given the Proposition 98 tests that are in play, although the May Revision revenue forecast through 2018-19 is increased by \$8 billion, as we expected, the impact to the Proposition 98 minimum guarantee is minor. In the May Revision the Governor continues to propose a new funding model and a new online community college, with revisions that reflect many of the concerns expressed by stakeholders as well as the work of task forces and the Chancellor's Office.

In this article, we focus on how significant community college proposals have changed since January.

### **Overview of the Governor's Budget Proposals**

Governor Brown's May Revision paints a bright, but cautious, fiscal picture, noting that the January 2018 State Budget proposal included a healthy reserve and that revenues have continued to grow since. Throughout the current fiscal year, state revenues have outpaced forecasts both before and during the important tax month of April. With this solid revenue base, Governor Brown is proposing a final May Revision that combines both long-term and one-time investments while setting aside funds for a rainy day.

Significant proposals outside of Proposition 98 include:

- Expanding the state's Earned Income Tax Credit program to workers between the ages of 18-25 and above 64, and adjusting income limits to reflect the minimum wage increase to \$12 per hour in 2019
- Fully funding the Rainy Day fund to \$13.8 billion by the end of 2018-19 and an extra \$3.2 billion into the state's traditional Budget reserve fund
- Placing the \$2 billion "No Place Like Home" bond on the November ballot, which would expand housing opportunities for Californians with mental illness

Finally, the Governor's May Revision highlights a number of initiatives to combat homelessness, invest in infrastructure, and fight climate change.

## **The Economy and Revenues**

### **Economic Outlook**

Themes from the January Budget that include both good news and recession warnings continue with the Governor's May Revision. While acknowledging the increased revenues and the economy's overall strong fiscal health, in his press conference, Governor Brown once again brought out the now familiar charts—one showing that all periods of surplus are followed by years of deficits and the other illustrating that, by the end of 2018-19, the U.S. will have matched the longest recovery in modern history—to emphasize that another recession is just around the corner. While the May Revision assumes the continued expansion of the economy, it is founded on prudent fiscal policies—building the state's reserves and avoiding substantial new ongoing obligations.

The full implications of the new federal tax law are still unknown and actions by the federal government could have an outsized effect on California's economy. While the federal tax changes are providing a temporary boost to the national and California economies, there are long-term consequences that could affect future economic growth. In addition, even a moderate recession could severely impact the state's revenues for several years to come as capital gains—the state's most volatile revenue source—make up the largest share of personal income tax receipts.

The national unemployment rate as of March 2018 held steady at 4.1% while California's unemployment rate held at 4.3%—tying the record low set in February 2018. However, stock market volatility appears to be back in play after record breaking increases.

### **State Revenues**

While revenue projections are once again up as part of the May Revision compared to the Governor's January Budget, unlike in previous years, this is not translating into a windfall for education. Personal income tax revenues have been revised up almost \$4.4 billion due to the strong stock market, higher wages, and stronger concentration of income among high-income earners. Sales tax receipts and corporation tax revenues have also been revised up by \$744 million and \$2.5 billion, respectively. Total General Fund revenues are up \$1.3 billion in 2016-17, \$3.5 billion in 2017-18, and \$3.1 billion in 2018-19 compared to the January estimates. The average year-over-year growth from 2016-17 through 2021-22 is projected to be 4.1%, with total General Fund revenues increasing from \$128.6 billion in the current year to \$145.9 billion in 2021-22.

As noted, the May Revision does not anticipate a recession, but acknowledges and plans for economic risks. The U.S. real gross domestic product growth is projected at 3% in 2018 and 2019, but falling to 1.9% starting in 2020.

### **Proposition 98**

As expected, the Proposition 98 minimum guarantee remains relatively flat from the Governor's Budget despite the significant infusion of state General Fund revenues. The May Revision increases the minimum guarantee by a total of \$727 million for fiscal years 2016-17 through 2018-19 (\$252 million in 2016-17, \$407 million in 2017-18, and \$68 million in 2018-19), attributing the growth largely to increases to General Fund revenues and projected per capita personal income for 2018-19.

The 2018-19 minimum guarantee is increased to \$78.4 billion from \$78.3 billion proposed in the January Budget. The May Revision changes the operative test for 2018-19 from Test 3—funding based on per capita General Fund revenue growth, plus 0.5%—to Test 2—funding based on changes in per capita personal income, which precludes the creation of a maintenance factor.

Of note, the Governor proposes a new Proposition 98 minimum guarantee certification process. The minimum guarantee is currently required to be jointly certified by the State Superintendent of Public Instruction, the Community College Chancellor, and the Department of Finance (DOF) nine months after the close of the fiscal year. However, according to the Administration, the last time the minimum guarantee was certified was for fiscal year 2008-09. Instead, the May Revision proposes an alternative process whereby the DOF will publish a final calculation of the prior-year minimum guarantee, inclusive of its factors, with the May Revision, triggering a public comment period. If there are no challenges, the certification becomes final by October 1. Any funding provided above the minimum guarantee may be used as credit toward future minimum guarantee obligations and any amount owed would be paid over a specified period.

### Community College Proposals

The Governor made some changes to the most significant community college initiatives from his January Budget proposal: the new funding formula for general apportionments and the creation of an online California community college.

### New Funding Formula

In January, Governor Brown proposed \$175 million to support the community colleges' transition to a new Student-Focused Funding Formula for general purpose apportionments similar to the K-12 Local Control Funding Formula. After consulting with the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office (CCCCO) and other leading community college stakeholders the Governor has made some significant adjustments to the components of the formula in the May Revision:

- **Base Grant.** The base grant would compose of 60% of the formula and would be based on a three-year rolling average of full-time equivalent students (FTES). Noncredit FTES, including career development and college preparation FTES, would be funded using the existing structure and would not be included within the formula—rather, it would be treated as an add-on.
- **Supplemental Grant.** The supplemental grant would consist of 20% of the formula and would be based on head counts: the number of low-income students over the age of 25 receiving a College Promise Grant fee waiver, the number of Assembly Bill 540 students, and the number of students receiving a Pell grant.
- **Student Success Incentive Grant.** The student success incentive grant would cover the last 20% of the formula and would be based upon head counts of the completion of associate degrees and certificates over 18 units, Associates Degrees for Transfer (ADTs), successful transfers to four-year institutions, completion of transfer-level math and English courses in the first year, obtaining a regional living wage within 12 months of completing a degree or certificate program, and successfully completing nine credit units of Career Technical Education (CTE) courses. Additionally, districts will receive additional funding within this grant upon the successful outcomes of economically disadvantaged students.

The revised “hold harmless” provision ensures that no district will receive less in both 2018-19 and 2019-20 than the marginal rate of funding it received in 2017-18. Additionally, Governor Brown

proposes \$104 million in one-time funding to provide discretionary resources to districts whose year-over-year increase in general purpose apportionment funding would be less than the cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) of 2.71% in 2018-19. Since this is a one-time augmentation there is no additional protection proposed at this time for 2019-20 or beyond to ensure that the funding increases in each year provide at least the statutory COLA.

The Governor's May Revision maintains the proposal to end the ability of local college districts to shift summer FTES to either year—the requirement would be that summer FTES, starting in 2019, count toward the year that follows. FTES for summer terms that end before June 30 would be counted in that year, but if the term ends after June 30 the FTES would be required to count in the year in which it ends.

The proposal also would end stability funding that is currently provided for declining FTES because of the three-year rolling average FTES that is proposed to be used for the new funding formula.

Simulations of the new formula are scheduled to be released by the DOF early in the week of May 14, 2018.

Arguing that the programs all target similar student populations, the Governor proposes to integrate the Student Success and Support Program, Student Equity Program, and the Student Success for Basic Skills Program into a block grant program.

The Governor incorporated many of the recommendations for the formula and consolidation of categorical programs released earlier this week by the CCCCCO (see, "[Chancellor's Office Sends Formula Recommendations to the Department of Finance](#)," in the current edition of the *Community College Update*).

### **California Online Community College**

In January, Governor Brown proposed \$120 million (\$20 million ongoing) for the creation of the California Online Community College to provide quality, affordable, and flexible educational opportunities for working adults without a postsecondary credential to improve their economic mobility. The May Revision makes the following clarifications to the January proposal:

- **Governance.** The Board of Governors would serve as the Governing Board of the college and would, along with the Chancellor, choose the president of the college who would then establish an advisory council to advise him or her on issues related to the college
- **Collective Bargaining.** The faculty and classified employees would be represented for the purposes of collective bargaining by partnering with an existing district to establish a collective bargaining agreement
- **Student Success.** The college would report on outcome measures similar to all other community colleges and, to provide greater accountability, would provide a comprehensive status report in its third year of operation regarding student outcomes and the college's progress on reaching working adults
- **Accreditation.** The president of the college would be responsible for commencing the accreditation process upon enrollment of the college's first cohort of students
- **Curriculum.** The curriculum developed by the college and its faculty would have the same academic protections granted to all curricula developed by other community college faculty

### **Other General Apportionment Proposals**

The January proposal to provide 1% growth funding remains, with a reduction in funds due to a reduced estimate of the cost. Growth funding is proposed to be applied on top of the three-year rolling average calculation for the Base Grant in the new funding formula.

In the May Revision, the Governor proposes an increase of \$73.7 million from his January proposal for apportionments, which includes:

- An increase of \$46.9 million to reflect the amount of FTES funding earned back by community college districts that declined in enrollment during the previous three years
- An increase of \$14.9 million to reflect unused growth provided in 2016-17
- An increase of \$11.9 million to reflect a change in the COLA from 2.51% to 2.71%

### **K-12 Strong Workforce Program**

In January, the Governor proposed \$212 million for K-12 education to expand CTE programs aligned with the goals of the Strong Workforce Program. The May Revision continues to utilize the Strong Workforce Program as proposed in January, but amends the proposal to clarify important elements of this K-12 program including:

- Clarifying that grant decisions for the K-12 component will be made exclusively by the K-12 Selection Committee
- Clarifying the requirements that apply to the new K-12 component of the Strong Workforce Program
- Building in a role for the Technical Assistance Providers established under the California Career Pathways Trust Program and further clarifying roles and responsibilities of the Workforce Pathway Coordinators
- Providing additional resources to consortia for administering the regional grant process, including resources to support the K-12 Selection Committee duties
- Provides an increase of \$2 million to support the consortia administrative costs associated with the K-12 Strong Workforce Program

### **Other Programs**

In the May Revision the Governor proposes to decrease the January proposal for deferred maintenance by \$131.7 million, from \$275.2 million to \$143.5 million in one-time funds, due to shifting funds to other priorities.

The Governor makes the following augmentations from the January 2018-19 State Budget proposals for other community college programs:

- Financial Aid Awards—An increase of \$7.8 million for the proposed Student Success Completion Grant to reflect an increased estimate of students
- Financial Aid Technology Improvements—An increase of \$13.5 million one-time and \$5 million ongoing to upgrade colleges' financial aid management systems for more efficient processing
- Apprenticeships—An increase of \$4.8 million ongoing for increased reimbursements to K-12 and community college-sponsored apprenticeship programs and an increase of \$5.9 million one-time to backfill shortfalls in Related and Supplemental Instruction hours in the prior years
- Open Educational Resources—An increase of \$6 million one-time to expand open educational resources

- NextUp Program Augmentation—An increase of \$5 million to expand the NextUp Program, which supports current and former foster youth, at 20 community college districts
- Adult Education Block Grant Program—An increase of \$1 million to reflect the increase in COLA
- Categorical Program Cost-of-Living Adjustment—An increase of \$581,000 to reflect the increase in COLA for the Disabled Student Programs and Services program, the Extended Opportunities Programs and Services program, the Special Services for CalWORKs Recipients program, and the Child Care Tax Bailout program

### **Child Care and State Preschool**

The May Revision proposes modest, largely technical, adjustments to the Governor's January Budget proposals for Child Care and the State Preschool program. It retains the Governor's proposals to increase provider reimbursement rates and full-day state preschool slots for local educational agencies (LEAs), fulfilling a three-year agreement he made with the Legislature. New with the release of the May Revision is a one-time \$11.8 million proposal to increase federal funds to support an Early Math Initiative to provide early math resources, such as professional development and coaching opportunities for preK-12 teachers, as well as provide early math learning opportunities for preschool and kindergarten children.

The May Revision increases California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids investments by \$104 million to reflect changes in the caseload and the cost of care. Finally, as a result of the slight increase in COLA estimated in January, child care and preschool investments increase by \$4 million.

### **The Rest of Higher Education**

The Governor's May Revision acknowledges the memorandum of understanding that was signed between the University of California (UC) President and the community college system to streamline the transfer process for students and build upon the existing ADT process. Additionally, since the UC and the State Auditor have provided enough evidence regarding the 2017 Budget Act conditions, the May Revision assumes the release of the \$50 million after UC Board of Regents act in May and upon the determination of the Director of Finance.

The May Revision maintains the \$92.1 million investment in the California State University proposed in the Governor's January Budget with the expectation that these funds be used to make progress on the Graduation Initiative.

### **In Closing**

While the Governor notes that we are in the second longest economic recovery on record and we are overdue for a recession, his forecasts do not include any potential effects of the next recession. California is now the fifth largest economy in the world based on gross domestic product. And even though California imposes a relatively high tax burden on its taxpayers, its resources committed to educating our youth still lag behind most states in our country, even states without the high revenue profile that California enjoys.

Further, California's reliance on the volatile income tax, made even more so by the continued reliance on capital gains from the top 1% of earners, means that funding for public education is highly sensitive to economic and personal income fluctuations as compared with that of other states. The Governor referred to this volatility in his May Revision remarks: "How do you ride the tiger?"

Until something changes, it seems that California is destined to have higher volatility and lower levels of funding than other states for public education.

All in all, the May Revision is slightly better for public education than the January Budget, but given the automatic cost increases such as pension contributions LEAs are facing, it's a significant challenge to merely maintain current programs, let alone augment them. In fact, many LEAs are making budget reductions. We continue to believe that the best plan of action is to maintain a suitable level of local reserves, exercise caution at the bargaining table, and prepare budgets and projections on a reasonably conservative basis. This is especially true as there will be a new person in the Governor's office and in other key state policy positions come next year.

—*SSC Staff*

posted 05/11/2018

# **SSC COMMUNITY COLLEGE UPDATE**

Copyright © 2018 School Services of California, Inc.

Volume 38

For Publication Date: May 18, 2018

No. 10

## **Estimates of Out-Year COLAs Now Available**

Along with the Governor's 2018-19 May Revision, the Department of Finance has released revised estimates of the statutory cost-of-living adjustments (COLAs) after 2018-19, as illustrated in the table below:

	<b>2017-18</b>	<b>2018-19</b>	<b>2019-20</b>	<b>2020-21</b>
Statutory COLA	1.56%	2.71%	2.57%	2.67%

To assist you in preparing your LEA's multi-year projections, we will be updating SSC's Financial Projection Dartboard in the coming days to reflect this latest information. Stay tuned...

-- SSC Staff

posted 05/13/2018

# **COMMUNITY COLLEGE UPDATE**

Copyright © 2018 School Services of California, Inc.

Volume 38

For Publication Date: May 18, 2018

No. 10

## **Additional Details Emerge on the Proposed Funding Formula**

With the release of trailer bill language on the various proposals contained in the 2018-19 May Revision for community colleges, we have found additional details on the new funding formula that we had not previously reported. Here are some of those details:

### **Basic Allocation**

Each district will receive a basic allocation based on the current formula for the number of colleges and comprehensive centers. Then the per full-time equivalent student (FTES) funding is calculated and added on, with these additional details that have emerged:

- The three-year average FTES used for the base allocation in the new formula is calculated based on funded FTES for the current and prior two years, except that current-year growth is removed from the average calculation and is added onto the resulting average
- The marginal funding rate is \$3,024 per credit FTES for 2018-19; stability and restoration language is proposed to be eliminated for not only the credit FTES within the new formula but also the noncredit FTES that is now outside of the formula
- The 2018-19 and 2019-20 hold harmless is based upon total computational revenue from 2017-18; beyond that the hold harmless is based on the per-FTES rates from 2017-18 multiplied by current FTES

### **Other Allocations**

The supplemental allocation for low-income students is determined by calculating one point for each student in each qualifying group, at a marginal funding rate of \$1,526.

