

# Physical Resources Committee (PRC)

Wednesday, April 10, 2013 – 1:30 p.m.  
Executive Conference Room (114) – District Office

## REVISED Agenda

1. Welcome - Hardash
2. Election of Co-Chair (Classified Representative) – Hardash – **Action**
  - Co-Chair to attend and provide PRC update at District Council meetings commencing August 12, 2013, 3:00 – Santa Ana Room, District Office
3. Projects Update – April 4, 2013 - Hardash
4. Measure Q - Hardash
  - Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee – extended application deadline
  - Membership
  - Project Sequencing
    - Capital Improvement Projects – March 11, 2013 presentation
5. Measure E - Hardash
  - Dedication/Celebration –Athletic/Aquatics Complex and Humanities Building  
Monday, April 29, 2013 – 3:00 p.m. – Parking Lot #7
6. Prop 39 – The California Clean Energy Jobs Act – Hardash
  - Governor's Budget Proposal
  - Scheduled Maintenance Project List
7. Assistant Vice Chancellor, Facility Planning – Hiring Process Update - Hardash
8. Update on Campus Facilities Meetings – Collins/Kawa
  - SAC – Facilities Committee Update – November 20, 2012 & February 19, 2013 Minutes
  - SCC – Facilities Committee Update – February 4, 2013 Minutes
9. Bond Budget Update – April 1, 2013 – O'Connor
10. Approval of Meeting Minutes – February 6, 2013 – Hardash - **Action**
11. Next Meeting: Wednesday, May 1, 2013, 1:30 p.m. – Executive Conference Room, DO
  - Proposed meeting schedule – FY 2013-14
12. Other

The mission of the Rancho Santiago Community College District is to provide quality educational programs and services that address the needs of our diverse students and communities.

| Committee          | Responsibilities  | Membership  |
|--------------------|---|---|
| Human Resources    | <p>Evaluate the effective use of human resources</p> <p>Review human resources policies and procedures and recommends changes</p> <p>Evaluate workplace safety and emergency preparedness plans and procedures</p> <p>Evaluate policies and procedures related to employment equity</p> <p>Monitor diversity of RSCCD employees</p> <p>Monitor compliance using human resources metrics such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Full-time/Part-time Faculty ratio</li> <li>- Full-time Faculty Obligation</li> <li>- Classified staffing ratios</li> <li>- Turnover ratios and recruitment activities</li> </ul> <p>Develop recommendations to ensure ongoing compliance with human resources requirements</p> <p>Plan and evaluate professional development activities</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Executive Vice Chancellor, Human Resources &amp; Educational Services</li> <li>• Assistant Vice Chancellor, Human Resources</li> <li>• District Services Office Manager appointed by Chancellor</li> <li>• Administrator appointed by Santa Ana College President</li> <li>• Administrator appointed by Santiago Canyon College President</li> <li>• Two faculty members appointed by each Academic Senate, Santa Ana College &amp; Santiago Canyon College</li> <li>• A faculty member appointed by FARSCCD</li> <li>• Three Classified representatives appointed by CSEA (District Office, Santa Ana College &amp; Santiago Canyon College)</li> </ul> |
| Physical Resources | <p>Review plans related to district physical resources including facilities, equipment, land and other assets</p> <p>Assess the effective use of physical resources</p> <p>Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Five-year facilities plan</li> <li>- State capital outlay projects</li> <li>- Local bond projects</li> <li>- Scheduled maintenance plans and activities</li> <li>- Hazardous mitigation programs</li> <li>- Facility master plans</li> <li>- Ancillary costs related to new construction</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Vice Chancellor, Business Operations &amp; Fiscal Services</li> <li>• Assistant Vice Chancellor, Facility Planning</li> <li>• Administrator appointed by Santa Ana College President</li> <li>• Administrator appointed by Santiago Canyon College President</li> <li>• Two faculty members appointed by each Academic Senate, Santa Ana College &amp; Santiago Canyon College</li> <li>• Three Classified representatives appointed by CSEA (District Office, Santa Ana College &amp; Santiago Canyon College)</li> </ul>   |



- **Legislative Update:** This week will be a big week in the capitol as a number of committees meet to consider major community college bills. Among the bills that will be heard is AB 450 in Assembly Elections and Redistricting. The bill would move LACCD trustee elections from at large to trustee areas and LACCD is opposed to the bill as it would Balkanize management of the district. Additionally, the Assembly Higher Education Committee will hear AB 955 which would authorize a community college district to offer fee-based extension courses during intercessions. Committees will be meeting regularly the next couple weeks as fiscal bills need to pass their first committee by the end of April.