The student success incentive allocation is \$876 per point. Points are earned as follows:

- Three points for each associate degree or baccalaureate degree granted, with the exception of the associate degree for transfer
- Four points for each associate degree for transfer
- Two points for each Chancellor's Office approved credit certificate requiring 18 or more units, with the potential of including those requiring 16 or more units if the Board of Governors adopts regulations accordingly
- Two points for each student completing transfer-level math and English within their first academic year

- One-and-a-half points for each student transferring to a four-year university, with some exceptions
- One point for the number of students who complete nine or more Career Technical Education units
- One point for each student who obtains a regional living wage within one year of community college completion

The equity component of the student success incentive allocation is funded at \$660 per point and is generated by students who receive a Pell Grant and generate points for the criteria above.

### **Additional Information**

All of the marginal funding rates above for 2018-19 are scheduled to increase thereafter by the statutory cost-of-living adjustment and any future State Budget augmentations.

The Department of Finance (DOF) has prepared projections of the revenues to be generated by the new formula, which can be found [here](#). The factors used to generate the DOF projections can be found [here](#).

The Chancellor's Office has prepared an Excel workbook that reflects the formulas and calculations behind the DOF projections. The workbook can be found [here](#).

The Legislature and Governor are in the final stages of the State Budget process, which means that there isn't much time left to influence what ends up in the State Budget. Note that in the Legislative Analyst's Office report *The 2018-19 Budget: Analysis of the May Revision Education Budget Proposals*, it supports the framework of the new funding formula but recommends that the Legislature work out details over the coming months for implementation in 2019-20. Stay tuned.

—*Sheila G. Vickers and Kyle Hyland*

posted 05/16/2018

# **COMMUNITY COLLEGE UPDATE**

Copyright © 2018 School Services of California, Inc.

Volume 38

For Publication Date: May 18, 2018

No. 10

## **LAO Releases Analysis of the Governor's May Revision Education Proposals**

On May 14, 2018, the Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO) released the education section of its annual analysis of Governor Jerry Brown's May Revision proposal. With this analysis, the LAO presents its assessment of the Administration's education proposals and offers specific recommendations on a number of K-14 education programs.

### **Proposition 98 Minimum Guarantee**

The LAO's estimates of General Fund revenue from per capita personal income are higher than the Administration's estimates in 2017-18 and 2018-19, but are partially offset by lower estimates than that of the Administration in sales tax and corporation tax General Fund revenue. However, the per capita personal income revenue growth does not increase the Proposition 98 guarantee because Proposition 98 for 2018-19 is based on Test 2, (i.e., the change in per capita personal income). Therefore, any General Fund revenue beyond what is included in the May Revision would be available for any legislative priority.

K-12 student attendance data for the first half of the 2017-18 school year suggests attendance is likely to decline. As a result, the LAO forecasts the Administration's estimate of the 2018-19 guarantee to be too high because the May Revision proposal does not factor in the lower average daily attendance anticipated for 2017-18. This could result in an eventual decrease of several hundred million dollars, as the 2018-19 guarantee would decline in conjunction with student attendance.

The LAO also forecasts higher property tax collections of \$650 million compared to the Administration's estimate, based on its analysis of assessed valuation growth and revenues accruing from the dissolution of redevelopment agencies. These additional revenues, however, will not affect the Proposition 98 guarantee but rather would free up non-Proposition 98 General Fund dollars that would otherwise be required to backfill the minimum guarantee.

### **LAO Recommends Adoption of Proposition 98 Certification**

The LAO suggests that, while there are drawbacks to the Proposition 98 certification proposal, it has multiple advantages. Under current law, the Director of Finance, the State Superintendent of Public Instruction, and the California Community College Chancellor's Office are to agree upon and certify a final calculation of the minimum guarantee within nine months following the end of the fiscal year. Disagreements on Proposition 98 calculations have caused delayed certifications years after the statutory deadline, with 2008-09 being the last year the state certified the minimum guarantee.

In the past, when the minimum guarantee has dropped, the state has often reclassified some or all of the spending above the guarantee as a payment toward a different fiscal year when the state did not fully fund the guarantee. The state also has deferred payments or made midyear budget reductions.

Alternatively, when the guarantee has increased, the state has typically made additional appropriations in the following year's budget. According to the LAO, the Governor's May Revision proposal aims to automate these existing practices to manage changes in the minimum guarantee from year to year.

In addition, the Governor's May Revision proposes a true-up account—the Proposition 98 Cost Allocation schedule—to align spending with the minimum guarantee during the state's budget closeout. However, credits to the proposed true-up account cannot exceed a drop in the guarantee of more than 1% (approximately \$785 million in 2018-19). Aligning spending with the guarantee would be difficult in years after the state has credited amounts to the account and would be detrimental to local educational agencies if the state chooses to make midyear program reductions in anticipation of a significant drop in the guarantee. The LAO supports the May Revision proposal for Proposition 98 certification, but without the cap on the Proposition 98 Cost Allocation schedule.

The LAO also recommends the Legislature adopt the Governor's proposal to certify the minimum guarantee from 2009-10 through 2015-16 through a transparent process. The Governor's May Revision proposal assigns the Director of Finance sole responsibility for finalizing the calculation of the minimum guarantee. Additionally, legal challenges over the Proposition 98 calculation will be limited to 90 days, promoting timely resolution and reducing post-year budget surprises. The LAO concludes that the complex calculations of the minimum guarantee would be made more widely available to the public, promoting greater transparency.

### Community College Proposals

In addition to its recommendations regarding Proposition 98, the LAO has specific recommendations regarding several community college-specific proposals. The major proposals are as follows:

**New Funding Formula.** The LAO states that, while the May Revision proposals for the new funding formula address many of the concerns raised by stakeholders, there isn't sufficient time between now and the enactment of the State Budget for policy makers to fully vet the proposed revisions. The LAO recommends that the Legislature adopt the conceptual framework in the State Budget Act for 2018-19 with the details to be further worked out during the rest of this Legislative session for implementation in 2019-20. This delay would free up \$279 million in hold harmless funding for other one-time purposes.

**Online Community College.** The LAO continues to have concerns with the Governor's proposal for the new online community college, most importantly that the specific problems within the existing community college system that prevent access for working adults have not been identified, and it is not clear how the online college would provide better access. The LAO recommends rejection of the online community college proposal and that the Legislature pursue improvements in the existing system to better meet the needs of working adults with no postsecondary credentials.

**Student Equity and Achievement Program.** The LAO recommends that the Legislature support the proposed consolidation of the three student success programs into a block grant, but recommends further that there are nine additional student support programs that could be included. Further, given the proposal for the new funding formula that contains a component to support low-income students, the LAO recommends that the Legislature consider this in ensuring that the allocation formula and objectives of the new block grant complement the other funding streams.

The LAO makes additional recommendations regarding some of the other May Revision proposals for community college programs. The full text of the LAO's analysis of the K-14 education portion of the Governor's 2018-19 May Revision, including its recommendations on other portions of the budget, can be found [here](#).

—*Leilani Aguinaldo, Jamie Metcalf, and Sheila G. Vickers*

posted 05/15/2018

**RANCHO SANTIAGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT  
UNRESTRICTED GENERAL FUND  
2018-19 Tentative Budget Assumptions  
May 14, 2018**

I. State Revenue

A. Budgeting will continue to utilize the District's Budget Allocation Model (BAM) based on SB 361 for Tentative Budget as there are still many unknowns with the new state performance based funding formula.

B. FTES Workload Measure Assumptions:

Year	Base	Actual	Funded	Actual Growth
2013/14	28,185.04	28,688.93	28,688.93	1.79%
2014/15	28,688.93	28,908.08	28,908.08	0.76%
2015/16	28,908.08	28,901.64	28,901.64	-0.02%
2016/17	P3 28,901.64	27,517.31 a	28,901.64 a	-4.79%
2017/18	P2 28,901.64	29,174.00 b	29,174.00 b	-0-

a - based on submitted P3, District went into Stabilization in FY 2016-17

b - based on submitted P2, the district estimates borrowing 1,300 FTES from summer 2018

The district went into stabilization in 2016/17 and is in restoration in 2017/18.

To maintain the 2015/16 funding level the district borrowed from summer 2018 for reporting purposes.

A decision will need to be made by fiscal year end whether we have enough summer 2018 FTES to borrow to fully restore, or there will be a permanent additional reduction in ongoing revenue.

The governor's May Revision includes 1% systemwide growth funding, **2.71% COLA**, and no base allocation increase. Instead the budget includes a new Student-Focused Funding Formula, the effects of which are not fully known at this time. Any changes to our funding related to the new formula will be incorporated in the Adopted Budget.

Projected COLA of 2.71%	<b>\$4,300,000</b>
Projected Growth/Access	<b>\$0</b>
Projected Base Allocation Increase	<b>\$0</b>
Continued Projected Deficit (est. 0.708%)	<b>\$0</b>
Apportionment Base Incr (Decr) for 2017/18	<b>\$4,300,000</b>

2018/19 Potential Growth at 0.5% based on 1% system 29,046

C. Education Protection Account (EPA) funding estimated at \$21,022,922 based on 2017/18 Advance. These are not additional funds. The EPA is only a portion of general purpose funds that offsets what would otherwise be state aid in the apportionments. We intend to charge a portion of faculty salaries to this funding source in compliance with EPA requirements.

D. Unrestricted lottery is projected at \$146 per FTES (\$4,339,229). Restricted lottery at \$48 per FTES (\$1,426,596). (2017/18 P1 of resident & nonresident factored FTES, 29,720.75 x 146 = \$4,339,229 unrestricted lottery; 29,720.75 x 48 = \$1,426,596.) Slight increase.

E. Estimated reimbursement for part-time faculty compensation is estimated at \$599,306 (2017/18 Advance). Unchanged.

F. Categorical programs will continue to be budgeted separately; self-supporting, matching revenues and expenditures. COLA is being proposed on certain categorical programs. Without COLA, other categorical reductions would be required to remain in balance if settlements were reached with bargaining groups. The colleges will need to budget for any program match requirements using unrestricted funds.

G. BOG fee waivers 2% administration funding estimated at 2017/18 Advance of \$307,714. Unchanged.

H. Mandates Block Grant estimated at a total budget of \$795,000. Unchanged. No additional one-time allocation proposed.

II. Other Revenue

I. Non-Resident Tuition budgeted at \$3,200,000. \$275,000 increase. (SAC \$2,400,000, SCC \$800,000)

J. Interest earnings estimated at \$725,000. Increase based on anticipated interest rate increases.

K. Other miscellaneous income (includes fines, fees, rents, etc.) is estimated at approximately \$350,000. Unchanged.

L. Apprenticeship revenue estimated at \$2,757,300. Unchanged.  
(Corresponding expenses related to this allocation must be budgeted for additional apprenticeship course offerings)

M. Scheduled Maintenance/Instructional Equipment allocation **\$3.5 million** (no match required).

**RANCHO SANTIAGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT  
UNRESTRICTED GENERAL FUND  
2018-19 Tentative Budget Assumptions  
May 14, 2018**

III. Appropriations and Expenditures

- A. As the District's budget model is a revenue allocation model, revenues flow through the model to the colleges as earned. The colleges have the responsibility, within their earned revenue, to budget for ALL necessary expenditures including but not limited to all full time and part time employees, utilities, instructional services agreements, multi-year maintenance and other contracts, supplies, equipment and other operating costs.
- B. The state is estimating a Cost of Living Allowance (COLA) of 2.71%. Any collectively bargained increased costs will be added to the budget. The estimated cost of a 1% salary increase is \$1.5 million.
- C. Step and column movement is budgeted at an additional cost of approximately \$1.26 million including benefits. (FARSCCD approximate cost \$601,000, CSEA approximate cost \$405,000, Management/Other approximate cost \$254,000)
- D. Health and Welfare benefit premium cost increase as of 1/1/19 is estimated at 2.5% for an additional cost of approximately \$402,000 for active employees and an additional cost of \$178,000 for retirees, for a combined increase of \$580,000. 2018/19 change to BAM budgeting benefits at average cost rather than maximum cost. Savings of \$152,666. State Unemployment Insurance local experience charges are estimated at \$250,000 (2017/18 budgeted amount). Unchanged. CalPERS employer contribution rate will increase in 2018/19 from 15.531% to 17.70% for an increase of \$818,632. (Note: The cost of each 1% increase in the PERS rate is approximately \$350,000.) CalSTRS employer contribution rate will increase in 2018/19 from 14.43% to 16.28% for an increase of \$1,220,221. (Note: The cost of each 1% increase in the STRS rate is approximately \$700,000.)
- E. The full-time faculty obligation (FON) for Fall 2018 is estimated at 371. The District is currently recruiting to replace 16 faculty vacancies. The District expects to meet its obligation. SAC is recruiting for 13 vacancies. SCC is recruiting for 3 vacancies. The current cost for a new position is budgeted at Class VI, Step 12 at approximately \$136,500. Penalties for not meeting the obligation amount to approximately \$71,000 per FTE not filled.
- F. The current rate per Lecture Hour Equivalent (LHE) effective 7/1/17 for hourly faculty is \$1,275. Unchanged
- G. Retiree Health Benefit Fund (OPEB/GASB 45 Obligation) - The District will continue to contribute 3.63% of total salaries to fund the total actuarially determined Annual Required Contribution (ARC). The annual required contribution (ARC) for 2016/17 is \$11,722,578.
- H. Capital Outlay Fund - In addition to the state allocation for Scheduled Maintenance/Instructional Equipment, the District will continue to budget \$1.5 million for capital outlay needs.
- I. Utilities cost increases of 2.5%, estimated at \$100,000.
- J. Information Technology licensing contract escalation cost of 7%, estimated at \$125,000.
- K. Property and Liability Insurance transfer estimated at \$1,970,000. Unchanged.
- L. Other additional DS/Institutional Cost expenses totaling \$551,143:  
     P/T Intermediate Clerk 19hrs/12 months - Human Resources - ongoing  
     District Administrator Institutional Equity, Compliance and Title IX - Human Resources - ongoing  
     3 New Senior District Safety Officer (armed) - District Safety - ongoing
- M. Child Development Fund - The District will continue to budget \$250,000 as an interfund transfer from the unrestricted general fund as a contingency plan. (\$140,000 was transferred in 2014/15 and 2015/16, 2016/17, and expected in 2017/18)
- N. Estimated annual cost of Santiago Canyon College ADA Settlement expenses of \$2 million from one-time funds.
- O. According to the District budget reduction strategy, round 2 ongoing reductions of \$3 million are incorporated in the tentative budget.

<b>Rancho Santiago Community College District</b> <b>Unrestricted General Fund Summary</b> <b>2018-19 Tentative Budget Assumptions Analysis</b> <b>May 14, 2018</b>
--

	<b><u>New Revenues</u></b>	Ongoing Only	One-Time
A	New Performance Based Funding Formula	?	
B	COLA 2.71%	<b>\$4,300,000</b>	
B	Growth (Borrow from summer)	1 \$0	
B	Base Allocation	\$0	
B	Deficit Factor est. at 0.708%	\$0	
D	Unrestricted Lottery	\$216,414	
H	Mandates Block Grant (one-time)	\$0	
I	Non-Resident Tuition	\$275,000	
J	Interest Earnings	\$60,000	
L	Apprenticeship - SCC	\$0	
EGHK	Misc Income	\$0	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$4,851,414</b>	<b>\$0</b>
	<b><u>New Expenditures</u></b>		
B	COLA 2.71%	<b>\$4,300,000</b>	
C	Step/Column	\$1,260,000	
D	Health and Welfare/Benefits Increase	\$580,000	
D	Budget Health and Welfare at Average Cost for Vacancies	(\$152,666)	
D	CalPERS Increase	\$818,632	
D	CalSTRS Increase	\$1,220,221	
E	Full Time Faculty Obligation Hires	\$0	
E/F	Hourly Faculty Budgets (Convert to Full Time)	\$0	
G	Increased Cost of Retiree Health Benefit ARC	\$0	
H	Capital Outlay/Scheduled Maintenance Match	\$0	
I	Utilities Increase	\$100,000	
J	ITS Licensing/Contract Escalation Cost	\$125,000	
K	Property, Liability and All Risks Insurance	\$0	
L	Other Additional DS/Institutional Costs	\$551,143	
N	SCC ADA Settlement Costs	\$0	\$2,000,000
O	Ongoing Budget Reductions	(\$3,000,000)	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$5,802,330</b>	<b>\$2,000,000</b>
	2018-19 Budget Year Surplus (Deficit)	(\$950,916)	
	2017/18 Structural Deficit	(\$1,346,566)	
	2017/18 Additional cost of CSEA settlement	(\$191,807)	
	2017/18 Additional cost of remaining CB settlements	?	
	2017/18 Budgeted vacancies/actual salary placement less	\$425,060	
	2017/18 New hires choosing less than budgeted benefits	\$687,959	
	2017/18 Retirees budgeted in 2018/19 according to BAM	\$872,339	
	2017/18 Savings in H/W Benefits (3.5% to 2.5%)	\$60,636	
	2017/18 Other budget line item changes	<b>\$549,876</b>	
	<b>Total Net Surplus (Deficit)</b>	<b>\$106,581</b>	<b>(\$2,000,000)</b>

**Note: Budget Stabilization Fund Balance at 6/30/2018 is estimated at \$15 million.**

<sup>1</sup> Based on the FTES reported on the 320 submitted at P1, to maintain the 2015/16 funding level the district borrowed from summer 2018 for reporting purposes. A decision will need to be made by fiscal year end whether we have enough summer 2018 FTES to borrow to fully restore, or there will be a permanent reduction in ongoing revenue.

\* Reference to budget assumption number

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

**DRAFT**

<b>General Fund Revenue Budget - Combined - Restricted and Unrestricted - Fund 11, 12, 13</b>						
		<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>	<b>2017-18</b>	<b>2018-19</b>	<b>% change</b>
<b>Revenues by Source</b>		<b>Actual</b>	<b>Revised</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Tentative</b>	<b>18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est</b>
		<b>Revenue</b>	<b>Budget</b>	<b>Revenue</b>	<b>Budget</b>	
8100	Federal Revenues					
8120	Higher Education Act	3,267,422	3,208,684	3,188,684	2,587,236	(18.86)
8130	Workforce Investment Act (JTPA)	162,021	1,587	1,587	0	(100.00)
8140	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	104,795	106,959	106,959	106,959	-
8150	Student Financial Aid	4,591	131,562	131,562	131,562	-
8170	Vocational Technical Education Act (VTEA)	1,352,133	1,626,210	1,626,210	1,498,092	(7.88)
8199	Other Federal Revenues (ABE, CAMP, SBA, Gear Up, NSF)	4,761,065	5,267,015	5,267,015	4,966,950	(5.70)
	Total Federal Revenues	<u>9,661,936</u>	<u>10,342,017</u>	<u>10,331,269</u>	<u>9,290,799</u>	(10.07)
8600	State Revenues					
8611	Apprenticeship Allowance	2,778,062	2,757,300	2,866,360	2,757,300	(3.80)
8612	State General Apportionment	49,238,686	49,205,394	49,205,394	53,633,120	9.00
8612	State General Apportionment-estimated COLA	0	2,435,506	2,435,506	4,300,000	76.55
8612	Base Allocation Increase	2,042,728	4,286,638	4,286,638	0	(100.00)
8612	State General Apportionment-Deficit	0	(1,104,002)	(1,104,002)	(1,104,002)	-
8612	State General Apportionment-prior year adjustment	836,091	0	274,365	0	(100.00)
8612	State General Apportionment-Full-time Faculty Allocation	1,608,953	1,570,862	1,570,862	1,570,862	-
8619	Other General Apportionments-Enrollment Fee Admin-2%	305,275	307,714	307,714	307,714	-
8619	Other General Apportionments-Part-Time Faculty Compensation	594,716	599,306	599,306	599,306	-
8622	Extended Opportunity Programs & Services (EOPS)	2,064,619	2,168,368	2,168,368	2,162,273	(0.28)
8623	Disabled Students Programs & Services (DSPS)	1,987,255	2,075,121	2,075,121	2,075,121	-
8625	CalWORKS	521,740	568,325	568,325	568,325	-
8626	Telecomm./Technology Infrastructure Prog. (TTIP)	2,064	18,035	18,035	18,035	-
8629	Other Gen Categorical Apport-BSI	738,645	1,385,114	1,385,114	741,370	(46.48)
8629	Other Gen Categorical Apport-CARE	97,112	114,323	114,323	127,123	11.20
8629	Other Gen Categorical Apport-CTE SWP	371,310	64,728,076	59,893,352	96,105,738	60.46
8629	Other Gen Categorical Apport-Equal Employment Opportunity	9,809	101,302	101,302	101,302	-
8629	Other Gen Categorical Apport-Guided Pathways	0	0	0	596,143	-
8629	Other Gen Categorical Apport-Instructional Equipment	1,396,246	409,537	448,822	0	(100.00)
8629	Other Gen Categorical Apport-Matriculation-Credit	7,094,452	7,770,238	7,770,238	7,770,238	-

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

**DRAFT**

<b>General Fund Revenue Budget - Combined - Restricted and Unrestricted - Fund 11, 12, 13</b>					
<b>Revenues by Source</b>	<b>2016-17 Actual Revenue</b>	<b>2017-18 Revised Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Estimated Revenue</b>	<b>2018-19 Tentative Budget</b>	<b>% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est</b>
8629 Other Gen Categorical Apport-Matriculation-Non-Credit	3,207,566	2,583,593	2,583,593	2,583,593	-
8629 Other Gen Categorical Apport-Student Equity	4,349,861	3,746,567	3,746,567	3,303,327	(11.83)
8629 Other Gen Categorical Apport-Student Financial Aid Admin	964,173	1,137,426	1,137,426	1,137,426	-
8629 Other Reimb Categorical Allow-Other	0	139,519	139,519	77,199	(44.67)
8630 Education Protection Account	22,186,845	21,022,922	21,022,922	21,022,922	-
8659 Other Reimb Categorical Allow-Career Tech/Econ Dev	19,101,553	27,105,039	6,590,437	26,892,950	308.06
8659 Other Reimb Categorical Allow-Other	5,151,836	3,332,496	3,332,496	3,276,276	(1.69)
8672 Homeowners' Property Tax Relief	282,140	292,411	292,411	292,411	-
8681 State Lottery Proceeds	5,762,254	5,478,261	5,478,261	5,765,825	5.25
8682 State Mandated Costs	3,469,310	795,000	1,630,875	795,000	(51.25)
8699 Other Misc State Revenue	3,789,344	4,204,500	4,204,500	4,204,500	-
Total State Revenues	139,952,645	209,234,891	185,144,150	241,681,397	30.54
8800 Local Revenues					
8811 Tax Allocation, Secured Roll	44,221,208	65,413,988	65,135,873	67,877,943	4.21
8812 Tax Allocation, Supplement Roll	1,226,014	1,268,792	1,316,394	1,268,792	(3.62)
8813 Tax Allocation, Unsecured Roll	1,386,744	1,435,131	1,435,131	1,435,131	-
8816 Prior Years' Taxes	490,436	507,548	532,032	507,548	(4.60)
8817 Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF)	18,917,141	0	1,077,245	0	(100.00)
8818 RDA Funds - Pass Thru AB	565,445	585,175	585,175	585,175	-
8819 RDA Funds - Residuals	5,032,170	5,207,754	5,207,754	5,207,754	-
8820 Contrib, Gifts, Grants & Endowment	0	561	561	561	-
8831 Contract Instructional Service	71,566	70,792	39,000	70,792	81.52
8850 Rents and Leases	522,537	556,224	451,255	429,052	(4.92)
8860 Interest & Investment Income	808,845	665,000	725,000	725,000	-
8874 CCC Enrollment Fees	8,850,604	8,451,068	8,451,068	8,451,068	-
8876 Health Services Fees	1,172,900	1,110,000	1,110,000	1,110,000	-
8880 Nonresident Tuition	3,247,985	2,925,000	3,200,000	3,200,000	-
8882 Parking Fees & Bus Passes	690,914	937,000	600,000	937,000	56.17
8885 Student ID & ASB Fees	0	0	0	0	-



**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

DRAFT

**General Fund Expenditure Budget - Combined - Restricted and Unrestricted - Fund 11, 12, 13**

<u>Expenditures by Object</u>	2016-17 Actual Expenses	2017-18 Revised Budget	2017-18 Estimated Expenses	2018-19 Tentative Budget	% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est
1000 Academic Salaries					
1100 Instructional Salaries, Regular Contract	\$28,324,789	\$28,934,364	\$29,247,863	\$29,742,116	1.69
1200 Non-Instructional Salaries, Regular Contract	17,296,433	19,171,688	17,898,454	18,708,269	4.52
1300 Instructional Salaries, Other Non-Regular	25,774,088	25,265,439	27,537,915	25,519,945	(7.33)
1400 Non-Instructional Salaries, Other Non-Regular	6,547,279	6,946,584	6,862,058	5,963,376	(13.10)
Subtotal	<u>77,942,589</u>	<u>80,318,075</u>	<u>81,546,290</u>	<u>79,933,706</u>	(1.98)
2000 Classified Salaries					
2100 Non-Instructional Salaries, Regular Full Time	34,058,772	39,629,648	36,222,452	40,914,118	12.95
2200 Instructional Aides, Regular Full Time	723,382	746,482	711,043	750,608	5.56
2300 Non-Instructional Salaries, Other	5,763,445	6,353,442	5,603,063	5,290,378	(5.58)
2400 Instructional Aides, Other	2,997,964	2,882,278	3,103,173	2,670,341	(13.95)
Subtotal	<u>43,543,563</u>	<u>49,611,850</u>	<u>45,639,731</u>	<u>49,625,445</u>	8.73
3000 Employee Benefits					
3100 State Teachers' Retirement System Fund	12,210,108	14,989,286	13,444,989	16,392,254	21.92
3200 Public Employees' Retirement System Fund	5,559,828	7,278,546	6,550,574	8,358,180	27.59
3300 Old Age, Survivors, Disability, and Health Ins.	4,382,357	4,941,828	4,591,397	4,960,870	8.05
3400 Health and Welfare Benefits	28,361,548	32,318,705	29,872,385	32,181,335	7.73
3500 State Unemployment Insurance	92,077	317,367	111,416	321,152	188.25
3600 Workers' Compensation Insurance	2,785,283	2,969,008	2,891,319	2,954,818	2.20
3900 Other Benefits	1,540,803	1,815,943	1,675,810	1,794,016	7.05
Subtotal	<u>54,932,004</u>	<u>64,630,683</u>	<u>59,137,890</u>	<u>66,962,625</u>	13.23
<b>TOTAL SALARIES/BENEFITS</b>	<b>176,418,156</b>	<b>194,560,608</b>	<b>186,323,911</b>	<b>196,521,776</b>	5.47
<b>Salaries/Benefits Cost % of Total Expenditures</b>	<b>77.18%</b>	<b>61.81%</b>	<b>65.27%</b>	<b>57.64%</b>	

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

DRAFT

**General Fund Expenditure Budget - Combined - Restricted and Unrestricted - Fund 11, 12, 13**

<b>Expenditures by Object</b>	<b>2016-17 Actual Expenses</b>	<b>2017-18 Revised Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Estimated Expenses</b>	<b>2018-19 Tentative Budget</b>	<b>% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est</b>
4000 Books and Supplies					
4100 Textbooks	8,824	7,800	7,800	6,783	(13.04)
4200 Other Books	276,021	228,986	240,560	181,598	(24.51)
4300 Instructional Supplies	1,720,772	2,748,133	1,483,424	1,767,650	19.16
4400 Media Supplies	0	0	0	0	-
4500 Maintenance Supplies	164,395	212,540	170,317	234,981	37.97
4600 Non-Instructional Supplies	1,399,973	1,759,971	1,333,490	1,779,598	33.45
4700 Food Supplies	209,922	277,903	186,890	199,311	6.65
Subtotal	<u>3,779,907</u>	<u>5,235,333</u>	<u>3,422,481</u>	<u>4,169,921</u>	21.84
5000 Services and Other Operating Expenses					
5100 Personal & Consultant Svcs	19,833,524	81,604,491	67,521,544	110,370,775	63.46
5200 Travel & Conference Expenses	940,079	1,345,567	820,601	1,266,051	54.28
5300 Dues & Memberships	175,174	328,440	284,152	273,301	(3.82)
5400 Insurance	2,034,236	2,032,687	2,032,443	2,032,687	0.01
5500 Utilities & Housekeeping Svcs	3,740,694	4,166,570	4,335,295	3,958,630	(8.69)
5600 Rents, Leases & Repairs	3,675,050	4,494,369	4,290,881	4,982,857	16.13
5700 Legal, Election & Audit Exp	1,055,687	1,205,105	1,001,774	1,147,022	14.50
5800 Other Operating Exp & Services	5,260,832	8,271,953	5,925,195	7,522,457	26.96
5900 Other (Transp., Postage, Reproduction, Special Proj., etc.)	1,402,741	4,228,604	1,408,839	4,334,321	207.65
Subtotal	<u>38,118,017</u>	<u>107,677,786</u>	<u>87,620,724</u>	<u>135,888,101</u>	55.09
6000 Sites, Buildings, Books, and Equipment					
6100 Sites & Site Improvements	457,383	0	794,249	0	(100.00)
6200 Buildings	2,176,366	635,729	595,554	649,862	9.12
6300 Library Books	232,497	251,516	250,326	165,437	(33.91)
6400 Equipment	7,410,933	6,421,699	6,440,537	3,578,319	(44.44)
Subtotal	<u>10,277,179</u>	<u>7,308,944</u>	<u>8,080,666</u>	<u>4,393,618</u>	(45.63)
Subtotal, Expenditures (1000 - 6000)	<u>228,593,259</u>	<u>314,782,671</u>	<u>285,447,782</u>	<u>340,973,416</u>	19.45

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

DRAFT

**General Fund Expenditure Budget - Combined - Restricted and Unrestricted - Fund 11, 12, 13**

<u>Expenditures by Object</u>	2016-17 Actual Expenses	2017-18 Revised Budget	2017-18 Estimated Expenses	2018-19 Tentative Budget	% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est
7000 Other Outgo					
7200 Intrafund Transfers Out	(8)	0	0	0	-
7300 Interfund Transfers Out	9,390,000	2,478,000	3,706,566	3,750,000	1.17
7600 Other Student Aid	1,140,217	1,217,785	1,143,018	1,052,203	(7.95)
Subtotal	<u>10,530,209</u>	<u>3,695,785</u>	<u>4,849,584</u>	<u>4,802,203</u>	(0.98)
Subtotal, Expenditures (1000 - 7000)	<u>239,123,468</u>	<u>318,478,456</u>	<u>290,297,366</u>	<u>345,775,619</u>	19.11
7900 Reserve for Contingencies					
7910 Estimated COLA	0	0	0	4,300,000	-
7910 FY 17/18 COLA Balance	0	0	0	1,563,654	-
7920 Restricted Contingency-SCC Family Pact-2340	0	10,086	0	10,086	-
7920 Restricted Contingency-Campus Health Services-3250	0	143,275	0	143,275	-
7920 Restricted Contingency-Health Services-3450	0	528,863	0	621,289	-
7920 Restricted Contingency-Safety & Parking-3610	0	340,264	0	0	-
7930 Board Policy Contingency (5%)	0	9,070,673	0	9,169,172	-
7940 Revolving Cash Accounts	0	100,000	0	100,000	-
7940 Employee Vacation Payout	0	250,000	0	250,000	-
7950 Budget Stabilization	0	14,621,068	0	15,422,605	-
Total Designated	<u>0</u>	<u>25,064,229</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>31,580,081</u>	-
7910 Unrestricted Contingency	38,884,499	5,161,529	34,918,076	1,306,126	(96.26)
Subtotal Expenditures (7900)	<u>38,884,499</u>	<u>30,225,758</u>	<u>34,918,076</u>	<u>32,886,207</u>	(5.82)
Total Expenditures, Other Outgo and Ending Fund Balance	<u>\$278,007,967</u>	<u>\$348,704,214</u>	<u>\$325,215,442</u>	<u>\$378,661,826</u>	16.43