## **BUDGET UPDATE**

It is early, but we have major meetings set up for this Friday with members of legislative staff and the administration staff before they make their recommendations for the May Revise. We want to make sure that the interests of our clients are covered. We will be discussing:

- Allocation of apportionments. With \$91 million going to cover statutory COLA of 1.65% and the rest of the proposed funding, \$106 million going for restoration.
- Reducing the level of funding that goes to the deferral and redirecting that funding to categorical programs, specifically the student success and support categorical program.
- Protect existing noncredit in the adult education proposal and support a targeted investment in the five areas through enhanced noncredit.
- Support Proposition 39 funding going out on an FTES basis with flexibility at the local level to use some of the funding for job training.

The adult education discussion has been very fluid since the Assembly rejected the administration's proposal. We have indicated opposition to the administration's proposal to eliminate noncredit, however have also indicated support for a targeted investment of some of the \$300 million for community colleges in enhanced noncredit in the five areas of emphasis that the Governor has identified.

Unfortunately, one of the areas where we agree with the administration, use of Proposition 39 funds, will be very tough to get through the Senate (see section below). However, the fight is not over yet, as CTA, CFT and CSEA have yet to weigh in on the issue. They all, likely would prefer an FTES funding methodology.

## **PROPOSITION 39 HEARING**

The Senate held a joint hearing of Budget Subcommittee 1 on Education and Subcommittee 2 on Resources, Environmental Protection, Energy and Transportation. The topic of the hearing was Proposition 39. Being the author of SB 39 which would allocate funds from the initiative, Senator de León also participated in the hearing.

The hearing examined the Governor's Proposition 39 proposal, the Legislative Analyst Office's alternative proposal, as well as treatment of Proposition 98 revenues in calculating the Proposition 98 minimum guarantee. The Governor's proposal would put all of the additional funding into K-14 education and allocate the funding on an ADA/FTES basis while the LAO proposal puts the Energy Commission in charge of a grant-based program that would make most state and local entities eligible for the grants. The administration and the LAO also disagree on whether or not the funding for energy efficiency is "inside" or "outside" of the Proposition 98 minimum guarantee. Legislative counsel has weighed in on the issue opining that the funding ought to be outside proposition 98. If the legislature allocates the funding outside of Proposition 98, it would mean that additional funding would have to be allocated to schools and community colleges outside of the \$450 million in Proposition 39 funds.

The members of the two committees, as well as Senator de León indicated that they are inclined to put the funding out on a grant basis. They indicated that they had a concern with the lack of oversight in the distribution plan by the Governor. Also, that it may not place energy efficiency projects in the areas where they are most needed. Senator Beall also picked up on the job training component of the initiative and indicated that he would like to see a greater emphasis on job training providers.

None of the members of the committees were in favor of the Governor's plan. The committee held the item open, but Chair of the Education Committee, Senator Block, indicated to Department of Finance, that, based on the comments from the committee members in the hearing, that the administration ought to reconsider their proposal before reintroducing a Proposition 39 proposal with the May Revise.

The bottom line is that the Governor's proposal does not have much support in the Senate. The majority of the members would prefer that the funding go out on a grant basis and many would like it to be available to entities other than K-14 education. The problem is that opening up the funding to other entities, because it is such a small amount of funding, would mean that the funding could be spread incredibly thin.

The Senate and Assembly budget subcommittees will meet this week to discuss community college issues, including the 90 unit cap, the census date proposal and the proposal to authorize the Board of Governors to apportion the \$197 million in funding to community colleges.

## **PPIC REPORT**

The Public Policy Institute of California Released a report examining how budget cuts have impacted California's community colleges. The report notes that budget cuts have made it increasingly difficult for community colleges to meet their many missions and provide access to Californians. The report notes that the system has experienced approximately \$1.5 billion in