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

**DRAFT**

<b>General Fund Revenue Budget - Combined - Unrestricted - Fund 11, 13</b>						
<b>Revenues by Source</b>	<b>2017-18 Adopted Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Allocated Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Estimated Revenue</b>	<b>% change 17/18 Est/ 17/18 Budget</b>	<b>2018-19 Tentative Budget</b>	<b>% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est</b>
8100 Federal Revenues						
8110 Forest Reserve	\$0	\$0	\$9,252	-	\$0	(100.00)
Total Federal Revenues	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>9,252</u>	-	<u>0</u>	<u>(100.00)</u>
8600 State Revenues						
8611 Apprenticeship Allowance	2,757,300	2,757,300	2,866,360	3.96	2,757,300	(3.80)
8612 State General Apportionment	50,366,500	50,366,500	50,366,500	-	53,633,120	6.49
8612 State General Apportionment-estimated COLA	2,435,506	2,435,506	2,435,506	-	4,300,000	76.55
8612 Base Allocation Increase	4,286,638	4,286,638	4,286,638	-	0	(100.00)
8612 Estimated Restoration/Access/Growth-Dec. 237 FTES	(1,161,106)	(1,161,106)	(1,161,106)	-	0	(100.00)
8612 State General Apportionment-Deficit	(1,104,002)	(1,104,002)	(1,104,002)	-	(1,104,002)	-
8612 State General Apportionment-prior year adjustment	0	0	274,365	-	0	(100.00)
8619 Other General Apportionments-Enroll Fee Admin-2%	307,714	307,714	307,714	-	307,714	-
8619 Other General Apportionments-Part-Time Fac Comp	599,306	599,306	599,306	-	599,306	-
8619 Other General Apportionments-Full-time Faculty Alloc	1,570,862	1,570,862	1,570,862	-	1,570,862	-
8630 Education Protection Account	21,022,922	21,022,922	21,022,922	-	21,022,922	-
8672 Homeowners' Property Tax Relief	292,411	292,411	292,411	-	292,411	-
8681 State Lottery Proceeds	4,122,815	4,122,815	4,122,815	-	4,339,229	5.25
8682 State Mandated Costs	795,000	795,000	1,630,875	105.14	795,000	(51.25)
8699 Other Misc State Revenue	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	-	4,000,000	-
Total State Revenues	<u>90,291,866</u>	<u>90,291,866</u>	<u>91,511,166</u>	1.35	<u>92,513,862</u>	1.10
8800 Local Revenues						
8809 RDA Funds - Other	0	0	0	-	0	-
8811 Tax Allocation, Secured Roll	65,413,988	65,413,988	65,135,873	(0.43)	67,877,943	4.21
8812 Tax Allocation, Supplement Roll	1,268,792	1,268,792	1,316,394	3.75	1,268,792	(3.62)
8813 Tax Allocation, Unsecured Roll	1,435,131	1,435,131	1,435,131	-	1,435,131	-
8816 Prior Years' Taxes	507,548	507,548	532,032	4.82	507,548	(4.60)
8817 Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF)	0	0	1,077,245	-	0	(100.00)
8818 RDA Funds - Pass Thru AB	585,175	585,175	585,175	-	585,175	-

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

DRAFT

**General Fund Revenue Budget - Combined - Unrestricted - Fund 11, 13**

<u>Revenues by Source</u>	<b>2017-18 Adopted Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Allocated Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Estimated Revenue</b>	<b>% change 17/18 Est/ 17/18 Budget</b>	<b>2018-19 Tentative Budget</b>	<b>% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est</b>
8819 RDA Funds - Residuals	5,207,754	5,207,754	5,207,754	-	5,207,754	-
8850 Rents and Leases	556,224	556,224	451,255	(18.87)	429,052	(4.92)
8860 Interest & Investment Income	665,000	665,000	725,000	9.02	725,000	-
8874 CCC Enrollment Fees	8,451,068	8,451,068	8,451,068	-	8,451,068	-
8880 Nonresident Tuition	2,925,000	2,925,000	3,200,000	9.40	3,200,000	-
8885 Student ID & ASB Fees	0	0	0	-	0	-
8890 Transcript/Representation/ Discounts/Fines/Instr. Mat./Health Serv. Use Fees,	51,667	172,319	178,339	3.49	92,599	(48.08)
8891 Other Local Rev - Special Proj	0	0	0	-	0	-
Total Local Revenues	87,067,347	87,187,999	88,295,266	1.27	89,780,062	1.68
8900 Other Financing Sources						
8910 Proceeds-Sale of Equip & Suppl	5,000	5,000	0	(100.00)	5,000	-
8981 Interfund Transfer In	0	0	0	-	0	-
Total Other Sources	5,000	5,000	0	(100.00)	5,000	-
Total Revenues	177,364,213	177,484,865	179,815,684	1.31	182,298,924	1.38
Net Beginning Balance	35,254,317	35,254,317	35,254,317	-	33,196,069	(5.84)
Adjustments to Beginning Balance	0	0	0	-	0	-
Adjusted Beginning Fund Balance	35,254,317	35,254,317	35,254,317	-	33,196,069	(5.84)
Total Revenues, Other Financing Sources and Beginning Fund Balance	\$212,618,530	\$212,739,182	\$215,070,001	1.10	\$215,494,993	0.20

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

DRAFT

**General Fund Expenditure Budget - Combined - Unrestricted - Fund 11, 13**

<u>Expenditures by Object</u>	<b>2017-18 Adopted Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Allocated Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Estimated Expenses</b>	<b>% change 17/18 Est/ 17/18 Budget</b>	<b>2018-19 Tentative Budget</b>	<b>% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est</b>
1000 Academic Salaries						
1100 Instructional Salaries, Regular Contract	\$28,667,383	\$28,671,743	\$28,917,523	0.86	\$29,456,225	1.86
1200 Non-Instructional Salaries, Regular Contract	13,706,042	13,717,813	13,232,518	(3.54)	13,143,115	(0.68)
1300 Instructional Salaries, Other Non-Regular	24,700,269	24,694,692	27,213,067	10.20	25,074,180	(7.86)
1400 Non-Instructional Salaries, Other Non-Regular	1,368,365	1,368,074	1,523,428	11.36	1,332,528	(12.53)
Subtotal	<u>68,442,059</u>	<u>68,452,322</u>	<u>70,886,536</u>	3.56	<u>69,006,048</u>	(2.65)
2000 Classified Salaries						
2100 Non-Instructional Salaries, Regular Full Time	28,643,842	28,584,281	27,891,554	(2.42)	28,744,670	3.06
2200 Instructional Aides, Regular Full Time	712,528	712,528	670,732	(5.87)	676,398	0.84
2300 Non-Instructional Salaries, Other	1,705,267	1,765,077	1,796,253	1.77	1,425,398	(20.65)
2400 Instructional Aides, Other	1,653,661	1,757,343	2,082,755	18.52	1,708,184	(17.98)
Subtotal	<u>32,715,298</u>	<u>32,819,229</u>	<u>32,441,294</u>	(1.15)	<u>32,554,650</u>	0.35
3000 Employee Benefits						
3100 State Teachers' Retirement System Fund	13,487,575	13,493,733	11,930,250	(11.59)	14,847,020	24.45
3200 Public Employees' Retirement System Fund	5,069,585	5,085,902	4,838,841	(4.86)	5,816,268	20.20
3300 Old Age, Survivors, Disability, and Health Ins.	3,580,700	3,579,824	3,532,122	(1.33)	3,601,678	1.97
3400 Health and Welfare Benefits	27,174,543	27,172,325	25,915,055	(4.63)	26,818,320	3.49
3500 State Unemployment Insurance	302,063	302,139	99,471	(67.08)	302,370	203.98
3600 Workers' Compensation Insurance	2,299,052	2,305,197	2,350,671	1.97	2,314,194	(1.55)
3900 Other Benefits	1,439,286	1,438,263	1,376,044	(4.33)	1,410,538	2.51
Subtotal	<u>53,352,804</u>	<u>53,377,383</u>	<u>50,042,454</u>	(6.25)	<u>55,110,388</u>	10.13
<b>TOTAL SALARIES/BENEFITS</b>	<b>154,510,161</b>	<b>154,648,934</b>	<b>153,370,284</b>	<b>(3.84)</b>	<b>156,671,086</b>	<b>2.15</b>
<b>Salaries/Benefits Cost % of Total Expenditures</b>	<b>86.00%</b>	<b>85.42%</b>	<b>86.08%</b>		<b>87.22%</b>	

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

**DRAFT**

**General Fund Expenditure Budget - Combined - Unrestricted - Fund 11, 13**

<b>Expenditures by Object</b>	<b>2017-18 Adopted Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Allocated Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Estimated Expenses</b>	<b>% change 17/18 Est/ 17/18 Budget</b>	<b>2018-19 Tentative Budget</b>	<b>% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est</b>
4000 Books and Supplies						
4100 Textbooks	0	0	0	-	0	-
4200 Other Books	4,568	5,504	8,144	47.97	4,070	(50.02)
4300 Instructional Supplies	17,242	87,398	51,138	(41.49)	18,132	(64.54)
4400 Media Supplies	0	0	0	-	0	-
4500 Maintenance Supplies	212,219	190,790	159,016	(16.65)	211,844	33.22
4600 Non-Instructional Supplies	826,746	1,064,156	941,506	(11.53)	989,778	5.13
4700 Food Supplies	11,356	14,124	7,955	(43.68)	12,656	59.09
Subtotal	<u>1,072,131</u>	<u>1,361,972</u>	<u>1,167,759</u>	(14.26)	<u>1,236,480</u>	5.88
5000 Services and Other Operating Expenses						
5100 Personal & Consultant Svcs	2,142,394	2,695,416	2,023,742	(24.92)	1,818,939	(10.12)
5200 Travel & Conference Expenses	302,655	348,204	210,733	(39.48)	246,872	17.15
5300 Dues & Memberships	163,940	203,683	162,163	(20.38)	166,740	2.82
5400 Insurance	1,970,000	1,970,000	1,970,000	-	1,970,000	-
5500 Utilities & Housekeeping Svcs	4,019,500	4,077,076	4,264,516	4.60	3,869,081	(9.27)
5600 Rents, Leases & Repairs	4,146,145	3,922,877	3,857,685	(1.66)	4,516,880	17.09
5700 Legal, Election & Audit Exp	1,228,322	1,205,105	1,001,774	(16.87)	1,147,022	14.50
5800 Other Operating Exp & Services	6,122,339	6,158,607	5,027,603	(18.36)	5,487,507	9.15
5900 Other (Transp., Postge, Reprod., Spec. Proj., etc.)	1,503,274	1,346,209	522,335	(61.20)	1,060,529	103.04
Subtotal	<u>21,598,569</u>	<u>21,927,177</u>	<u>19,040,551</u>	(13.16)	<u>20,283,570</u>	6.53
6000 Sites, Buildings, Books, and Equipment						
6100 Sites & Site Improvements	0	0	794,249	-	0	(100.00)
6200 Buildings	500	500	400,000	79,900.00	0	(100.00)
6300 Library Books	3,920	9,796	12,458	27.17	920	(92.62)
6400 Equipment	2,478,187	3,106,543	3,379,116	8.77	1,441,380	(57.34)
Subtotal	<u>2,482,607</u>	<u>3,116,839</u>	<u>4,585,823</u>	47.13	<u>1,442,300</u>	(68.55)
Subtotal, Expenditures (1000 - 6000)	<u>179,663,468</u>	<u>181,054,922</u>	<u>178,164,417</u>	(1.60)	<u>179,633,436</u>	0.82

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

DRAFT

**General Fund Expenditure Budget - Combined - Unrestricted - Fund 11, 13**

<b>Expenditures by Object</b>	<b>2017-18 Adopted Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Allocated Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Estimated Expenses</b>	<b>% change 17/18 Est/ 17/18 Budget</b>	<b>2018-19 Tentative Budget</b>	<b>% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est</b>
7000 Other Outgo						
7200 Intrafund Transfers Out	0	0	0	-	0	-
7300 Interfund Transfers Out	1,750,000	2,478,000	3,706,566	49.58	3,750,000	1.17
7600 Other Student Aid	0	2,990	2,949	(1.37)	0	(100.00)
Subtotal	<u>1,750,000</u>	<u>2,480,990</u>	<u>3,709,515</u>	49.52	<u>3,750,000</u>	1.09
Subtotal, Expenditures (1000 - 7000)	<u>181,413,468</u>	<u>183,535,912</u>	<u>181,873,932</u>	(0.91)	<u>183,383,436</u>	0.83
7900 Reserve for Contingencies						
7910 Estimated COLA	2,435,506	0	0	-	4,300,000	-
7910 FY 17/18 COLA Balance	0	0	0	-	1,563,654	-
7930 Board Policy Contingency (5%)	9,070,673	9,070,673	0	(100.00)	9,169,172	-
7940 Revolving Cash Accounts	100,000	100,000	0	(100.00)	100,000	-
7940 Employee Vacation Payout	250,000	250,000	0	(100.00)	250,000	-
7950 Budget Stabilization	14,621,068	14,621,068	0	(100.00)	15,422,605	-
Total Designated	<u>26,477,247</u>	<u>24,041,741</u>	<u>0</u>	(100.00)	<u>30,805,431</u>	-
7910 Unrestricted Contingency	4,727,815	5,161,529	33,196,069	543.14	1,306,126	(96.07)
Subtotal Expenditures (7900)	<u>31,205,062</u>	<u>29,203,270</u>	<u>33,196,069</u>	13.67	<u>32,111,557</u>	(3.27)
Total Expenditures, Other Outgo and Ending Fund Balance	<u>\$212,618,530</u>	<u>\$212,739,182</u>	<u>\$215,070,001</u>	1.10	<u>\$215,494,993</u>	0.20

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

DRAFT

Santa Ana College	Fund 11 Unrestricted	%	Fund 13 One-Time	%	Fund 11/13 Unrestricted	%	Fund 12 Restricted	%	Fund 11/12/13 Combined	%
Academic Salaries	45,399,355		1,131,529		46,530,884		6,758,609		53,289,493	
Classified Salaries	12,619,543		63,424		12,682,967		8,251,968		20,934,935	
Employee Benefits	23,614,402		295,918		23,910,320		6,070,412		29,980,732	
Supplies & Materials	477,081		32,040		509,121		1,815,291		2,324,412	
Other Operating Exp & Services	5,959,820		1,122,847		7,082,667		4,348,864		11,431,531	
Capital Outlay	39,484		393,242		432,726		2,002,270		2,434,996	
Other Outgo	3,238,960		988,972		4,227,932		1,237,967		5,465,899	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$91,348,645</b>	<b>54.83%</b>	<b>\$4,027,972</b>	<b>63.73%</b>	<b>\$95,376,617</b>	<b>55.15%</b>	<b>\$30,485,381</b>	<b>18.68%</b>	<b>\$125,861,998</b>	<b>37.45%</b>

Santiago Canyon College	Fund 11 Unrestricted	%	Fund 13 One-Time	%	Fund 11/13 Unrestricted	%	Fund 12 Restricted	%	Fund 11/12/13 Combined	%
Academic Salaries	20,473,769		1,310,582		21,784,351		4,167,049		25,951,400	
Classified Salaries	6,360,139		0		6,360,139		4,116,152		10,476,291	
Employee Benefits	11,453,806		310,084		11,763,890		3,334,025		15,097,915	
Supplies & Materials	230,332		0		230,332		800,893		1,031,225	
Other Operating Exp & Services	4,439,393		44,291		4,483,684		4,524,445		9,008,129	
Capital Outlay	10,174		0		10,174		763,050		773,224	
Other Outgo	1,594,108		210,573		1,804,681		588,886		2,393,567	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$44,561,721</b>	<b>26.75%</b>	<b>\$1,875,530</b>	<b>29.67%</b>	<b>\$46,437,251</b>	<b>26.85%</b>	<b>\$18,294,500</b>	<b>11.21%</b>	<b>\$64,731,751</b>	<b>19.26%</b>

District Services	Fund 11 Unrestricted	%	Fund 13 One-Time	%	Fund 11/13 Unrestricted	%	Fund 12 Restricted	%	Fund 11/12/13 Combined	%
Academic Salaries	690,813		0		690,813		2,000		692,813	
Classified Salaries	13,465,996		45,548		13,511,544		4,702,675		18,214,219	
Employee Benefits	7,874,405		19,169		7,893,574		2,447,800		10,341,374	
Supplies & Materials	469,018		28,009		497,027		317,257		814,284	
Other Operating Exp & Services	6,177,156		320,063		6,497,219		106,731,222		113,228,441	
Capital Outlay	994,900		4,500		999,400		185,998		1,185,398	
Other Outgo	1,030,586		0		1,030,586		0		1,030,586	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$30,702,874</b>	<b>18.43%</b>	<b>\$417,289</b>	<b>6.60%</b>	<b>\$31,120,163</b>	<b>18.00%</b>	<b>\$114,386,952</b>	<b>70.10%</b>	<b>\$145,507,115</b>	<b>43.29%</b>

<b>Total Expenditures-excludes Institutional Costs</b>	<b>\$166,613,240</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$6,320,791</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$172,934,031</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$163,166,833</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$336,100,864</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
--	----------------------	----------------	--------------------	----------------	----------------------	----------------	----------------------	----------------	----------------------	----------------

Institutional Costs	Fund 11 Unrestricted	%	Fund 13 One-Time	%	Fund 11/13 Unrestricted	%	Fund 12 Restricted	%	Fund 11/12/13 Combined	%
Employee Benefits-retiree benefits/local experience charge	11,542,604		0		11,542,604		0		11,542,604	
Election	125,000		125,000		250,000		0		250,000	
Other Operating Exp & Services-prop&liability ins	1,970,000		0		1,970,000		0		1,970,000	
Other Operating - holding for One-Time expense	0		2,000,000		2,000,000		0		2,000,000	
Other Outgo-Interfund Transfers	1,750,000		0		1,750,000		0		1,750,000	
Other Outgo-Board Policy Contingency	0		9,169,172		9,169,172		0		9,169,172	
Other Outgo-Reserves	106,581		15,772,605		15,879,186		0		15,879,186	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$15,494,185</b>		<b>\$27,066,777</b>		<b>\$42,560,962</b>		<b>\$0</b>		<b>\$42,560,962</b>	

<b>Total Expenditures-includes Institutional Costs</b>	<b>\$182,107,425</b>		<b>\$33,387,568</b>		<b>\$215,494,993</b>		<b>\$163,166,833</b>		<b>\$378,661,826</b>	
--	----------------------	--	---------------------	--	----------------------	--	----------------------	--	----------------------	--

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

**DRAFT**

<b>Unrestricted General Fund Revenue Budget - Fund 11</b>					
<b>Revenues by Source</b>	<b>2016-17 Actual Revenue</b>	<b>2017-18 Revised Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Estimated Revenue</b>	<b>2018-19 Tentative Budget</b>	<b>% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est</b>
8100 Federal Revenues					
8110 Forest Reserve	\$9,909	\$0	\$9,252	\$0	(100.00)
Total Federal Revenues	9,909	0	9,252	0	(100.00)
8600 State Revenues					
8611 Apprenticeship Allowance	2,670,285	2,757,300	2,757,300	2,757,300	-
8612 State General Apportionment	49,238,686	50,366,500	50,366,500	53,633,120	*
8612 State General Apportionment-estimated COLA	0	2,435,506	2,435,506	4,300,000	*
8612 Base Allocation Increase	2,042,728	4,286,638	4,286,638	0	*
8612 Estimated Restoration/Access/Growth-Decline 237 FTES		(1,161,106)	(1,161,106)	0	*
8612 State General Apportionment-Deficit	0	(1,104,002)	(1,104,002)	(1,104,002)	*
8612-8630 State General Apportionment&EPA-prior year adjustment	836,091	0	274,365	0	(100.00)
8619 Other General Apportionments-Full-time Faculty Allocation	1,608,953	1,570,862	1,570,862	1,570,862	*
8619 Other General Apportionments-Enrollment Fee Admin-2%	305,275	307,714	307,714	307,714	-
8619 Other General Apportionments-Part-Time Faculty Compensation	594,716	599,306	599,306	599,306	-
8630 Education Protection Account	22,186,845	21,022,922	21,022,922	21,022,922	*
8672-8673 Homeowners' Property Tax Relief/Timber Yield Tax	282,140	292,411	292,411	292,411	*
8681 State Lottery Proceeds	4,324,568	4,122,815	4,122,815	4,339,229	5.25
8682 State Mandated Costs	808,903	795,000	822,818	795,000	(3.38)
8699 Other Misc State Revenue - STRS on-behalf entry	3,380,185	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	-
Total State Revenues	88,279,375	90,291,866	90,594,049	92,513,862	2.12
8800 Local Revenues					
8809 RDA Funds - Other	70,563	0	0	0	*
8811 Tax Allocation, Secured Roll	44,221,208	65,413,988	65,135,873	67,877,943	*
8812 Tax Allocation, Supplement Roll	1,226,014	1,268,792	1,316,394	1,268,792	*
8813 Tax Allocation, Unsecured Roll	1,386,744	1,435,131	1,435,131	1,435,131	*
8816 Prior Years' Taxes	490,436	507,548	532,032	507,548	*
8817 Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF)	18,917,141	0	1,077,245	0	*
8818 RDA Funds - Pass Thru AB	565,445	585,175	585,175	585,175	*

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

DRAFT

**Unrestricted General Fund Revenue Budget - Fund 11**

<u>Revenues by Source</u>	<b>2016-17 Actual Revenue</b>	<b>2017-18 Revised Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Estimated Revenue</b>	<b>2018-19 Tentative Budget</b>	<b>% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est</b>
8819 RDA Funds - Residuals	5,032,170	5,207,754	5,207,754	5,207,754	*
8820 Contrib, Gifts, Grants & Endowment	0	0	0	0	-
8850 Rents and Leases	257,286	305,952	200,983	305,952	52.23
8860 Interest & Investment Income	808,845	665,000	725,000	725,000	-
8874 CCC Enrollment Fees	8,850,604	8,451,068	8,451,068	8,451,068	*
8880 Nonresident Tuition	3,247,985	2,925,000	3,200,000	3,200,000	-
8885 Student ID & ASB Fees	0	0	0	0	-
8890 Other Local Revenues (Student Transcript/Representation/ Discounts/Fines/Instr. Mat./Health Serv. Use Fees, etc.)	(187,369)	24,200	24,200	24,200	-
8891 Other Local Rev - Special Proj	0	0	0	0	-
Total Local Revenues	84,887,072	86,789,608	87,890,855	89,588,563	1.93
8900 Other Financing Sources					
8910 Proceeds-Sale of Equip & Suppl	148,482	5,000	0	5,000	-
8981 Interfund Transfer In	0	0	0	0	-
Total Other Sources	148,482	5,000	0	5,000	-
Total Revenues	173,324,838	177,086,474	178,494,156	182,107,425	2.02
Net Beginning Balance	0	0	0	0	-
Adjustments to Beginning Balance	0	0	0	0	-
Adjusted Beginning Fund Balance	0	0	0	0	-
Total Revenues, Other Financing Sources and Beginning Fund Balance	\$173,324,838	\$177,086,474	\$178,494,156	\$182,107,425	2.02

\* Component of Apportionment

\$165,048,724

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

DRAFT

**Unrestricted General Fund Expenditure Budget - Fund 11**

<u>Expenditures by Object</u>	<b>2016-17 Actual Expenses</b>	<b>2017-18 Revised Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Estimated Expenses</b>	<b>2018-19 Tentative Budget</b>	<b>% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est</b>
1000 Academic Salaries					
1100 Instructional Salaries, Regular Contract	\$27,875,156	\$28,671,743	\$28,917,523	\$28,905,015	(0.04)
1200 Non-Instructional Salaries, Regular Contract	12,787,093	13,717,813	13,232,518	13,143,115	(0.68)
1300 Instructional Salaries, Other Non-Regular	25,434,404	23,263,539	27,208,967	23,263,539	(14.50)
1400 Non-Instructional Salaries, Other Non-Regular	1,322,257	1,191,873	1,425,876	1,252,268	(12.18)
Subtotal	<u>67,418,910</u>	<u>66,844,968</u>	<u>70,784,884</u>	<u>66,563,937</u>	(5.96)
2000 Classified Salaries					
2100 Non-Instructional Salaries, Regular Full Time	26,099,055	28,512,044	27,819,060	28,656,298	3.01
2200 Instructional Aides, Regular Full Time	657,681	712,528	670,732	676,398	0.84
2300 Non-Instructional Salaries, Other	1,773,346	1,667,293	1,748,825	1,404,798	(19.67)
2400 Instructional Aides, Other	1,881,198	1,714,013	2,027,735	1,708,184	(15.76)
Subtotal	<u>30,411,280</u>	<u>32,605,878</u>	<u>32,266,352</u>	<u>32,445,678</u>	0.56
3000 Employee Benefits					
3100 State Teachers' Retirement System Fund	10,984,071	13,262,011	11,916,298	14,449,445	21.26
3200 Public Employees' Retirement System Fund	4,135,099	5,068,126	4,818,842	5,800,625	20.37
3300 Old Age, Survivors, Disability, and Health Ins.	3,353,693	3,544,048	3,519,275	3,557,651	1.09
3400 Health and Welfare Benefits	21,904,175	27,093,749	25,892,688	26,711,092	3.16
3500 State Unemployment Insurance	80,752	301,223	99,337	301,086	203.10
3600 Workers' Compensation Insurance	2,247,486	2,264,188	2,344,407	2,256,744	(3.74)
3900 Other Benefits	1,260,139	1,436,674	1,374,231	1,408,574	2.50
Subtotal	<u>43,965,415</u>	<u>52,970,019</u>	<u>49,965,078</u>	<u>54,485,217</u>	9.05
<b>TOTAL SALARIES/BENEFITS</b>	<b>141,795,605</b>	<b>152,420,865</b>	<b>153,016,314</b>	<b>153,494,832</b>	0.31
<b>Salaries/Benefits Cost % of Total Expenditures</b>	<b>87.85%</b>	<b>87.52%</b>	<b>87.42%</b>	<b>88.02%</b>	

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

DRAFT

**Unrestricted General Fund Expenditure Budget - Fund 11**

<b>Expenditures by Object</b>	<b>2016-17 Actual Expenses</b>	<b>2017-18 Revised Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Estimated Expenses</b>	<b>2018-19 Tentative Budget</b>	<b>% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est</b>
4000 Books and Supplies					
4100 Textbooks	0	0	0	0	-
4200 Other Books	10,499	1,392	5,865	1,668	(71.56)
4300 Instructional Supplies	12,093	33,466	10,025	15,494	54.55
4400 Media Supplies	0	0	0	0	-
4500 Maintenance Supplies	153,178	163,385	152,305	209,844	37.78
4600 Non-Instructional Supplies	705,490	797,054	834,234	938,069	12.45
4700 Food Supplies	7,492	12,824	7,955	11,356	42.75
Subtotal	<u>888,752</u>	<u>1,008,121</u>	<u>1,010,384</u>	<u>1,176,431</u>	16.43
5000 Services and Other Operating Expenses					
5100 Personal & Consultant Svcs	898,792	1,399,829	1,339,379	1,297,467	(3.13)
5200 Travel & Conference Expenses	166,324	245,150	180,029	174,712	(2.95)
5300 Dues & Memberships	145,620	162,740	154,830	165,240	6.72
5400 Insurance	1,970,000	1,970,000	1,970,000	1,970,000	-
5500 Utilities & Housekeeping Svcs	3,661,005	4,003,057	4,205,260	3,843,581	(8.60)
5600 Rents, Leases & Repairs	3,338,870	3,556,322	3,523,594	3,933,283	11.63
5700 Legal, Election & Audit Exp	816,147	1,175,105	977,547	1,002,022	2.50
5800 Other Operating Exp & Services	3,464,931	5,815,380	4,753,909	5,417,565	13.96
5900 Other (Transp., Postage, Reproduction, Special Proj., etc.)	522,192	877,428	438,598	867,499	97.79
Subtotal	<u>14,983,881</u>	<u>19,205,011</u>	<u>17,543,146</u>	<u>18,671,369</u>	6.43
6000 Sites, Buildings, Books, and Equipment					
6100 Sites & Site Improvements	457,383	0	794,249	0	(100.00)
6200 Buildings	1,774,596	0	400,000	0	(100.00)
6300 Library Books	5,778	6,796	9,458	920	(90.27)
6400 Equipment	1,508,904	1,510,074	2,256,160	1,043,638	(53.74)
Subtotal	<u>3,746,661</u>	<u>1,516,870</u>	<u>3,459,867</u>	<u>1,044,558</u>	(69.81)
Subtotal, Expenditures (1000 - 6000)	<u>161,414,899</u>	<u>174,150,867</u>	<u>175,029,711</u>	<u>174,387,190</u>	(0.37)

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

DRAFT

**Unrestricted General Fund Expenditure Budget - Fund 11**

<b>Expenditures by Object</b>	<b>2016-17 Actual Expenses</b>	<b>2017-18 Revised Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Estimated Expenses</b>	<b>2018-19 Tentative Budget</b>	<b>% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est</b>
7000 Other Outgo					
7200 Intrafund Transfers Out	9,551	0	0	0	-
7300 Interfund Transfers Out	2,640,000	1,850,000	1,808,472	1,750,000	(3.23)
7600 Other Student Aid	0	0	0	0	-
Subtotal	<u>2,649,551</u>	<u>1,850,000</u>	<u>1,808,472</u>	<u>1,750,000</u>	(3.23)
Subtotal, Expenditures (1000 - 7000)	<u>164,064,450</u>	<u>176,000,867</u>	<u>176,838,183</u>	<u>176,137,190</u>	(0.40)
7900 Reserve for Contingencies					
7910 Estimated COLA	0	0	0	4,300,000	-
7910 FY 17/18 COLA Balance (925,990/420,638/217,026)	0	0	0	1,563,654	-
7910 Estimated Restoration/Access/Growth	0	0	0	0	-
7950 Budget Stabilization	0	0	0	0	-
Total Designated	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>5,863,654</u>	-
7910 Unrestricted Contingency	9,260,388	1,085,607	1,655,973	106,581	(93.56)
Subtotal Expenditures (7900)	<u>9,260,388</u>	<u>1,085,607</u>	<u>1,655,973</u>	<u>5,970,235</u>	260.53
Total Expenditures, Other Outgo and Ending Fund Balance	<u>\$173,324,838</u>	<u>\$177,086,474</u>	<u>\$178,494,156</u>	<u>\$182,107,425</u>	2.02

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

**DRAFT**

<b>Restricted General Fund Revenue Budget - Fund 12</b>					
<b><u>Revenues by Source</u></b>	<b>2016-17 Actual Revenue</b>	<b>2017-18 Revised Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Estimated Revenue</b>	<b>2018-19 Tentative Budget</b>	<b>% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est</b>
8100 Federal Revenues					
8120 Higher Education Act	\$3,267,422	\$3,208,684	\$3,188,684	\$2,587,236	(18.86)
8130 Workforce Investment Act (JTPA)	162,021	1,587	1,587	0	(100.00)
8140 Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	104,795	106,959	106,959	106,959	-
8150 Student Financial Aid	4,591	131,562	131,562	131,562	-
8170 Vocational Technical Education Act (VTEA)	1,352,133	1,626,210	1,626,210	1,498,092	(7.88)
8199 Other Federal Revenues (ABE, CAMP, SBA, Gear Up, NSF)	4,761,065	5,267,015	5,267,015	4,966,950	(5.70)
Total Federal Revenues	<u>9,652,027</u>	<u>10,342,017</u>	<u>10,322,017</u>	<u>9,290,799</u>	(9.99)
8600 State Revenues					
8622 Extended Opportunity Programs & Services (EOPS)	2,064,619	2,168,368	2,168,368	2,162,273	(0.28)
8623 Disabled Students Programs & Services (DSPS)	1,987,255	2,075,121	2,075,121	2,075,121	-
8625 CalWORKS	521,740	568,325	568,325	568,325	-
8626 Telecomm./Technology Infrastructure Prog. (TTIP)	2,064	18,035	18,035	18,035	-
8629 Other Gen Categorical Apport-BSI	738,645	1,385,114	1,385,114	741,370	(46.48)
8629 Other Gen Categorical Apport-CARE	97,112	114,323	114,323	127,123	11.20
8629 Other Gen Categorical Apport-Adult Ed Block/CTE SWP	371,310	64,728,076	59,893,352	96,105,738	60.46
8629 Other Gen Categorical Apport-Equal Employment Opportunity	9,809	101,302	101,302	101,302	-
8629 Other Gen Categorical Apport-Guided Pathways	0	0	0	596,143	-
8629 Other Gen Categorical Apport-Instructional Equipment	1,396,246	409,537	448,822	0	(100.00)
8629 Other Gen Categorical Apport-Matriculation-Credit	7,094,452	7,770,238	7,770,238	7,770,238	-
8629 Other Gen Categorical Apport-Matriculation-Non-Credit	3,207,566	2,583,593	2,583,593	2,583,593	-
8629 Other Gen Categorical Apport-Student Equity	4,349,861	3,746,567	3,746,567	3,303,327	(11.83)
8629 Other Gen Categorical Apport-Student Financial Aid Admin	964,173	1,137,426	1,137,426	1,137,426	-
8629 Other Gen Categorical Apport-Other	0	139,519	139,519	77,199	(44.67)
8659 Other Reimb Categorical Allow-Career Tech/Econ Dev	19,101,553	27,105,039	6,590,437	26,892,950	308.06
8659 Other Reimb Categorical Allow-Other	5,151,836	3,332,496	3,332,496	3,276,276	(1.69)

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

DRAFT

<b>Restricted General Fund Revenue Budget - Fund 12</b>					
<b>Revenues by Source</b>	<b>2016-17 Actual Revenue</b>	<b>2017-18 Revised Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Estimated Revenue</b>	<b>2018-19 Tentative Budget</b>	<b>% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est</b>
8681 State Lottery Proceeds	1,437,686	1,355,446	1,355,446	1,426,596	5.25
8699 Other Misc State	391,041	204,500	204,500	204,500	-
Total State Revenues	<u>48,886,968</u>	<u>118,943,025</u>	<u>93,632,984</u>	<u>149,167,535</u>	59.31
8800 Local Revenues					
8820 Contrib, Gifts, Grants & Endowment	0	561	561	561	-
8831 Contract Instructional Service	71,566	70,792	39,000	70,792	81.52
8876 Health Services Fees	1,172,900	1,110,000	1,110,000	1,110,000	-
8882 Parking Fees & Bus Passes	690,914	937,000	600,000	937,000	56.17
8890 Other Local Revenues (Instr. Mat./Health Serv. Use Fees, etc.)	167,450	277,347	200,000	230,031	15.02
8891 Other Local Rev - Special Proj	183,320	654,108	610,697	638,108	4.49
Total Local Revenues	<u>2,286,150</u>	<u>3,049,808</u>	<u>2,560,258</u>	<u>2,986,492</u>	16.65
8900 Other Financing Sources					
8910 Proceeds-Sale of Equip & Suppl	0	0	0	0	-
8981 Interfund Transfer In	0	0	0	0	-
8999 Revenue - Clearing	0	0	0	0	-
Total Other Sources	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	-
Total Revenues	<u>60,825,145</u>	<u>132,334,850</u>	<u>106,515,259</u>	<u>161,444,826</u>	51.57
Net Beginning Balance	3,606,735	3,630,182	3,630,182	1,722,007	(52.56)
Adjustments to Beginning Balance	0	0	0	0	-
Adjusted Beginning Fund Balance	<u>3,606,735</u>	<u>3,630,182</u>	<u>3,630,182</u>	<u>1,722,007</u>	(52.56)
Total Revenues, Other Financing Sources and Beginning Fund Balance	<u>\$64,431,880</u>	<u>\$135,965,032</u>	<u>\$110,145,441</u>	<u>\$163,166,833</u>	48.14

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

DRAFT

**Restricted General Fund Expenditure Budget - Fund 12**

<u>Expenditures by Object</u>	2016-17 Actual Expenses	2017-18 Revised Budget	2017-18 Estimated Expenses	2018-19 Tentative Budget	% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est
1000 Academic Salaries					
1100 Instructional Salaries, Regular Contract	\$449,633	\$262,621	\$330,340	\$285,891	(13.46)
1200 Non-Instructional Salaries, Regular Contract	4,451,170	5,453,875	4,665,936	5,565,154	19.27
1300 Instructional Salaries, Other Non-Regular	339,684	570,747	324,848	445,765	37.22
1400 Non-Instructional Salaries, Other Non-Regular	5,182,819	5,578,510	5,338,630	4,630,848	(13.26)
Subtotal	<u>10,423,306</u>	<u>11,865,753</u>	<u>10,659,754</u>	<u>10,927,658</u>	2.51
2000 Classified Salaries					
2100 Non-Instructional Salaries, Regular Full Time	7,941,828	11,045,367	8,330,898	12,169,448	46.08
2200 Instructional Aides, Regular Full Time	65,701	33,954	40,311	74,210	84.09
2300 Non-Instructional Salaries, Other	3,855,589	4,588,365	3,806,810	3,864,980	1.53
2400 Instructional Aides, Other	1,019,678	1,124,935	1,020,418	962,157	(5.71)
Subtotal	<u>12,882,796</u>	<u>16,792,621</u>	<u>13,198,437</u>	<u>17,070,795</u>	29.34
3000 Employee Benefits					
3100 State Teachers' Retirement System Fund	1,486,220	1,495,553	1,514,739	1,545,234	2.01
3200 Public Employees' Retirement System Fund	1,415,028	2,192,644	1,711,733	2,541,912	48.50
3300 Old Age, Survivors, Disability, and Health Ins.	1,017,853	1,362,004	1,059,275	1,359,192	28.31
3400 Health and Welfare Benefits	3,102,372	5,146,380	3,957,330	5,363,015	35.52
3500 State Unemployment Insurance	11,172	15,228	11,945	18,782	57.24
3600 Workers' Compensation Insurance	529,899	663,811	540,648	640,624	18.49
3900 Other Benefits	279,508	377,680	299,766	383,478	27.93
Subtotal	<u>7,842,052</u>	<u>11,253,300</u>	<u>9,095,436</u>	<u>11,852,237</u>	30.31
<b>TOTAL SALARIES/BENEFITS</b>	<b>31,148,154</b>	<b>39,911,674</b>	<b>32,953,627</b>	<b>39,850,690</b>	20.93

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

**DRAFT**

**Restricted General Fund Expenditure Budget - Fund 12**

<b>Expenditures by Object</b>	<b>2016-17 Actual Expenses</b>	<b>2017-18 Revised Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Estimated Expenses</b>	<b>2018-19 Tentative Budget</b>	<b>% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est</b>
4000 Books and Supplies					
4100 Textbooks	8,824	7,800	7,800	6,783	(13.04)
4200 Other Books	258,670	223,482	232,416	177,528	(23.62)
4300 Instructional Supplies	1,671,922	2,660,735	1,432,286	1,749,518	22.15
4400 Media Supplies	0	0	0	0	-
4500 Maintenance Supplies	11,217	21,750	11,301	23,137	104.73
4600 Non-Instructional Supplies	568,489	695,815	391,984	789,820	101.49
4700 Food Supplies	202,045	263,779	178,935	186,655	4.31
Subtotal	<u>2,721,167</u>	<u>3,873,361</u>	<u>2,254,722</u>	<u>2,933,441</u>	30.10
5000 Services and Other Operating Expenses					
5100 Personal & Consultant Svcs	18,320,822	78,909,075	65,497,802	108,551,836	65.73
5200 Travel & Conference Expenses	746,483	997,363	609,868	1,019,179	67.11
5300 Dues & Memberships	28,904	124,757	121,989	106,561	(12.65)
5400 Insurance	64,236	62,687	62,443	62,687	0.39
5500 Utilities & Housekeeping Svcs	56,542	89,494	70,779	89,549	26.52
5600 Rents, Leases & Repairs	250,861	571,492	433,196	465,977	7.57
5700 Legal, Election & Audit Exp	0	0	0	0	-
5800 Other Operating Exp & Services	1,210,090	2,113,346	897,592	2,034,950	126.71
5900 Other (Transp., Postage, Reproduction, Special Proj., etc.)	823,817	2,882,395	886,504	3,273,792	269.29
Subtotal	<u>21,501,755</u>	<u>85,750,609</u>	<u>68,580,173</u>	<u>115,604,531</u>	68.57
6000 Sites, Buildings, Books, and Equipment					
6100 Sites & Site Improvements	0	0	0	0	-
6200 Buildings	222,327	635,229	195,554	649,862	232.32
6300 Library Books	226,719	241,720	237,868	164,517	(30.84)
6400 Equipment	3,850,918	3,315,156	3,061,421	2,136,939	(30.20)
Subtotal	<u>4,299,964</u>	<u>4,192,105</u>	<u>3,494,843</u>	<u>2,951,318</u>	(15.55)
Subtotal, Expenditures (1000 - 6000)	<u>59,671,040</u>	<u>133,727,749</u>	<u>107,283,365</u>	<u>161,339,980</u>	50.39

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

DRAFT

**Restricted General Fund Expenditure Budget - Fund 12**

<b>Expenditures by Object</b>	<b>2016-17 Actual Expenses</b>	<b>2017-18 Revised Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Estimated Expenses</b>	<b>2018-19 Tentative Budget</b>	<b>% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est</b>
7000 Other Outgo					
7200 Intrafund Transfers Out	(9,559)	0	0	0	-
7300 Interfund Transfers Out	0	0	0	0	-
7500 Student Financial Aid	0	20,000	0	0	-
7600 Other Student Aid	1,140,217	1,194,795	1,140,069	1,052,203	(7.71)
Subtotal	<u>1,130,658</u>	<u>1,214,795</u>	<u>1,140,069</u>	<u>1,052,203</u>	(7.71)
Subtotal, Expenditures (1000 - 7000)	<u>60,801,698</u>	<u>134,942,544</u>	<u>108,423,434</u>	<u>162,392,183</u>	49.78
7900 Reserve for Contingencies					
7920 Restricted Contingency-SCC Family Pact-2340	0	10,086	0	10,086	-
7920 Restricted Contingency-Campus Health Services-3250	0	143,275	0	143,275	-
7920 Restricted Contingency-Health Services-3450	0	528,863	0	621,289	-
7920 Restricted Contingency-Safety & Parking-3610	0	340,264	0	0	-
Total Designated	<u>0</u>	<u>1,022,488</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>774,650</u>	-
7910 Unrestricted Contingency	3,630,182	0	1,722,007	0	(100.00)
Subtotal Expenditures (7900)	<u>3,630,182</u>	<u>1,022,488</u>	<u>1,722,007</u>	<u>774,650</u>	(55.01)
Total Expenditures, Other Outgo and Ending Fund Balance	<u>\$64,431,880</u>	<u>\$135,965,032</u>	<u>\$110,145,441</u>	<u>\$163,166,833</u>	48.14

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

DRAFT

<b>Unrestricted - One-Time - General Fund Revenue Budget - Fund 13</b>						
<b><u>Revenues by Source</u></b>		<b>2016-17 Actual Revenue</b>	<b>2017-18 Revised Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Estimated Revenue</b>	<b>2018-19 Tentative Budget</b>	<b>% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est</b>
8100	Federal Revenues					
	Total Federal Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
8600	State Revenues					
8611	Apprenticeship Allowance	107,777	0	109,060	0	-
8682	State Mandated Costs	2,660,407	0	808,057	0	(100.00)
8699	Other Misc State Revenue	18,118	0	0	0	-
	Total State Revenues	<u>2,786,302</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>917,117</u>	<u>0</u>	(100.00)
8800	Local Revenues					
8850	Rentals Short-term/Lease Facilities	265,251	250,272	250,272	123,100	(50.81)
8885	Student ID & ASB Fees	0	0	0	0	-
8890	Other Local Revenues (Student Transcript/Representation/ Discounts/Fines/Instr. Mat./Health Serv. Use Fees, etc.)	265,411	148,119	154,139	68,399	(55.63)
8891	Other Local Rev - Special Proj	0	0	0	0	-
	Total Local Revenues	<u>530,662</u>	<u>398,391</u>	<u>404,411</u>	<u>191,499</u>	(52.65)
8900	Other Financing Sources					
8981	Interfund Transfer In	0	0	0	0	-
	Total Revenues	<u>3,316,964</u>	<u>398,391</u>	<u>1,321,528</u>	<u>191,499</u>	(85.51)
	Net Beginning Balance	36,934,285	35,254,317	35,254,317	33,196,069	(5.84)
	Adjustments to Beginning Balance	0	0	0	0	-
	Adjusted Beginning Fund Balance	<u>36,934,285</u>	<u>35,254,317</u>	<u>35,254,317</u>	<u>33,196,069</u>	(5.84)
	Total Revenues, Other Financing Sources and Beginning Fund Balance	<u>\$40,251,249</u>	<u>\$35,652,708</u>	<u>\$36,575,845</u>	<u>\$33,387,568</u>	(8.72)

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

DRAFT

**Unrestricted - One-Time - General Fund Expenditure Budget - Fund 13**

<u>Expenditures by Object</u>	<b>2016-17 Actual Expenses</b>	<b>2017-18 Revised Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Estimated Expenses</b>	<b>2018-19 Tentative Budget</b>	<b>% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est</b>
1000 Academic Salaries					
1100 Instructional Salaries, Regular Contract	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$551,210	-
1200 Non-Instructional Salaries, Regular Contract	58,170	0	0	0	-
1300 Instructional Salaries, Other Non-Regular	0	1,431,153	4,100	1,810,641	44,061.98
1400 Non-Instructional Salaries, Other Non-Regular	42,203	176,201	97,552	80,260	(17.73)
Subtotal	<u>100,373</u>	<u>1,607,354</u>	<u>101,652</u>	<u>2,442,111</u>	2,302.42
2000 Classified Salaries					
2100 Non-Instructional Salaries, Regular Full Time	17,889	72,237	72,494	88,372	21.90
2200 Instructional Aides, Regular Full Time	0	0	0	0	-
2300 Non-Instructional Salaries, Other	134,510	97,784	47,428	20,600	(56.57)
2400 Instructional Aides, Other	97,088	43,330	55,020	0	(100.00)
Subtotal	<u>249,487</u>	<u>213,351</u>	<u>174,942</u>	<u>108,972</u>	(37.71)
3000 Employee Benefits					
3100 State Teachers' Retirement System Fund	(260,183)	231,722	13,952	397,575	2,749.59
3200 Public Employees' Retirement System Fund	9,701	17,776	19,999	15,643	(21.78)
3300 Old Age, Survivors, Disability, and Health Ins.	10,811	35,776	12,847	44,027	242.70
3400 Health and Welfare Benefits	3,355,001	78,576	22,367	107,228	379.40
3500 State Unemployment Insurance	153	916	134	1,284	858.21
3600 Workers' Compensation Insurance	7,898	41,009	6,264	57,450	817.15
3900 Other Benefits	1,156	1,589	1,813	1,964	8.33
Subtotal	<u>3,124,537</u>	<u>407,364</u>	<u>77,376</u>	<u>625,171</u>	707.97
<b>TOTAL SALARIES/BENEFITS</b>	<b>3,474,397</b>	<b>2,228,069</b>	<b>353,970</b>	<b>3,176,254</b>	797.32

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

DRAFT

**Unrestricted - One-Time - General Fund Expenditure Budget - Fund 13**

<b>Expenditures by Object</b>	<b>2016-17 Actual Expenses</b>	<b>2017-18 Revised Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Estimated Expenses</b>	<b>2018-19 Tentative Budget</b>	<b>% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est</b>
4000 Books and Supplies					
4100 Textbooks	0	0	0	0	-
4200 Other Books	6,852	4,112	2,279	2,402	5.40
4300 Instructional Supplies	36,757	53,932	41,113	2,638	(93.58)
4400 Media Supplies	0	0	0	0	-
4500 Maintenance Supplies	0	27,405	6,711	2,000	(70.20)
4600 Non-Instructional Supplies	125,994	267,102	107,272	51,709	(51.80)
4700 Food Supplies	385	1,300	0	1,300	-
Subtotal	169,988	353,851	157,375	60,049	(61.84)
5000 Services and Other Operating Expenses					
5100 Personal & Consultant Svcs	613,910	1,295,587	684,363	521,472	(23.80)
5200 Travel & Conference Expenses	27,272	103,054	30,704	72,160	135.02
5300 Dues & Memberships	650	40,943	7,333	1,500	(79.54)
5400 Insurance	0	0	0	0	-
5500 Utilities & Housekeeping Svcs	23,147	74,019	59,256	25,500	(56.97)
5600 Rents, Leases & Repairs	85,319	366,555	334,091	583,597	74.68
5700 Legal, Election & Audit Exp	239,540	30,000	24,227	145,000	498.51
5800 Other Operating Exp & Services	585,811	343,227	273,694	69,942	(74.45)
5900 Other (Transp., Postage, Reproduction, Special Proj., etc.)	56,732	468,781	83,737	193,030	130.52
Subtotal	1,632,381	2,722,166	1,497,405	1,612,201	7.67
6000 Sites, Buildings, Books, and Equipment					
6100 Sites & Site Improvements	0	0	0	0	-
6200 Buildings	179,443	500	0	0	-
6300 Library Books	0	3,000	3,000	0	(100.00)
6400 Equipment	2,051,111	1,596,469	1,122,956	397,742	(64.58)
Subtotal	2,230,554	1,599,969	1,125,956	397,742	(64.68)
Subtotal, Expenditures (1000 - 6000)	7,507,320	6,904,055	3,134,706	5,246,246	67.36

**Rancho Santiago Community College District**  
**Tentative Budget**  
**2018-19**

**DRAFT**

<b>Unrestricted - One-Time - General Fund Expenditure Budget - Fund 13</b>					
<b>Expenditures by Object</b>	<b>2016-17 Actual Expenses</b>	<b>2017-18 Revised Budget</b>	<b>2017-18 Estimated Expenses</b>	<b>2018-19 Tentative Budget</b>	<b>% change 18/19 Tent/ 17/18 Est</b>
7000 Other Outgo					
7200 Intrafund Transfers Out	0	0	0	0	-
7300 Interfund Transfers Out	6,750,000	628,000	1,898,094	2,000,000	5.37
7600 Other Student Aid	0	2,990	2,949	0	(100.00)
Subtotal	<u>6,750,000</u>	<u>630,990</u>	<u>1,901,043</u>	<u>2,000,000</u>	5.21
Subtotal, Expenditures (1000 - 7000)	<u>14,257,320</u>	<u>7,535,045</u>	<u>5,035,749</u>	<u>7,246,246</u>	43.90
7900 Reserve for Contingencies					
7930 Board Policy Contingency (5%)	0	9,070,673	0	9,169,172	-
7940 Revolving Cash Accounts	0	100,000	0	100,000	-
7940 Employee Vacation Payout	0	250,000	0	250,000	-
7950 Budget Stabilization	0	14,621,068	0	15,422,605	-
Total Designated	<u>0</u>	<u>24,041,741</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>24,941,777</u>	-
7910 Unrestricted Contingency SAC=988,972, SCC=210,573, DS=0)	25,993,929	4,075,922	31,540,096	1,199,545	(96.20)
Subtotal Expenditures (7900)	<u>25,993,929</u>	<u>28,117,663</u>	<u>31,540,096</u>	<u>26,141,322</u>	(17.12)
Total Expenditures, Other Outgo and Ending Fund Balance	<u>\$40,251,249</u>	<u>\$35,652,708</u>	<u>\$36,575,845</u>	<u>\$33,387,568</u>	(8.72)

**RSCCD - 2018-19 Tentative Budget SB 361 Revenue Allocation Simulation for Unrestricted General Fund -- FD 11**  
**Based on Estimates as of 3-16-18 FTES excluding borrowing % split with stabilization amount**

**DRAFT**

	SAC/CEC	SAC	CEC	SCC/OEC	SCC	OEC	District Services	Institutional Cost	TOTAL
<b>APPORTIONMENT REVENUE</b>									
Base Allocation	\$ 4,866,176	\$ 4,866,176		\$ 3,649,630	\$ 3,649,630			\$	8,515,806
Grandfathered or Approved Center	\$ 1,216,544		\$ 1,216,544	\$ 1,216,544		\$ 1,216,544		\$	2,433,088
Stabilization	\$ 5,117,392	\$ 4,009,502	\$ 1,107,890	\$ 2,212,054	\$ 1,718,565	\$ 493,489		\$	7,329,446
FTES Base	\$ 95,888,929	\$ 75,750,270	\$ 20,138,659	\$ 41,945,431	\$ 33,289,258	\$ 8,656,173		\$	137,834,360
Subtotal	\$ 107,089,041	\$ 84,625,948	\$ 22,463,093	\$ 49,023,659	\$ 38,657,453	\$ 10,366,205		\$	156,112,700
Projected COLA - 1.56% (FY 17-18)	\$ 1,620,527	\$ 1,269,691	\$ 350,836	\$ 700,492	\$ 544,219	\$ 156,273		\$	2,321,019
Projected COLA - 2.71% (FY 18-19)	\$ 3,002,244	\$ 2,352,273	\$ 649,971	\$ 1,297,756	\$ 1,008,238	\$ 289,517		\$	4,300,000
Estimated Restoration/Access/Growth - Decline 232 FTES	\$ (810,680)	\$ (635,172)	\$ (175,508)	\$ (350,426)	\$ (272,249)	\$ (78,177)		\$	(1,161,106)
Deficit Coefficient (0.70%)	\$ (770,810)	\$ (603,934)	\$ (166,877)	\$ (333,192)	\$ (258,860)	\$ (74,332)		\$	(1,104,002)
Base Increase in FY 17-18	\$ 3,197,818	\$ 2,505,506	\$ 692,311	\$ 1,382,295	\$ 1,073,918	\$ 308,377		\$	4,580,113
<b>TOTAL ESTIMATED APPORTIONMENT REVENUE</b>	<b>\$ 113,328,140</b>	<b>\$ 89,514,314</b>	<b>\$ 23,813,826</b>	<b>\$ 51,720,584</b>	<b>\$ 40,752,719</b>	<b>\$ 10,967,865</b>		<b>\$</b>	<b>165,048,724</b>
<i>Percentages</i>	68.66%	54.24%	14.43%	31.34%	24.69%	6.65%			
<b>OTHER STATE REVENUE</b>									
Lottery, Unrestricted	\$ 3,034,985	\$ 2,395,398	\$ 639,587	\$ 1,304,244	\$ 1,019,352	\$ 284,892		\$	4,339,229
State Mandate	\$ 555,066	\$ 555,066	\$ -	\$ 239,934	\$ 239,934	\$ -		\$	795,000
Part-Time Faculty Compensation	\$ 418,433	\$ 327,845	\$ 90,589	\$ 180,873	\$ 140,522	\$ 40,351		\$	599,306
<b>Subtotal, Other State Revenue</b>	<b>\$ 4,008,484</b>	<b>\$ 3,278,309</b>	<b>\$ 730,175</b>	<b>\$ 1,725,051</b>	<b>\$ 1,399,808</b>	<b>\$ 325,243</b>		<b>\$</b>	<b>5,733,535</b>
<b>TOTAL ESTIMATED REVENUE</b>	<b>\$ 117,336,624</b>	<b>\$ 92,792,623</b>	<b>\$ 24,544,002</b>	<b>\$ 53,445,635</b>	<b>\$ 42,152,527</b>	<b>\$ 11,293,108</b>		<b>\$</b>	<b>170,782,259</b>
<i>Percentages</i>	68.71%	54.33%	14.37%	31.29%	24.68%	6.61%			
<b>Less Institutional Cost Expenditures</b>								<b>\$</b>	<b>11,387,604</b>
<b>Less Net District Services Expenditures</b>								<b>\$</b>	<b>29,435,960</b>
								<b>\$</b>	<b>129,958,695</b>
<b>ESTIMATED REVENUE</b>	<b>\$ 89,288,634</b>	<b>\$ 70,611,598</b>	<b>\$ 18,677,036</b>	<b>\$ 40,670,061</b>	<b>\$ 32,076,443</b>	<b>\$ 8,593,618</b>		<b>\$</b>	<b>129,958,695</b>
<b>BUDGET EXPENDITURES FOR FY 2018-19</b>									
SAC/CEC Expenses - F/T & Ongoing	\$ 90,757,120	\$ 79,632,916	\$ 11,124,204					\$	90,757,120
SCC/OEC Expenses - F/T & Ongoing				\$ 44,123,206	\$ 38,045,459	\$ 6,077,747		\$	44,123,206
District Services Expenses - F/T & Ongoing							\$ 30,432,914	\$	30,432,914
Projected COLA - 2.71% (FY 18-19)	\$ 2,312,970	\$ 2,312,970		\$ 1,173,470	\$ 1,173,470		\$ 813,560	\$	4,300,000
Reduction	\$ (1,721,445)	\$ (1,721,445)		\$ (734,955)	\$ (734,955)		\$ (543,600)	\$	(3,000,000)
Institutional Cost								\$ 6,569,850	\$ 6,569,850
Retirees Instructional-local experience charge-STRS on behalf								\$ 4,972,754	\$ 4,972,754
Retirees Non-Instructional-local experience charge-STRS on behalf								\$ 1,970,000	\$ 1,970,000
Property & Liability								\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000
Election								\$ 1,750,000	\$ 1,750,000
Interfund Transfer								\$	
<b>TOTAL ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES</b>	<b>\$ 91,348,645</b>	<b>\$ 80,224,441</b>	<b>\$ 11,124,204</b>	<b>\$ 44,561,721</b>	<b>\$ 38,483,974</b>	<b>\$ 6,077,747</b>	<b>\$ 30,702,874</b>	<b>\$ 15,387,604</b>	<b>\$ 182,000,844</b>
Percent of Total Estimated Expenditures	50.19%	44.08%	6.11%	24.48%	21.14%	3.34%	16.87%	8.45%	
<b>ESTIMATED EXPENSES UNDER/(OVER) REVENUE</b>	<b>\$ (2,060,011)</b>	<b>\$ (9,612,843)</b>	<b>\$ 7,552,832</b>	<b>\$ (3,891,660)</b>	<b>\$ (6,407,531)</b>	<b>\$ 2,515,871</b>		<b>\$</b>	<b>(5,951,671)</b>
<b>OTHER STATE REVENUE</b>									
Apprenticeship				\$ 2,757,300	\$ 2,757,300			\$	2,757,300
Enrollment Fees 2%								\$ 307,714	\$ 307,714
<b>LOCAL REVENUE</b>									
Non Resident Tuition	\$ 2,400,000	\$ 2,400,000		\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000			\$	3,200,000
Interest/Investments								\$ 725,000	\$ 725,000
Rents/Leases	\$ 48,480	\$ 48,480		\$ 52,472	\$ 52,472		\$ 205,000	\$	305,952
Proceeds-Sale of Equipment								\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000
Other Local								\$ 4,024,200	\$ 4,024,200
Subtotal, Other Local Revenue	\$ 2,448,480	\$ 2,448,480	\$ -	\$ 3,609,772	\$ 3,609,772	\$ -	\$ 205,000	\$ 5,061,914	\$ 11,325,166
<b>ESTIMATED ENDING BALANCE FOR 6/30/19</b>	<b>388,469</b>	<b>(7,164,363)</b>	<b>7,552,832</b>	<b>(281,888)</b>	<b>(2,797,759)</b>	<b>2,515,871</b>		<b>\$</b>	<b>106,581</b>

**BREAKDOWN OF BUDGET REDUCTIONS BY BUDGET CENTER  
FY 2018-19 TENTATIVE BUDGET**

<b>SAC REDUCTION</b>	<b>MAJOR OBJECT</b>	<b>AMOUNT</b>
Academic Salaries	1000	\$ 200,769
Classified Salaries	2000	\$ 580,647
Employee Benefits	3000	\$ 476,400
Other Operating Exp & Services	5000	\$ 463,629
		<b>\$ 1,721,445</b>

<b>SCC REDUCTION</b>	<b>MAJOR OBJECT</b>	<b>AMOUNT</b>
Academic Salaries	1000	\$ 186,926
Classified Salaries	2000	\$ 165,181
Employee Benefits	3000	\$ 134,231
Other Operating Exp & Services	5000	\$ 248,617
		<b>\$ 734,955</b>

<b>DS REDUCTION</b>	<b>MAJOR OBJECT</b>	<b>AMOUNT</b>
Academic Salaries	1000	\$ 29,714
Classified Salaries	2000	\$ 135,112
Employee Benefits	3000	\$ 65,174
Other Operating Exp & Services	5000	\$ 200,000
Capital Outlay	6000	\$ 113,600
		<b>\$ 543,600</b>

**TOTAL REDUCTION \$ 3,000,000**

Vacant Funded Positions as of 5/17/2018 - Projected Annual Salary and Benefits Savings

Fund	Management/ Academic/ Confidential	Title	Reasons	Site	Effective Date	Notes	2017-18 Annual Budgeted Sal/Ben	Total Unr. General Fund by Site
11	Conner-Crabbe, Tracey	Director, Purchasing Services	Retirement	District	8/1/2017	Linda Melendez Interim Assignment 08/01/17-05/18/18	122,210	
11	Chitlik, Judyanne	Vice Chancellor, Human Resources	Retirement	District	6/30/2018	AC18-0645	-	172,603
11	Harsen, Eric A.	Graphic Communication Manager	Resignation	District	4/2/2018	CL18-1135	23,144	
11	Iannaccone, Judith	Director, Public Affairs & Publicatio	Retirement	District	8/31/2018		-	
20%-fd 11 80%-fd 12	Stewart, Lynn	Dir Global Trade Logistics Initiative	Resignation	District	11/16/2017	Position salary in Fund 11 defunded in FY 18-19	27,250	
11	Bryant, Micki	Dean of Counseling	Retirement	SAC	7/7/2017	María Dela Cruz Interim Assignment 1/29/18- to-6/30/18	130,218	
11	Collins, Michael	VP, Administrative Services	Resignation	SAC	4/1/2018	CL18-1109	33,863	
11	Dahlen, Noel	Professor, Computer Science	Retirement	SAC	6/11/2017	Fund AC18-0656 - Assistant Professor, Computer Science	158,122	
11	Director of Special Projects	Director of Special Projects	New position	SAC		REORG#809	154,045	
11	Gaer, Susan	Professor/Coordinator	Retirement	CEC	12/21/2017	Position salary defunded in FY 18-19	83,315	
11	Grant, Madeline	Professor, Management/Marketing	Promotion	SAC	9/23/2014	Fund AC18-0664 - Assistant Professor, Auto	148,269	1,472,018
11	Goldmann, Dan	Professor, Biology	Retirement	SAC	12/16/2017	Fund AC18-0653 - Assistant Professor, Biology	83,315	
11	Harding, Glen	Professor, Computer Science	Retirement	SAC	12/16/2017	Fund AC18-0657 - Assistant Professor, Computer Information Systems	84,167	
11	Huynh-Dang, KC	Professor, Pharmacy	Deceased	SAC	4/30/2017	Dombroske, Leona 1027923 temporary One- Year Contract. Is not entitled to cash benefits Fund AC18-0662 - Assistant Professor, Pharmacy	1,879	
11	Jaeger, Carl	Enrollment Reporting Manager	Retirement	SAC	3/1/2018	Position salary defunded in FY 18-19	56,066	
11	Jones, Ronald	Custodial Supervisor	Retirement	SAC	2/28/2018		34,454	
11	Kashi, Majid	Professor, Mathematics	Retirement	SAC	5/31/2018		-	
11	Kossler, Wenona	Professor, Reading	Retirement	SAC	5/31/2018	Fund AC18-0670 - Assistant Professor, Art	-	
11	Lopez, Carlos	VP, Academic Affairs	Resignation	SAC	8/28/2017	Shelly Jaffray Interim Assignment AC17-0640	119,920	
11	Lundquist, Sara	Vice President of Student Service	Retirement	SAC	8/1/2017	Arnulfo Cedillo#2363321 Interim Assignment. Hired Hubbard, Vanithia R (2364572) FY 2018-19 AC17-0641	103,712	
11	Marecek, Lynn	Professor, Math	Retirement	SAC	6/2/2018	Fund AC18-0653 - Assistant Professor, Biology	-	
11	Mitzner, Rita	Professor, English	Resignation	SAC	5/31/2018	Fund AC18-0671 - Assistant Professor, American Sign Language	-	
11	Scoggin, Sally	Professor/Coordinator ESL	Retirement	CEC	5/26/2018	Position salary defunded in FY 18-19	-	
11	Shigematsu, Ted	Professor, Philosophy	Retirement	SAC	6/8/2017	Fund AC18-0660 - Assistant Professor, Philosophy	143,991	
11	Sneddon, Donald	Professor, Fire Technology	Retirement	SAC	5/31/2018	Fund AC18-0669 - Assistant Professor, Business Applications & Tech	-	
11	Valdez, Susanne	Professor, Human Development	Resignation	SAC	8/11/2017	Fund AC18-0665 - Assistant Professor, Child Development	136,680	
69%-fd 11 31%-fd 12	Carr-Rollitt, Lucy	Professor/Learning Disabilities	Retirement	SCC	6/1/2018	Position salary defunded in FY 18-19	-	
11	Enriquez (Tibbetts), Rosemarie	Counselor	Retirement	SCC	6/30/2018	Fund AC18-0656 - Assistant Professor, Biology	-	
11	Kennedy, James	Dean, Instr & Std Svcs	Promotion	OEC	8/1/2011	Abdul Isira was interim until 6/30/17 AC18-0673	178,616	387,155
11	Kessler, Ron	Professor, Computer Science	Retirement	SCC	6/1/2018	Fund AC18-0667 - Assistant Professor, Water Utility	-	
11	Parrella, Michael	Professor, Political Science	Retirement	SCC	6/2/2018	Fund AC18-0654 - Assistant Professor, Human Development	-	
11	Rizvi, Syed A.	Dean-Enrollment & Support Services	Promotion	SCC	3/1/2018	Jennifer Coto Interim Assignment 3/1/18- 6/30/18	73,925	
11	Walker, Mary	Coordinator, ESL Integrated	Retirement	SCC	6/30/2016	Reduced annual salary by \$11,211. Mr. Vargas VP moved funds to cover contract extension cost for D. Salcido 11-0000-493062- 28200-1112 Position salary defunded in FY 18-19	134,613	
							2,031,776	
	Classified	Title	Reasons		Effective Date	Notes	2017-18 Annual Budgeted Sal/Ben	Total Unr. General Fund by Site
11	Adams, Jennie R.	Business Systems Analyst	Promotion	District	4/1/2018	CL18-1143	124,601	
11	Cadotte, Angela K	Senior Payroll Specialist	Resignation	District	5/23/2018		7,701	
11	Easter, Candi	Accountant	Promotion	District	5/31/2017	CL18-0999	99,400	
11	Fangrat, Gary	District Safety Officer/Senior	Retirement	District	12/30/2017		41,008	
48%-fd 11 52%-fd 12	Frausto Aguado, Erica	Business Services Coordinator	Resignation	District	9/26/2014	CL14-0608 - FUNDING NEEDS TO BE ALL FD 12 WHEN POSITION IS FILLED	-	
11	Geddes, Christopher	Custodian	Resignation	District	4/25/2018		1,769	
11	Gonzalez, Jaime	District Safety Officer	Resignation	District	8/27/2017		12,161	491,075
11	Ho, Albert	Applications Specialist III	Retirement	District	6/30/2018	CL18-1107	-	
11	Montana, Tracy	Senior Account Clerk	Promotion	District	3/11/2018		28,981	
11	Mora, Guadalupe	Custodian	Resignation	District	8/7/2017	CL18-1119	15,607	
11	Odle, Ann L	Reprographics Technician	Retirement	District	5/17/2018		778	
50%-fd 11 50%-fd 12	Sagehorn, Robert	Research Analyst	Resignation	District	4/20/2018		8,918	

## Vacant Funded Positions as of 5/17/2018 - Projected Annual Salary and Benefits Savings

Fund	Management/ Academic/ Confidential	Title	Reasons	Site	Effective Date	Notes	2017-18 Annual Budgeted Sal/Ben	Total Unr. General Fund by Site
11	Small, Eric M.	Applications Specialist III	Resignation	District	4/27/2018		8,628	
60%-fd 11 40%-fd 12	Stowell, Ray	District Safety Officer	Resignation	District	5/4/2018		5,151	
11	Torres, Jetzamina	Business Services Coordinator	Promotion	District	10/31/2017		87,001	
11	Vancheswaran, Asha	Application Specialist III	Resignation	District	1/2/2018		49,370	
70%-fd 11 30%-fd 12	Andrade, Jose	Instructional Center Technician	Promotion	SAC	2/12/2017	Position salary in Fund 11 defunded in FY 18-19	58,831	
11	Butler, Aaron J.	Athletic Field Grounds Worker	Promotion	SAC	3/26/2018		4,041	
11	Cha, Christine	Scholarship Coordinator	Resignation	SAC	4/2/2018	CL18-1129 - new hire should be 25% in FD 13-DEFUND 25% in 11-0000-709000-19550-2130 for FY 18-19	16,534	
11	Chamness, Gregory B.	Skilled Maintenance Worker	Promotion	SAC	2/26/2018		87,850	
11	Caughern, Diane	Administrative Secretary	Retirement	SAC	12/31/2017	CL18-1098. Hired Francisco Mora into acct 12-2412-631000-15310-2130. Position salary in Fund 11 defunded in FY 18-19	-	
11	Chua, Irene D.	Library Technician I	Promotion	SAC	2/23/2018	Position salary defunded in FY 18-19	23,277	
36%-fd 11 64%-fd 12	DSPS Specialist REORG#1020	DSPS Specialist REORG#1020	REORG#1020	SAC	7/1/2017		33,315	
11	Freeman, Dianne	Support Services Assistant	Retirement	SAC	7/1/2016	Position salary defunded in FY 18-19	95,953	
11	Guevara, Angela	Success Center Specialist	FT Coordinator	SAC	8/14/2016	Position salary defunded in FY 18-19	89,881	
70%-fd 11 30%-fd 12	Lopez Mercedes, Jose A.	Administrative Secretary	Promotion	SAC	8/20/2017		58,204	719,253
20%-fd 11 80%-fd 12	Lopez de la Luz, Basti	High School & Community Outreach	Promotion	SAC	12/18/2017	Position salary in Fund 11 defunded in FY 18-19	7,691	
11	Palomares, Maria	Custodian	Promotion	SAC	9/7/2017	CL17-1058. Salary position defunded in FY 18-19	62,996	
50%-fd 11 50%-fd 12	Pedroza, Guadalupe	Admission & Records Spec II	Retirement	SAC	12/30/2015	Position salary defunded in FY 18-19	43,138	
50%-fd 11 50%-fd 12	Penning, Kerry S.	Admission & Records Spec I	Retirement	SAC	6/29/2018		-	
11	Rasouli, Mohammed	Admission & Records Spec I	Resignation	SAC	12/29/2017	Position salary defunded in FY 18-19	9,368	
11	Tuon, Sophanareth	Senior Custodian/Utility Worker	change shift	SAC	1/2/2018	change shift take Felix Razo when it became vacant 12-30-17. Position salary defunded in FY 18-19	45,473	
11	Villegas Villalpando, Jose Javier	Sr Custodian/Util Work	Retirement	SAC	6/30/2017	Position salary defunded in FY 18-19	82,700	
11	Athletic Trainer Reorg#1041	Athletic Trainer Reorg#1041	Reorg#1041	SCC	10/16/2017	CL17-1053	20,868	
14%-fd 11 86%-fd 12	Berganza, Leyvi C.	High School & Community Outreach	Promotion	OEC	3/19/2017		14,163	
11	Campbell, Amanda	Transfer Center Specialist	Resignation	SCC	8/11/2017	Position salary defunded in FY 18-19	59,278	240,849
11	Do, Vinh	Custodian	Promotion	SCC	1/29/2018	Position salary defunded in FY 18-19 to fund Skilled Maintenance Worker	31,261	
78%-fd 11 22%-fd 12	Garcia, Anaisabelle	Student Program Specialist	Promotion	OEC	3/7/2018	CL18-1139	20,347	
11	Meade, Esther M.	Admission/Records Specialist II	Promotion	SCC	4/1/2018	CL18-1136	18,182	
11	Mills, Bryan B	Instructional Center Specialist	Retirement	SCC	6/7/2018		-	
60%-fd 11 40%-fd 12	Mora, Francisco G	Administrative Clerk	Promotion	OEC	4/29/2018	CL18-1140	8,087	
11	Peeken, Julie	Administrative Secretary	Promotion	SCC	1/16/2018		46,553	
11	Sacks, Sharan C.	Library Technician	Resignation	SCC	3/15/2018	CL18-1137	6,910	
11	Stewart, Reuben C	Custodian	Resignation	SCC	4/13/2018		3,103	
11	Vega, Jesus	Sr Custodian/Utility Worker	medical layoff	SCC	2/12/2018	CL18-1120	12,098	
<b>TOTAL</b>							<b>3,482,952</b>	

RANCHO SANTIAGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

MEASURE Q

Projects Cost Summary  
04/30/18 on 05/09/18

Special Project Numbers	Description	Project Allocation	Total PY Expenditures	FY 2017-2018		Cumulative Exp & Enc	Project Balance	% Spent
				Expenditures	Encumbrances			
<b>ACTIVE PROJECTS</b>								
<b>SANTA ANA COLLEGE</b>								
3032	Dunlap Hall Renovation	12,634,041	12,620,659	-	13,382	12,634,041	0	100%
	Agency Cost		559	-		559		
	Professional Services		1,139,116	-	13,382	1,152,498		
	Construction Services		11,480,984	-	-	11,480,984		
	Furniture and Equipment		-	-	-	-		
3035	Johnson Student Center	41,945,118	1,839,798	937,318	3,008,999	5,786,115	36,159,003	14%
	Agency Cost		5,019	365,463	4,702	375,185		
	Professional Services		1,834,779	571,855	3,004,297	5,410,930		
	Construction Services		-	-	-	-		
	Furniture and Equipment		-	-	-	-		
3042	Central Plant Infrastructure	68,170,000	42,835,552	13,447,103	3,999,808	60,282,463	7,887,537	88%
	Agency Cost		315,395	146	1,833	317,374		
	Professional Services		7,845,853	1,795,093	1,988,585	11,629,531		
	Construction Services		34,674,304	11,613,718	2,007,175	48,295,196		
	Furniture and Equipment		-	38,146	2,216	40,362		
3043	17th & Bristol Street Parking Lot	2,500,000	198,141	-	639	198,780	2,301,220	8%
	Agency Cost		16,151	-	139	16,290		
	Professional Services		128,994	-	500	129,494		
	Construction Services		52,996	-	-	52,996		
	Furniture and Equipment		-	-	-	-		
3049	Science Center & Building J Demolition	73,380,861	3,711,723	5,885,180	48,117,698	57,714,602	15,666,259	79%
	Agency Cost		389,194	17,814	2,804	409,811		
	Professional Services		3,322,529	912,681	4,586,346	8,821,557		
	Construction Services		-	4,954,685	43,528,548	48,483,234		
	Furniture and Equipment		-	-	-	-		
3056	Johnson Demolition	4,646	2,780	1,866	-	4,646	0	100%
	Agency Cost		120	1,866	-	1,986		
	Professional Services		485	-	-	485		
	Construction Services		2,175	-	-	2,175		
	Furniture and Equipment		-	-	-	-		
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>198,634,666</b>	<b>61,208,652</b>	<b>20,271,467</b>	<b>55,140,526</b>	<b>136,620,646</b>	<b>62,014,020</b>	<b>69%</b>
<b>ACTIVE PROJECTS</b>								
<b>ACTIVE PROJECTS</b>		<b>198,634,666</b>	<b>61,208,652</b>	<b>20,271,467</b>	<b>55,140,526</b>	<b>136,620,646</b>	<b>62,014,020</b>	<b>69%</b>
<b>SOURCE OF FUNDS</b>								
ORIGINAL Bond Proceeds		198,000,000						
Interest Earned		634,666						
<b>Totals</b>		<b>198,634,666</b>						

**Rancho Santiago Community College**  
**FD 11/13 Combined – Unrestricted General Fund Cash Flow Summary**  
**FY 2017-18, 2016-17, 2015-16**  
**YTD Actuals- April 30, 2018**

FY 2017/2018												
	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual
<b>Beginning Fund Balance</b>	\$35,254,317	\$40,165,384	\$34,560,657	\$34,268,363	\$26,088,125	\$27,232,830	\$42,532,357	\$43,692,302	\$33,960,025	\$32,644,537	\$35,926,129	\$35,926,129
<b>Total Revenues</b>	13,230,747	6,401,471	13,730,226	7,947,537	17,388,889	29,510,148	14,345,552	4,546,656	15,319,437	17,748,906	0	0
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	8,319,680	12,006,198	14,022,520	16,127,775	16,244,183	14,210,622	13,185,607	14,278,934	16,634,925	14,467,314	0	0
<b>Change in Fund Balance</b>	4,911,068	(5,604,727)	(292,295)	(8,180,238)	1,144,706	15,299,527	1,159,945	(9,732,277)	(1,315,488)	3,281,592	0	0
<b>Ending Fund Balance</b>	40,165,384	34,560,657	34,268,363	26,088,125	27,232,830	42,532,357	43,692,302	33,960,025	32,644,537	35,926,129	35,926,129	35,926,129

FY 2016/2017												
	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual
<b>Beginning Fund Balance</b>	\$36,934,285	\$43,339,545	\$38,688,887	\$42,888,559	\$35,251,863	\$37,089,867	\$44,994,813	\$45,583,312	\$29,932,160	\$29,972,359	\$31,677,983	\$19,898,488
<b>Total Revenues</b>	13,317,549	7,899,458	17,481,417	7,032,694	17,260,075	21,386,237	13,039,249	1,848,175	14,033,540	21,401,470	6,295,496	35,646,442
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	6,912,289	12,550,116	13,281,745	14,669,390	15,422,071	13,481,291	12,450,751	17,499,326	13,993,341	19,695,846	18,074,991	20,290,613
<b>Change in Fund Balance</b>	6,405,260	(4,650,658)	4,199,672	(7,636,696)	1,838,004	7,904,946	588,498	(15,651,151)	40,199	1,705,624	(11,779,495)	15,355,829
<b>Ending Fund Balance</b>	43,339,545	38,688,887	42,888,559	35,251,863	37,089,867	44,994,813	45,583,312	29,932,160	29,972,359	31,677,983	19,898,488	35,254,317

FY 2015/2016												
	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual
<b>Beginning Fund Balance</b>	\$25,917,127	\$33,402,140	\$28,096,759	\$32,949,997	\$26,126,574	\$6,048,685	\$32,363,109	\$39,495,529	\$34,369,138	\$35,062,718	\$47,256,733	\$39,841,766
<b>Total Revenues</b>	14,365,201	6,535,152	17,599,589	7,271,058	11,491,891	38,617,426	19,005,330	8,400,212	14,206,171	25,404,464	7,824,624	17,404,133
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	6,880,189	11,840,533	12,746,352	14,094,480	31,569,780	12,303,001	11,872,910	13,526,603	13,512,591	13,210,449	15,239,591	20,311,614
<b>Change in Fund Balance</b>	7,485,012	(5,305,381)	4,853,238	(6,823,423)	(20,077,889)	26,314,425	7,132,420	(5,126,391)	693,580	12,194,015	(7,414,967)	(2,907,481)
<b>Ending Fund Balance</b>	33,402,140	28,096,759	32,949,997	26,126,574	6,048,685	32,363,109	39,495,529	34,369,138	35,062,718	47,256,733	39,841,766	36,934,285

**Fiscal Resources Committee**  
Executive Conference Room – District Office  
1:30 p.m. – 3:00 p.m.

**Meeting Minutes for April 18, 2018**

**FRC Members Present:** Morrie Barembaum, Steven Deeley, Ed Fosmire, Maria Gil, Pilar Gutierrez-Lucero, Peter Hardash, James Kennedy, Lee Krichmar, Mary Mettler, Arleen Satele, Monica Zarske

**Alternates/Guests Present:** Esmeralda Abejar, Thao Nguyen, Jose Vargas

1. Welcome: Mr. Hardash called the meeting to order at 1:32 p.m.
2. State/District Budget Update
  - Sharing information, FYI from School Services of California
  - Variability of new funding model is reason to have larger reserve
  - Personnel percentage is around 86%
3. Follow up regarding 2018/19 Tentative Budget Assumptions
  - Third version of new funding model (State Chancellor's Office)
    - i. Similar to Department of Finance version but some differences
    - ii. Not much information available yet, more information to come
    - iii. May not know allocations until state budget is approved
  - Updated budget assumptions
    - i. Health and Welfare savings identified
    - ii. All \$3 million in cuts has been identified
    - iii. Budget year deficit has decreased to \$950,000
    - iv. Some unsettled items may add additional costs
    - v. Will continue to look for additional savings
  - Budget reductions by center
    - i. District Office will present theirs at the next meeting, but all have been identified
    - ii. Santiago Canyon College's Budget Committee has approved their cuts
  - No adjustment for loss of funding due to FTES in budget
    - i. Likely going to have decrease in FTES

Mr. Hardash discussed the options going forward in regards to FTES and funding. Options will also be discussed at the next Cabinet meeting.

4. 50% Law Calculation
  - March calculations
  - District Office does not generate any classroom/instructional costs
  - Projection is at 55%
  - Will continue to monitor closely
5. Standing Report from District Council - Mettler  
The last District Council meeting was cancelled. The next meeting will take place on May 7, 2018.
6. 2018/19 Proposed Meeting Schedule

The schedule and possible changes had been discussed at the prior meeting. Mr. Hardash called for a motion to approve the 2018/19 Proposed Meeting Schedule. A motion was made by Mr. Deeley, seconded by Ms. Mettler, and approved unanimously.

7. Informational Handouts

The following handouts were distributed:

- District-Wide Expenditure Report
- Vacant Funded Position List as of April 10, 2018
- Measure “Q” Project Cost Summary March 31, 2018
- Monthly Cash Flow Summary as of March 31, 2018
- SAC Planning and Budget Committee Agendas and Minutes
- SCC Budget Committee Agendas and Minutes

8. Approval of FRC Minutes – March 21, 2018

Mr. Hardash called for a motion to approve the Fiscal Resources Committee Minutes of the March 21, 2018 meeting. A motion was made by Mr. Deeley, seconded by Ms. Gutierrez-Lucero, and approved unanimously.

9. Other

No other items were discussed.

Next meeting reminder: Thursday, May 24, 2018, 1:30 – 3:00 in the Santa Ana Room #103, District Office

The meeting was adjourned at 2:05 p.m.